Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) Presepsin as a Diagnostic Marker for Bacterial Meningitis in Pediatric Intensive Care Units

Tarek Abd-Elrahman Attia¹, Alaa Zedan Ibrahem¹, Lamiaa Abd-Elwahab Mohamed², Ahmed Mohamed Attia El-Bayed^{*1}

Departments of ¹Pediatrics, ²Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine – Zagazig University, Egypt ***Corresponding Author:** Ahmed Mohamed Attia El-Bayed, **E-mail:** free_soul90@yahoo.com, **Mobil:** (+20) 01112336650

ABSTRACT

Background: Meningitis is an inflammation of the leptomeninges. The inflammation may be caused by infection with viruses, bacteria, other micro-organisms, or non-infective causes.

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the role of CSF-Presepsin in diagnosis of bacterial meningitis and in differentiation between bacterial and viral meningitis or aseptic meningitis.

Patients and methods: The present study was a cross sectional study conducted in Intensive Care Unit and Pediatric Departments of El-Hommyat Hospital in Zagazig in the period from January 2017 to November 2017. The study included 50 patient of children with age from 6 months to 14 years with symptoms of CNS infection. Among the studied subjects there were 30 cases already diagnosed as bacterial meningitis and 20 cases already diagnosed to have viral meningitis (controls). Full history, clinical examination, and full laboratory tests were taken (Complete blood count (CBC) with differential leukocytic count, random blood sugar, serum CRP, and CSF analysis, CSF presepsin (psp) and CRP).

Results: There was a significant increase in Presepsin and CRP in the CSF of cases of bacterial when compared to viral group. Additionally, there were significant direct correlation between CSF presepsin and CSF CRP to blood CRP, CSF total leucocytic count (TLC) and CSF protein and indirect correlation between CSF presepsin and hemoglobin level, platelet count and CSF glucose.

Conclusion: CSF-Presepsin and CSF-CRP can be used in diagnosis of bacterial meningitis and can differentiate between them in bacterial and viral patients.

Keywords: Meningitis, Cerebrospinal Fluid, Bacterial meningitis.

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial meningitis is a serious type of meningoencephalitis that causes inflammation of meninges of the brain, particularly the arachnoid and piamater. Apart from meningeal inflammation, it has also been shown to affect other regions of the central nervous system (CNS). It has serious complications for producing detrimental long-term clinical manifestations and life threatening consequences in comparison to aseptic meningitis ^(1, 2).

Brain macrophage play an important role during inflammatory reactions of the central nervous system parenchyma, ventricles and meninges and are involved in the release of soluble CD14 ⁽³⁾.

CD14 is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol(GPI)anchored glycoprotein expressed on the surface of monocytes/macrophages (mCD14) and serves as a receptor for complexes of lipopolysaccharides(LPS) and LPS-binding protein (LPBP) ⁽⁴⁾. This activates a toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) (specific proinflammtory signaling cascade) upon contact with infectious agent ⁽⁵⁾. CD14 is shed from the cell membrane into the circulation, forming sCD14 ⁽⁶⁾.

Presepsin is a 13-KDa protein, a truncated N-terminal fragment of CD14⁽⁷⁾. The production

mechanisms of presepsin are related to the phagocytosis process and cleavage of membrane CD14 with lysosomal enzymes of granulocytes in response to bacterial infection ⁽⁸⁾.

Determination of presepsin in CSF could overcome problems with time-consuming procedures in diagnosis and manegement of bacterial meningitis.

This study aimed to evaluate the role of CSF-Presepsin in diagnosis of bacterial and in differentiation between bacterial and viral meningitis.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The present study was a cross sectional study conducted in Intensive Care Unit and Pediatric Departments of El-Hommyat Hospital in Zagazig in the period from January 2017 to November 2017. The study included 50 patients of children with age from 6 months to 14 years with symptoms of CNS infection. Among the studied subjects there were 30 cases already diagnosed as bacterial meningitis and 20 cases already diagnosed to have viral meningitis (controls). Full history, clinical examination, and full laboratory tests were taken such as CSF samples, C-reactive



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-SA) license (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>)

Received:16 /4 /2020 Accepted:25 /5 /2020 protein in blood, serum blood glucose and CBC with differential TLC.

Inclusion criteria: Age group of the patients (1 month - 14 years). Both sexes. Diagnosed as meningitis or suspected to be CNS infection.

Exclusion criteria: Patients outside the age group. Patient with hospital infections.

Written Informed consent was taken from the patients' parents to participate in the study.

Ethical approval:

Approval for performing the study was obtained from Pediatrics Departments, Zagazig University Hospitals after taking Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval. The work has been carried out in accordance with the code of ethics of the World Medical Association (Decleration of Helsinki) for studies involving humans.

Diagnosis of bacterial meningitis cases was based on full history taken, complete clinical examination including neurological findings (meningeal irriation signs), Bacteria isolated from CSF obtained via lumbar puncture and meningeal inflammation demonstrated by increased pleocytosis, elevated protein level and low glucose level in CSF. All these data undergo bacterial meningitis score for **CDC/NHSN** ⁽⁹⁾.

CSF samples from cases and controls were examined for Presepsin levels using ELISA method (Sandwich technique). A CSF sample was commonly collected by performing a lumbar puncture, which is also known as a spinal tap. An analysis of the sample involves the measurement and examination for fluid pressure, proteins, glucose, red blood cells, white blood cells, chemicals, bacteria, viruses and other invasive organisms or foreign substances. It's performed by a doctor who is specially trained to collect CSF.

CRP was detected by latex agglutination method using commercial kit "Immunoscreen-CRP" by Monozyme.

Statistical Analysis

The collected data were computerized and statistically analyzed using SPSS program (Statistical Package for Social Science) version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., 2007). For the statistical calculations data coding was done. Qualitative (categorical) data were represented as frequencies and percentages, Chi- Square test (χ^2) and fisher exact test were carried out for testing the association between the qualitative data frequencies. Quantitative (numerical) data were represented as mean and standard deviation (SD) or median, student's t-test was used to detect difference between groups, which were normally distributed. Mann-Whitney test was used to detect the difference between groups, which were not normally distributed. The Pearson's correlation coefficients were used to estimate association between CSF persepsin level with clinical and laboratory data of studied patients. In order to assess the utility of CSF persepsin as a biomarker for bacterial meningitis in children, we compared the areas under receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves between CSF persepsin and CRP using a (χ^2) test. The test results were considered significant when p-value ≤ 0.05 , highly significant when p-value ≤ 0.01 and non-significant when p-value > 0.05. All p values are two-tailed.

RESULTS

Table (1): Blood laboratory measurements in studied groups

	and (1) Blood abouttory measurements in studied groups					
	Parameters	Cases (N=30)	Control (N=20)	t test	p value	
	Total leucocytic count(cells	×10 ³ /μl)			•	
•	Mean \pm SD	14.08 ± 6.47	6.09 ± 0.96	3.44	0.001*	
	Hemoglobin (Hb) level(gm/dl)					
•	Mean \pm SD	10.25 ± 1.25	11.76 ± 0.43	5.10	< 0.0001**	
	Platelets count(×10 ³ /µl)					
•	Mean \pm SD	208.9 ± 63.23	247.8 ± 49.97	2.31	0.025*	
	Serum glucose (mg/dl)					
•	Mean \pm SD	96.57 ± 8.08	77.2 ± 6.14	3.03	0.004**	
D: Stand	lard Deviation MW	: Mann-Whitney U	*Statistically signif	ficant (p<0.() 5)	

****** High statistically significant (p<0.01)

Table (1) showed that there was a high statistical significant difference between cases and control group regarding blood laboratory measurements (p < 0.001). Total leucocytic counts and serum glucose were significantly higher among cases group while hemoglobin and platelets were significantly lower among cases group compared to control group.

https://ejhm.journals.ekb.eg/

able (2): Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) laboratory measurements in studied groups

CSF	Cases (N=30) Control (N=20)		t test	p value		
Glucose (mg/dl)						
Mean \pm SD	31.23 ± 8.26	77.2 ± 7.41	20.07	< 0.0001**		
Protein (mg/dl)						
Mean \pm SD	187.1 ± 5.95	68.6 ± 12.06	9.81	< 0.0001**		
Total leucocytic count(cells×10 ³ /µl)						
Mean \pm SD	1965.8 ± 61.78	40.8 ± 8.69	18.58	< 0.0001**		
Lymphocytes						
Mean ± SD	19.43 ± 3.2	46.2 ± 3.75	26.99	< 0.0001**		
Polymorph Nuclear Leucocytes						
Mean ± SD	80.57 ± 3.21	53.8 ± 3.75	26.99	< 0.0001**		

SD: Standard Deviation ***Statistical significant (p<0.05) ** High statistical significant (p<0.01)** Table (2) showed that there was a high statistical significant difference between cases and controls groups regarding CSF laboratory measurements (p < 0.01). Total leucocytic counts, polymorph nuclear leucocytes and protein were significantly higher among cases group while glucose and lymphocytes were significantly lower among cases group compared to control group.

Table (3): Comparison of C-reactive protein measurement in blood and CSF of studied groups

Variable	Cases (N=30)	Control (N=20)	MW test	p value		
Serum CRP (mg/l)						
 Mean ± SD Median 	$\begin{array}{c} 29.8\pm6.18\\ 24\end{array}$	$7.5 \pm 1.68 \\ 6$	20.07	< 0.0001**		
CSF CRP (mg/l)						
Mean ± SDMedian	$\begin{array}{r} 45.4\pm3.5\\ 36\end{array}$	$2.20 \pm 0.52 \\ 1.37$	5.95	< 0.0001**		

SD: Standard Deviation **MW:** Mann-Whitney U **** High statistical significant (p<0.01)** Table (3) showed that both blood and CSF CRP were statistically higher among cases than control group (p < 0.01).

 Table (4): Level of CSF persepsin among studied groups

Parameters	Cases (N=30) Control (N=20)		t test	p value
CSF persepsin (mg/l)				
Mean ± SD	2.58 ± 0.90	0.54 ± 0.14	0.09	< 0.0001**
Median	2.54	0.54	9.98	< 0.0001***

Table (4) showed that mean CSF persepsin was significantly higher among cases compared to control group (p < 0.01).

Table (5): Accuracy of CSF persepsin at cut off value ≥ 0.744 (mg/l) in diagnosis of bacterial meningitis in children

CDC score CSF persepsin		Meningitis			
		Cases	Controls	Total	
≥ 0. 744	Positive	30	1	31	
< 0.744	Negative	0	19	19	
Total		30	20	50	

Table (5) showed that at 95% confidence interval, there were Sensitivity= 30/30*100 = 100%88.65-100,Specificity= 19/20*100 = 95%76.39 - 99.11, Positive Predictive value (PPV) = 30/31*100 = 96.8%83.81-99.43, Negative Predictive value (PNV) = 19/19*100 = 100%83.18-100 and Accuracy= 30+19/50*100 = 98%89.5 - 99.65.

Table (6): Pearson's correlations between CSF persepsin level and clinical and laboratory data of studied patien	ıts
(n=68)	

Parameter	r	p value
♦ Hb level	- 0.697	< 0.0001**
Blood total leucocytic count (TLC)	0.25	0.08
Platelets count	- 0.41	0.003**
♦ Serum glucose	0.25	0.08
◆ Blood CRP	0.83	< 0.0001**
◆ CSF CRP	0.95	< 0.0001**
◆ CSF TLC	0.97	< 0.0001**
♦ CSF glucose	- 0.93	< 0.0001**
◆ CSF protein	0.99	< 0.0001**
◆ Age	0.29	0.04*

Table (6) showed that there were high statistical significant direct correlation between CSF persepsin and blood CRP, CSF CRP, CSF TLC, CSF protein levels (p < 0.01) and age (p < 0.05). However there was high statistical significant indirect correlation between CSF persepsin and Hb level, platelet count and CSF glucose levels (p < 0.01). Meanwhile, it showed insignificant correlation with blood TLC and glucose (p > 0.05).

DISCUSSION

Meningitis is an inflammation of the membranes that surround the brain and spinal cord. It is a common clinical problem during infancy and childhood. Delay in distinguishing between bacterial & viral meningitis & treatment may have irrevocable consequences that lead to significant morbidity & mortality. The initiation of proper medication in meningitis patients can often be delayed because of a lack of confidence in the presently available laboratory tests ^(10, 11).

Most of the tests developed for the early diagnosis of meningitis are not sensitive and although some other tests are useful, they may not be affordable for routine use ⁽¹²⁾. So, it is necessary to introduce simple, reliable and cost effective method for rapid diagnosis and differentiation of various types of meningitis ⁽¹³⁾.

In the present study regarding blood measurments, there was a significant increase (P <0.001) in the total leukocytic count (TLC) in bacterial meningitis cases $(14.08 \pm 6.47 \times 10^3/\text{mm}^3)$ when compared to the control group $(6.09 \pm 0.96 \times 10^3/\text{mm}^3)$. Total leucocytic counts and serum glucose were significantly higher among cases group while hemoglobin and platelets were significantly lower among cases group compared to control group. These observations are in agreement with Uddin et al. (14) whose study findings clearly indicated that TLC and PMNL had remarkably increased in pyogenic meningitis while lymphocyte count had increased more markedly in aseptic meningitis. This is supported by Found et al. (15) who observed that Leucocytosis (>10,000/mm³) was encountered in bacterial meningitis in 47.9% of patients, while only in 24.1% of patients with nonbacterial meningitis.

Also, in the present study, serum CRP in bacterial meningitis group was 29.8 ± 26.18 mg/L and in control group was 7.5 ± 2.68 mg/L with statistically significant increase in Serum CRP in bacterial meningitis cases when compared to control group (P <(0.01). These observations are in agreement with those of Thanoon et al. (16) who compared serum CRP in children with acute bacterial meningitis (88.33 ± 38.46) mg/l) and a control group $(9.93 \pm 2.60 \text{ mg/l})$ with a highly significant difference between the two groups (P < 0.001). These results are supported by the observations of Mussa⁽¹⁷⁾ who found that serum CRP was positive in 82% of bacterial meningitis group and negative in 70% of the viral meningitis group, with sensitivity 82.14%, specificity 73.17%, positive predictive value 51.11% and negative predictive value 92.30% for diagnosis of bacterial meningitis.

Our study revealed significant decrease in CSF glucose in cases of bacterial meningitis (31.23 ± 8.26mg/dl) when compared to control group (77.2 \pm 7.41mg/dl) (P < 0.001). These observations are in agreement with those of Sarhat et al. (18) and are supported by Jadali et al. (19) who reported that CSF glucose in bacterial meningitis group was 21.3 ± 17.5 mg/dl, in viral meningitis group was 65.8 ± 18.8 mg/dl and in control group was $72.3 \pm 11.8 \text{ mg/dl}$ with significant decrease in the CSF glucose levels in the bacterial meningitis group compared to the other two groups (p < 0.001). Abro et al. ⁽²⁰⁾ observed that in comparison to the viral, the CSF glucose level was found to be lower in bacterial meningitis (26.50 \pm 21.56 mg/dl) than in viral meningitis group (67.00 \pm 18.96 mg/dl) with statistically significant difference (p value <.0001). Similarly, Uddin et al. (14) stated that CSF glucose level decrease in pyogenic meningitis (16-34 mg/dl) but normal or increase in aseptic

meningitis (46-72 mg/dl). Additionally, in the study of **Khanam** *et al.* ⁽²¹⁾, the mean glucose was 23mg/dl in the CSF of bacterial meningitis, while it was 53 mg/dl in aseptic meningitis. This is supported by **Fouad** *et al.* ⁽¹⁵⁾ **and Siddiqui & Yohoshuva** ⁽²²⁾.

More over, in the present study, there was significant increase in CSF protein levels in bacterial meningitis cases (187.1 \pm 52.95 mg/dl) when compared to the control group (68.6 \pm 12.06 mg/dl) (P<0.0001). These observations agree with those of **Jadali** *et al.* ⁽¹⁹⁾ who reported that CSF protein was 295 \pm 204.4mg/dl in bacterial meningitis group, 57.5 \pm 51.9 mg/dl in viral meningitis group and 19.4 \pm 5.87 mg/dl in the control group. The differences in the mean values were statistically significant for CSF protein levels between both the bacterial meningitis group and the control group (p < 0.0001).

Further more, in our study, CSF cell count was 1965.8 ± 461.78 cells/µl in bacterial meningitis group and 40.8 ± 8.69 cells/µl in the control group. There was significant differences in the CSF cell count of the study groups (p < 0.0001) where polymorph nuclear leucocytes were significantly higher among cases group while lymphocytes were significantly lower among cases group compared to control group. These observations agree with those of Jadali et al.⁽¹⁹⁾ who observed that CSF cells in bacterial meningitis cases was $3698 \pm 3003.1 \text{ cell/mm}^3$, while it was 2.03 ± 1.9 cell/mm³ in the control group. The differences in the mean value was statistically significant for CSF leukocyte count between both the bacterial and the control group (p < 0.001). Also, Abro et al. (20), observed that the CSF leukocyte count was higher with predominant polymorphs (95%) in bacterial than viral (7%) cases (p value < .0001). This is supported by Khanam et al. (21), who detected that in the CSF of bacterial meningitis, the mean leukocyte count was 4064/mm³ with PMN (85%) while, in aseptic meningitis the mean leukocyte count was 148/mm³ with PMNL (19%). This also is in accordance with the results of Fouad et al. (15), who reported that in patients with bacterial meningitis, 67.4% had a CSF leukocyte count in the range of >100-1,000 cell/mm3 and 32.6% had a leukocyte count >1,000 cell/mm3. Patients with bacterial meningitis had a predominantly neutrophilic CSF where neutrophil percentage was > 50% (69.4%). On the other hand, patients with nonbacterial meningitis had predominantly а lymphocytic CSF in 76.5% of cases.

In the present study, CSF-CRP was measured in both bacterial ($45.4 \pm 30.5 \text{ mg/L}$) and control group ($2.20 \pm 1.52 \text{ mg/L}$). Our results showed highly significant difference in CSF-CRP between bacterial meningitis cases and control group (P < 0.001) where cut off value of CSF-CRP for diagnosis of bacterial meningitis was $\geq 5.25 \text{ mg/L}$ with sensitivity 100%, specificity 95%, positive predictive value 96.8%, negative predictive value 100% and accuracy 98% with area under the curve (0.999). These results are in agreement with those of **Jadali** *et al.* ⁽¹⁹⁾ who reported that CSF-CRP titration was positive in 32 out of 45 cases of bacterial meningitis with 84% sensitivity, 100% specificity, 100% positive predictive value, 88% negative predictive value and 93% efficacy. Also, the results of the present work are in accordance with that of **Ćorić** ⁽²³⁾ who found that CSF-CRP was significantly increased in 95% of bacterial meningitis cases with mean CSF-CRP 21.4 mg/l, while it was 0.25 mg/l in the control group.

In the present study, presepsin in the cerebrospinal fluid was also measured in bacterial meningitis and viral meningitis (control groups) where it was 2.58 ± 0.90 mg/l and 0.54 ± 0.14 mg/l successively.

Our results showed highly significant difference in CSF-PSP between bacterial meningitis cases and control group (P < 0.001) where cut off value of CSF-PSP for diagnosis of bacterial meningitis was ≥ 0.744 mg/l with sensitivity 100%, specificity 95%, positive predictive value 96.8%, negative predictive value 100% and accuracy 98% with area under the curve 0.933. These results are in agreement with those of Nockher et al. (3) who reported that CSF-PSP titration was positive $(2.4 \pm 1.4 \text{ mg/l})$ in cases of bacterial meningitis compared to viral meningitis, encephalitis and control groups (0.87 \pm 0.57, 0.51 \pm 0.13 and 0.19 \pm 0.06 mg/l respectively). The differences in the mean values were statistically significant for CSF presepsin (sCD14) between both the bacterial and other groups (p < 0.001). Similarly, the present work agrees with Stubljar et al. ⁽⁶⁾ who reported that the sCD14-ST value was elevated in all critically ill patients with microbiologically proven infection independent of the bacteria isolated $(2,403.08 \pm 2,407.56 \text{ pg/ml}; \text{P} < 0.001)$. The best cutoff for presepsin for positive infection in CSF would be 625 pg/ml. The best diagnostic accuracy for meningitis and ventriculitis was achieved with presepsin in CSF with areas under the curve (AUCs) of 0.877 (95% confidence interval (CI), 0.793 to 0.961) sensitivity and specificity were 84.2% and 82.1% for sCD14-ST.

The present study show that there were high statistical significant direct correlation between CSF persepsin and blood CRP, CSF CRP, CSF TLC and CSF protein levels (p < 0.01) and age (p < 0.05). However there were high statistical significant indirect correlation between CSF persepsin and Hb level and platelet count and CSF glucose levels (p < 0.01). However, it showed insignificant correlation with blood TLC and glucose (p > 0.05). This agrees with **Stubljar** *et al.* ⁽⁶⁾ who reported that leukocytes and proteins in CSF were elevated in patients who had microbiologically confirmed infection (P < 0.001 and

P = 0.001, respectively). The best diagnostic accuracy for meningitis and ventriculitis was achieved with presepsin and proteins in CSF, with areas under the curve (AUCs) of 0.877 (95% confidence interval (CI), 0.793 to 0.961) and 0.857 (95% CI, 0.749 to 0.964).

CONCLUSION

CSF-Presepsin and CSF-CRP can be used in diagnosis of bacterial meningitis and can differentiate between them in bacterial and control or viral patients. Additionally, there was a significant correlation between CSF-CRP level and CSF-presepsin levels and between either of them and all of TLC in the peripheral blood, CSF glucose, CSF protein and CSF cell count.

RECOMMENDATIONS

CSF presepsin is a good tool in diagnosis of bacterial meningitis. Another studies should be done on large scale to support our results.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hoffman O, Weber RJ (2009): Pathophysiology and treatment of bacterial meningitis. Ther Adv Neurol Disord., 2 (6): 1–7.
- 2. Bamberger DM (2010): Diagnosis, initial management and prevention of meningitis. Am Fam Physician, 82 (12): 1419–98.
- **3.** Nockher WA, Wick M, Pfister HW (1999): Cerebrospinal fluid levels of soluble CD14 in inflammatory and non-inflammatory diseases of the CNS: upregulation during bacterial infections and viral meningitis. J neuroimmunol., 101 (2): 161-9.
- 4. Thorgersen EB, Pischke SE, Barratt-Due A *et al.* (2013): Systemic CD14 inhibition attenuates organ inflammation in porcine Escherichia coli sepsis. Infection and Immunity, 81 (9): 3173-81.
- 5. Pugin J, Heumann D, Tomasz A *et al.* (1994): CD14 is a pattern recognition receptor. Immunity, 1 (6): 509-16.
- 6. Stubljar D, Kopitar AN, Groselj-Grenc M et al. (2015): Diagnostic accuracy of presepsin (sCD14-ST) for prediction of bacterial infection in cerebrospinal fluid samples from children with suspected bacterial meningitis or ventriculitis. J Clin Microbiol., 53 (4): 1239-44.
- 7. Okamura Y, Yokoi H (2011): Development of a pointof-care assay system for measurement of presepsin (sCD14-ST). Clinica Chimica Acta, 412 (23-24): 2157-61.
- 8. Yaegashi Y, Shirakawa K, Sato N *et al.* (2005): Evaluation of a newly identified soluble CD14 subtype as a marker for sepsis. J Infect Chemother., 11 (5): 234-8.
- **9.** C-DC/NHSN (2017): Surveillance Definitions for Specific Types of Infections 2017. Available at:

https://vvwvv.cdc.gov/nhsn/ pdfs/ pscmanual/ 17pscnosinfdef_current.pd. 2017.

- **10. Agarwal NP, Chandak NH, Taori GM** *et al.* (2002): Application of Mancini technique as a diagnostic test in CSF of tuberculous meningitis patients. Med Sci Monit., 8 (6): 95-8.
- **11. Kashyap RS, Kainthla RP, Biswas SK** *et al.* (2003): Rapid diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis using the simple Dot ELISA method. Med Sci Monit., 9 (11): 123-6.
- 12. Kashyap RS, Kainthla RP, Satpute RM *et al.* (2004): Differential diagnosis of tuberculous meningitis from partially treated cases of pyogenic meningitis by cell ELISA BMC Neurology, 4: 16-19.
- **13.** Shinde AR, Ghorpade KS, Siddiqui AM (2015): A study of Cerebrospinal Fluid Adenosine deaminase and C-reactive protein in Bacterial, Tubercular and Viral meningitis. Asian J Biomed and Pharm Sci., 5 (44): 15–18.
- 14. Uddin MB, Rahman M, Siddique AB (2009): Usefulness of CSF C-Reactive Protein in Differentiating Pyogenic and Aseptic Meningitis. J Teachers Associa., 22 (1): 78–81.
- **15.** Fouad R, Khairy M, Fathalah W *et al.* (2014): Role of Clinical Presentations and Routine CSF Analysis in the Rapid Diagnosis of Acute Bacterial Meningitis in Cases of Negative Gram Stained Smears. J Trop Med., 14: 1-7
- **16. Thanoon IA, Khlaf MM, Oglah MK (2009):** Assessment of C - reactive protein and Oxidative/Antioxidative Status in Children with Acute Bacterial Meningitis. Malaysian J Pharm Sci., 7 (1): 73– 81.
- Mussa RF (2015): The Significance of Serum C reactive protein in Childhood Acute Meningitis. Med J Babylon., 12 (3): 730–8.
- **18. Sarhat ER, Alanee AH, Al-Noaemi YA (2007):** Evaluation of creatine kinase activity in Cerbrospinal fluid of normal individuals and patients with meningitis. Tikrit Med J., 13 (1): 102–105.
- **19. Jadali F, Sharifi MM, JAR EA** *et al.* (2007): C-Reactive protein and Lactate Dehydrogenase in Serum and Cerebrospinal Fluid in Rapid and Early diagnosis of Childhood Meningitis. Iran J. Child Neurology, 1 (4): 37–45.
- **20.** Abro AH, Abdou AS, Ali H *et al.* (2008): Cerebrospinal fluid analysis acute bacterial versus viral meningitis. Pak J Med Sci., 24 (5): 645-50.
- 21. Khanam R, Hanif M, Hoque MM *et al.* (2012): Role of CSF
 C-Reactive Protein for the Differentiation of Bacterial Meningitis from Aseptic Meningitis in Children. Bangladesh J Child Health, 36 (3): 126–132.
- **22. Siddiqui MA, Yohoshuva A (2016):** CSF and serum CRP in the diagnosis of pyogenic meningitis. J Med Allied Sci., 6 (1): 23–28.
- 23. Ćorić J (2012): Evaluation of high sensitivity C-reactive protein assay in cerebrospinal fluid on the Dimension RxL analyzer. J Health Sci., 2 (1): 13–16.