

## Association between Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio and Inflammatory Markers in Hemodialysis Patients

Hafez Ahmed Abd El-Hafeez<sup>1</sup>, Ahmed Mohammed Al-Alashkar<sup>1</sup>, Nagah Mohamed Abo Mohamed<sup>2</sup>, Mohammed Ibrahim Khalil Zoheir<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University

<sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University

\*Corresponding author: Mohammed Ibrahim Khalil Zoheir, **Mobile:** (+20) 01558336585, **E-Mail:** dr\_Zohair@ yahoo.com

### Abstract

**Background:** End stage renal disease patients on regular hemodialysis patients have higher rate of mortality and morbidity compared to the general population.

**Objective:** This study aimed to study the association between neutrophil-to-lymphocytic ratio and other inflammatory markers (IL-6, high sensitive CRP, PLR, etc) in hemodialysis patients.

**Patients and methods:** This study was designed to assess inflammation in hemodialysis patients and study the association between high sensitive CRP (hs-CRP) and the other inflammatory markers in those patients. Initially, forty two patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD), on regular hemodialysis in dialysis unit, Internal Medicine Department, AL-Hussien Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University-Egypt.

**Results:** We calculated the cut off point for IL-6 that best indicates the presence of inflammation in hemodialysis patients, we found that it was value more than 30 ng/l with sensitivity 96.6, specificity 69.2%, with AUC: 0.77 and  $P < 0.004$ . Moreover, we calculated the cutoff point for PLR that is consistent with presence of inflammation in hemodialysis patients, we found that it was more than 180.2 with sensitivity 82.2%, specificity 92.3% with AUC .087 and p value equals 0.0001. In addition to calculating the cut off point for NLR that best indicates the presence of inflammation, we found that it was  $\geq 1.6$  with sensitivity 93.1%, specificity 92.3%, with AUC: 0.92 and  $P < 0.001$ .

**Conclusion:** We can assess cardiovascular condition of our hemodialysis patients along with their morbidity and mortality with measuring these inflammatory markers which are nonexpensive and has high sensitivity and specificity.

**Keywords:** Neutrophil to Lymphocyte Ratio, Hemodialysis Patients, ESRD, CVD

### Introduction

Both cardiovascular diseases and infection are linked to inflammation and ESKD has recently been considered a state of chronic inflammation, which is the cornerstone of pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, is increased in ESRD patients compared to normal population. It is thought that early detection of inflammation might improve the quality of the life of those patients and decrease rate of morbidity and mortality <sup>(1)</sup>.

Patients on RHD have increased level of inflammatory mediators including C- reactive protein, tumour necrosis factor and IL6, as it plays major role in malnutrition, inflammation and atherosclerosis as well as overall mortality rate in these patients <sup>(2)</sup>. Leukocyte are considered among the classic inflammatory markers due to their role in pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and its complications by mediating several biochemical pathways <sup>(2)</sup>.

Several studies have revealed that elevated neutrophil count was strongly associated with malnutrition and inflammation and that decreased lymphocyte count had a weaker association. Increased neutrophils and decreased lymphocyte count were also independent predictor of mortality in hemodialysis patients <sup>(3)</sup>. Recently, neutrophil – to- lymphocyte ratio is considered a novel cheap and available indicator, which reflect the extent of inflammation and atherosclerosis and predicts the clinical outcome and estimate survival in cardiac and non-cardiac including ESRD <sup>(4)</sup>. The neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio is obtained by dividing the absolute neutrophil count by the absolute lymphocyte count. It is a marker of poor prognosis in several disorders like malignancies, chronic kidney disease and myocardial function <sup>(3)</sup>. Based on that, the present study was designed to evaluate the NLR

compared with hs-CRP along with IL6 in ESRD patients on regular hemodialysis.

### **Aim of the work**

This study aimed to study the association between neutrophil-to-lymphocytic ratio and other inflammatory markers (IL-6, high sensitive CRP, PLR, etc) in hemodialysis patients.

### **Patient and methods**

This study was designed to assess inflammation in hemodialysis patients and study the association between hs-CRP and the other inflammatory markers in those patients. Initially, forty two patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD), on regular hemodialysis in dialysis unit, Internal Medicine Department, AL-Hussien Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University-Egypt, were recruited to participate in our study

### **Ethical approval and written informed consent:**

**An approval of the study was obtained from Al- Azhar University Academic and Ethical Committee.** Every patient signed an informed written consent for acceptance of the operation.

### **-Inclusion criteria:**

-Patients undergoing HD for more than three months who will agree to be included in this study.

### **Exclusion criteria:**

- We are going to exclude patients who have
  - Inflammatory state due to infection,
  - Autoimmune diseases,
  - Older than 75 year-old ,
  - Current malignancy or history of malignancy,
  - Immunosuppressive therapy.

### **Study design:**

We divided our patients into two groups above and below hs-CRP10 mg/dl, then we studied the correlation between Hs-CRP, NLR, PLR, IL6 and other predictors of inflammation in the group of CRP >10 mg/dl. After that we detected the cut off values for them.

### **All subjects in this study were subjected to the following:**

**1-Full history** including age , weight , height ,BMI, history of high blood pressure ,diabetes

,peripheral vascular disease along with cerebrovascular disease.

### **2- Laboratory investigations:**

Venous blood samples will be drawn from all subjects after an overnight fasting period. Sampling were particularly performed in a morning of midweek dialysis session prior to heparinization in HD patients. Then, we measured:

- Serum albumin, ferritin, mean platelet volume (MPV), high sensitive CRP and interleukin-6.

- Calcium

- Phosphorus

The white blood cell differentiation will be detected as part of CBC, then we calculate neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and platelet to lymphocyte ratio.

### **Statistical analysis:**

Data were analyzed using Statistical Program for Social Science (SPSS) version 15.0. Quantitative data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Qualitative data were expressed as frequency and percentage. The following tests were done:

### **Independent-samples t-test of significance**

was used when comparing between two means.

**ROC curve (Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve)** was used to detect cutoff value, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV).

**Sensitivity** measures the proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified as such (e.g., the percentage of sick people who are correctly identified as having the condition).

**Specificity** measures the proportion of actual negatives that are correctly identified as such (e.g., the percentage of healthy people who are correctly identified as not having the condition).

**Positive predictive value** is the probability that subjects with a positive screening test truly have the disease.

**Negative predictive value** is the probability that subjects with a negative screening test truly don't have the disease.

**Pearson's correlation coefficient (r)** test was used for correlating data.

**Probability (P-value)**

- P-value <0.05 was considered significant.

- P-value <0.001 was considered as highly significant.
- P-value > 0.05 was considered insignificant.

**Results**

**Table (1):** description of demographic data of studied patients

Variables		Studied patients(N = 42)	
Age (years)	Mean ±SD	50.36 ± 10.66	
	Min – Max	24 – 70	
Sex (n, %)	Male	28	66.67%
	Female	14	33.33%
Weight (kg)	Mean ±SD	74.05 ± 13.66	
	Min – Max	53 – 120	
Height (m)	Mean ±SD	1.67 ± 0.09	
	Min – Max	1.5 – 1.84	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Mean ±SD	26.92 ± 5.35	
	Min – Max	19.11 – 46.89	
Dialysis duration (years)	Mean ±SD	5.81 ± 4.89	
	Min – Max	0.5 – 17	
DM (n, %)	No	34	80.95%
	Yes	8	19.05%
HTN (n, %)	No	10	23.81%
	Yes	32	76.19%
Cerebrovascular Ds (n, %)	No	39	92.86%
	Yes	3	7.14%
Cardiovascular Ds (n, %)	No	22	52.38%
	Yes	20	47.62%

This table shows description of demographic data of studied patients.

As regards age, the mean age of studied patients was 50.36 ± 10.66 years old with minimum age of 24 years and maximum age of 70 years. As regards sex, there were 28 males (66.6%) and 14 females (33.33%) in studied patients. As regards weight, the mean weight of studied patients was 74.05 ± 13.66 kg with minimum weight of 53 kg and maximum weight of 120 kg. As regards height, the mean height of studied patients was 1.67 ± 0.09 m with minimum height of 1.5 m and maximum height of 1.84 m. As regards BMI, the mean BMI of studied patients was 26.92 ± 5.35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> with minimum BMI of 19.11 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and maximum BMI of 46.89 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. As regards dialysis duration, the mean dialysis duration of

studied patients was 5.81 ± 4.89 years with minimum duration of 0.5 year and maximum duration of 17 years. As regards DM, there were 34 non-diabetic (80.95%) and 8 diabetic (19.05%) in studied patients. As regards HTN, there were 10 non-hypertensive (23.81%) and 32 hypertensive (76.19%) in studied patients. As regards cerebrovascular diseases, there were 39 patients with no cerebrovascular diseases (92.86%) and 3 patients with cerebrovascular diseases (7.14%) in studied patients. As regards cardiovascular diseases, there were 22 patients with no cardiovascular diseases (52.38%) and 20 patients with cardiovascular diseases (47.62%) in studied patients as shown in table (1).

**Table (2):** Correlation study between Hs-CRP, NLR, PLR & IL-6 in patients with CRP > 10mg/dl group

	Hs-CRP		NLR		PLR		IL-6	
	r	P-value	r	P-value	r	P-value	r	P-value
<b>Hs-CRP</b>	---	---	0.65	< 0.001*	0.54	0.002**	0.45	0.013**
<b>NLR</b>	0.65	< 0.001*	---	---	0.89	< 0.001*	0.53	0.003**
<b>PLR</b>	0.54	0.002**	0.89	< 0.001*	---	---	0.54	0.002**
<b>IL-6</b>	0.45	0.013**	0.53	0.003**	0.54	0.002**	---	---

(r): Pearson correlation coefficient.

\*: p-value < 0.001 is considered highly significant.

\*\* : p-value < 0.05 is considered significant.

This table showed:

- Highly statistical significant (p-value < 0.001) positive correlation between hs-CRP vs NLR & NLR vs PLR in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.
- Statistically significant (p-value < 0.05) positive correlation between hs-CRP vs PLR, hs-CRP vs IL-6, NLR vs IL-6 & PLR vs IL-6 in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.

**Table (3):** Correlation study between Hs-CRP and other studied parameters in patients with CRP > 10mg/dl group

Variables	Pearson Corr.		Variables	Pearson Corr.	
	r	P-value		r	P-value
<b>Hs-CRP vs T. Ca</b>	0.08	0.67	<b>Hs-CRP vs Hb</b>	- 0.55	0.009
<b>Hs-CRP vs Ionized Ca</b>	- 0.22	0.24	<b>Hs-CRP vs NLR</b>	<b>0.65</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001*</b>
<b>Hs-CRP vs Ca x Ph</b>	- 0.3	0.1	<b>Hs-CRP vs PLR</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>0.002**</b>
<b>Hs-CRP vs Ph</b>	- 0.35	0.056	<b>Hs-CRP vs MPV</b>	- 0.25	0.17
<b>Hs-CRP vs T. Sat.</b>	0.2	0.27	<b>Hs-CRP vs IL-6</b>	<b>0.45</b>	<b>0.013**</b>
<b>Hs-CRP vs TIBC</b>	- 0.39	0.6	<b>Hs-CRP vs PTH</b>	- 0.04	0.83
<b>Hs-CRP vs iron</b>	0.057	0.77	<b>Hs-CRP vs ALB</b>	- 0.65	0.007
<b>Hs-CRP vs ferritin</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>0.008</b>			

(r): Pearson correlation coefficient.

\*: p-value < 0.001 is considered highly significant.

\*\* : p-value < 0.05 is considered significant.

This table showed:

- Highly statistical significant (p-value < 0.001) positive correlation between hs-CRP vs NLR in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.

- Statistically significant (p-value < 0.05) positive correlation between hs-CRP vs PLR, hs-CRP vs IL-6 and hs-CRP vs Ferritin as well as statistically significant (p-value < 0.05) negative correlation between hs-CRP vs ALB and hs-CRP vs Hb in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.
- No statistical significant (p-value > 0.05) correlation between hs-CRP and other studied parameters in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.

**Table (4):** Correlation study between NLR and other studied parameters in patients with CRP > 10mg/dl group

Variables	Pearson Corr.		Variables	Pearson Corr.	
	r	P-value		r	P-value
NLR vs T. Ca	- 0.09	0.63	NLR vs ferritin	<b>0.55</b>	<b>0.01**</b>
NLR vs Ionized Ca	- 0.035	0.059	NLR vs Hb	<b>- 0.65</b>	<b>0.009**</b>
NLR vs Ca x Ph	- 0.15	0.41	NLR vs PLR	0.89	<b>&lt; 0.001*</b>
NLR vs Ph	- 0.15	0.42	NLR vs MPV	- 0.49	<b>0.007**</b>
NLR vs T. Sat.	0.1	0.58	NLR vs IL-6	0.53	<b>0.003**</b>
NLR vs TIBC	- 0.27	0.15	NLR vs PTH	0.14	0.44
NLR vs iron	- 0.02	0.9	NLR vs ALB	<b>- 0.77</b>	<b>0.003**</b>

(r): Pearson correlation coefficient.

\*: p-value < 0.001 is considered highly significant.

\*\* : p-value < 0.05 is considered significant.

This table showed:

- Highly statistical significant (p-value < 0.001) positive correlation between NLR vs PLR in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.
- Statistically significant (p-value < 0.05) positive correlation between NLR vs IL-6 and NLR vs ferritin as well as statistically significant (p-value < 0.05) negative correlation between NLR vs MPV, NLR vs Hb and NLR vs ALB in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.
- No statistical significant (p-value > 0.05) correlation between NLR and other studied parameters in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.

**Table (5):** Correlation study between PLR and other studied parameters in patients with CRP > 10mg/dl group

Variables	Pearson Corr.		Variables	Pearson Corr.	
	r	P-value		r	P-value
PLR vs T. Ca	- 0.13	0.47	PLR vs ferritin	<b>0.89</b>	<b>0.007**</b>
PLR vs Ionized Ca	- 0.36	0.005	PLR vs Hb	<b>- 0.67</b>	<b>0.008**</b>
PLR vs Ca x Ph	-0.27	0.14	PLR vs NLR	0.89	<b>&lt; 0.001*</b>
PLR vs Ph	- 0.22	0.23	PLR vs MPV	- 0.49	<b>0.7</b>

<b>PLR vs T. Sat.</b>	0.088	0.64	<b>PLR vs IL-6</b>	0.54	<b>0.002**</b>
<b>PLR vs TIBC</b>	- 0.25	0.18	<b>PLR vs PTH</b>	0.26	0.16
<b>PLR vs iron</b>	0.05	0.77	<b>PLR vs ALB</b>	<b>- 0.72</b>	<b>0.001**</b>

(r): Pearson correlation coefficient.

\*: p-value < 0.001 is considered highly significant.

\*\* : p-value < 0.05 is considered significant.

This table showed

- Highly statistical significant (**p-value < 0.001**) Positive correlation between PLR vs NLR in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.
- Statistically significant (**p-value < 0.05**) positive correlation between PLR vs IL-6 and PLR vs ferritin as well as statistically significant (**p-value < 0.05**) negative correlation between PLR vs Hb and PLR vs ALB in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.
- No statistical significant (**p-value > 0.05**) correlation between PLR and other studied parameters in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.

**Table (6):** Correlation study between IL-6 and other studied parameters in patients with CRP > 10mg/dl group.

Variables	Pearson Corr.		Variables	Pearson Corr.	
	r	P-value		r	P-value
<b>IL-6vs T. Ca</b>	- 0.04	0.8	<b>IL-6 vs ferritin</b>	<b>0.88</b>	<b>0.007**</b>
<b>IL-6 vs Ionized Ca</b>	- 0.32	0.08	<b>IL-6 vs Hb</b>	<b>- 0.24</b>	<b>0.03**</b>
<b>IL-6 vs Ca x Ph</b>	- 0.008	0.9	<b>IL-6 vs NLR</b>	<b>0.53</b>	<b>0.003**</b>
<b>IL-6 vs Ph</b>	- 0.003	0.9	<b>IL-6 vs MPV</b>	- 0.31	0.1
<b>IL-6 vs T. Sat.</b>	0.06	0.73	<b>IL-6 vs PLR</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>0.002**</b>
<b>IL-6 vs TIBC</b>	- 0.35	0.06	<b>IL-6 vs PTH</b>	0.07	0.71
<b>IL-6 vs iron</b>	- 0.04	0.8	<b>IL-6 vs ALB</b>	<b>- 0.34</b>	<b>0.01**</b>

(r): Pearson correlation coefficient.

\*\* : p-value < 0.05 is considered significant.

This table showed:

- Statistically significant (**p-value < 0.05**) **positive** correlation between NLR vs IL-6 and (IL-6 vs ferritin as well as statistically significant (**p-value < 0.05**) negative correlation between PLR vs IL-6, IL-6 vs Hb and IL-6 vs ALB in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.
- No statistical significant (**p-value > 0.05**) correlation between IL-6 and other studied parameters in patients with CRP > 10 mg/dl group.

**Table (7):** Diagnostic performance of NLR to predict cases with inflammation

Cut off	Area under the curve	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	p-value
> 1.6	<b>0.92</b>	<b>93.1 %</b>	<b>92.3 %</b>	<b>92.4 %</b>	<b>93.04%</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>

PPV: positive predictive value.

NPV: negative predictive value.

Using roc curve, it was shown that NLR can be used to predict cases with inflammation at a cutoff level >1.6, with 93.1% sensitivity, 92.3% specificity, 92.4 % PPV and 93.4 % NPV.

**Table (8):** Diagnostic performance of IL-6 to predict cases with inflammation

Cut off	Area under the curve	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	p-value
> 30	0.77	96.6 %	69.2 %	75.8 %	95.3%	0.004

PPV: positive predictive value.

NPV: negative predictive value.

Using roc curve, it was shown that IL-6 can be used to to predict cases with inflammation at a cutoff level > 30, with 96.6% sensitivity, 69.2% specificity, 75.8 % PPV and 95.3 % NPV.

**Table (9):** Diagnostic performance of PLRto predict cases with inflammation

Cut off	Area under the curve	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	p-value
>180.2	0.87	82.8 %	92.3 %	91.5 %	84.3%	0.0001

PPV: positive predictive value.

NPV: negative predictive value.

Using roc curve, it was shown that PLR can be used to predict cases with inflammation at a cutoff level >180.2, with 82.8% sensitivity, 92.3% specificity, 91.5% PPV and 84.3 % NPV.

### Discussion

This was a cross-sectional study designed to study the relation between hs-CRP as a marker of inflammation compared to NLR, IL-6 and PLR in ESRD patients on maintenance HD as well as studying the prevalence of subclinical inflammation those patients.

Sixty three (69%) patients were found to have a high hs-CRP (>10 mg/L) indicating presence of inflammation, despite absence of any overt signs and symptoms of inflammation. This goes in agreement with **Korevaar et al.** <sup>(8)</sup> who reported that the prevalence of inflammation in HD patients varied between 35% and 65%. Other results goes in favor with the chronic sub-inflammatory state present in ESRD, where **Dai et al.** <sup>(4)</sup> concluded that dialysis-related factors such as use of catheters for vascular access, poor dialyzer membrane biocompatibility, dialysate contamination, exposure to endotoxins, and back-leak of dialysate across the dialysis membrane in hemodialysis (HD) may promote a persistent, low-grade inflammatory response. Besides, other comorbidities, kidney disease per se, life style factors, genetic predisposition and, in particular, the state of uremia is of major importance as a

promoter of a persistent, low-grade inflammatory response in ESRD patients.

Our results showed a negative significant correlation between hs-CRP and albumin ( $r = -0.65$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ) along with hemoglobin ( $r = -0.55$ ,  $p = 0.009$ ). These results are consistent with the study of **Ozcicek et al.** <sup>(6)</sup> for hemoglobin ( $r = -0.66$ ,  $p=0.001$ ) whereas for albumin ( $r = -0.38$ ,  $p = < 0.001$ ). On the other side, our study revealed no statistical significance regarding Calcium ( $p = 0.67$ ), phosphorus ( $p = .056$ ), TSAT ( $p = 0.27$ ) as well as iron ( $p = 0.77$ ), MPV ( $p = 0.17$ ) and PTH ( $p = 0.83$ ).

Further results showed positive correlation with significance between hs-CRP and PLR ( $p=0.002$ ). These results are consistent with the study of **Ahbap et al.** <sup>(2)</sup> where Both NLR and PLR were positively correlated with hs-CRP ( $r = 0.333$ ,  $p = 0.01$  and  $r = 0.262$ ,  $p = 0.001$ , respectively).

Furthermore, we found a statistically significant positive correlation between NLR and hs-CRP ( $r = 0.65$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). These results are consistent with the studies of **Pineault et al.** <sup>(7)</sup> who showed a positive correlation between hs-CRP and NLR ( $r = 0.45$ ,  $P < .001$ ), **Ahbap et al.**

<sup>(2)</sup> who found same correlation (with  $p < 0.001$ ) and the study of **Neuen *et al.*** <sup>(8)</sup> ( $r = 0.24$ ,  $p < 0.0023$ ).

In addition, our results revealed a positive significant correlation between hs-CRP and IL-6  $p = 0.13$ . This study is consistent with **Taheri *et al.*** <sup>(9)</sup> who found a positive significant correlation between hs-CRP and IL-6 ( $p < 0.001$ ).

In terms of independent predictors of inflammation in our study, it showed statistically significant in views to ferritin ( $p = 0.0016$ ), HB ( $p = 0.027$ ), IL-6 (0.002) and albumin ( $p = 0.009$ ), whereas, it revealed highly significance regarding NLR ( $p < 0.001$ ) and PLR ( $p < 0.001$ ). By using univariate and multiple variate analysis to test for independent predictors of hs -CRP levels as an indicator for inflammation, it was found that NLR can be used as an independent predictor of hs-CRP as an inflammatory marker, with statistically significant correlation ( $p < 0.001$ ).

To our knowledge, we are one of the few studies to determine a cutoff point for NLR as well as IL-6 and PLR as predictors of inflammation and to assess its sensitivity and specificity. We calculated the cut off point for IL-6 that best indicates the presence of inflammation in hemodialysis patients. We found that its value was more than 30 ng/l with sensitivity of 96.6, specificity of 69.2% and AUC of 0.77 ( $P < 0.004$ ). Moreover, we calculated the cutoff point for PLR that was consistent with presence of inflammation in hemodialysis patients. We found that it was more than 180.2 with sensitivity of 82.2%, specificity of 92.3% and AUC of .087 ( $p$  value equals 0.0001). In addition to calculating the cut off point for NLR the best indicator for the presence of inflammation, we found that it was  $\geq 1.6$  with sensitivity of 93.1%, specificity of 92.3% and AUC of 0.92 ( $P < 0.001$ ). In contrast to our results, **Ahbap *et al.*** <sup>(2)</sup> found a cutoff point of 2.82 with sensitivity of 65.7% and specificity of 63.3%.

As the American Heart Association (CDC/AHA) suggested use of hs-CRP cut points of low risk ( $< 1.0$  mg/L), average risk (1.0 – 3.0 mg/L) and high risk ( $> 3.0$  mg/L). In this regard, **Ahbap *et al.*** <sup>(2)</sup> data were compared in patients with hs-CRP levels of  $\leq 3$  mg/L vs.  $> 3$  mg/L in the study, while our reference range that indicated inflammation was  $\geq 8.2$  mg/L

(according to kits reference range), difference in reference ranges might explain the different cutoff values.

Other studies determined NLR as a marker and predictor of CVD mortality. **Solak *et al.*** <sup>(10)</sup> and **Abe *et al.*** <sup>(11)</sup> studies reported NLR  $> 3.76$  and 3.72 to be significant and independent of CRP predictors of cardiovascular events in dialysis-dependent patients, respectively. While **An *et al.*** <sup>(12)</sup>, reported that NLR  $> 3.5$  was associated with an increase in the risk of cardiovascular and all- cause mortality in peritoneal dialysis patients. **Neuen *et al.*** <sup>(8)</sup>, reported that NLR  $> 3.3$  was associated with increased cardiovascular mortality in hemodialysis patients.

On further classification of the study group according to previously calculated cut off point for NLR, it was found that 69% of patients were considered positive for inflammation and 31% without inflammation.

## Conclusion

According to this study, most of end stage renal disease patients on regular hemodialysis had established inflammation, which is a major risk for CVDS. Moreover, we studied the association between neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and the other inflammatory markers in those patients. Moreover, we could detect cut off values of IL6, NLR and PLR in our patients which had high sensitivity and specificity compared to hs-CRP.

## Recommendations

We recommend as a result of this study using simple tests like NLR, PLR and high sensitive CRP for the assessment of cardiovascular risk in hemodialysis patients beside the other traditional methods. Additionally, we detected cut off values for inflammation in hemodialysis patient.

## References

1. **Dai L, Golembiewska E, Lindholm B *et al.* (2017):** End-Stage Renal Disease, Inflammation and Cardiovascular Outcomes. *Contrib Nephrol.*, 191: 32-43.
2. **Ahbap E, Sakaci T, Kara E *et al.* (2016):** Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio in evaluation of inflammation in end-stage renal disease. *Clinical Nephrology*, 85 (4): 199-208.

3. **Yaprak M, Turan MN, Dayanan R *et al.* (2016):** Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts mortality better than neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in hemodialysis patients. *Int Urol Nephrol.*, 48: 1343.
4. **Gürağaç A, Demirer Z (2016):** The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in clinical Practice. *Can Urol Assoc J.*, 10 (3-4): 141.
5. **Korevaar JC, Van Manen JG, Dekker FW *et al.* (2004):** Effect of an increase in C-reactive protein level during a hemodialysis session on mortality. *J Am Soc Nephrol.*, 15 (11): 2916-2922.
6. **Ozcicek A, Ozcicek F, Yildiz G *et al.* (2017):** Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a possible indicator of epicardial adipose tissue in patients undergoing hemodialysis. *Archives of Medical Science: AMS.*, 13 (1): 118-123.
7. **Pineault J, Lamarche C, Bell R *et al.* (2017):** Association of Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte Ratio with Inflammation and Erythropoietin Resistance in Chronic Dialysis Patients. *Canadian Journal of Kidney Health and Disease*, 4: 1–7.
8. **Neuen BL, Leather N, Greenwood AM *et al.* (2016):** Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio predicts cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in hemodialysis patients. *Renal Failure*, 38 (1): 70–76.
9. **Taheri S, Lin L, Austin D *et al.* (2004):** Short sleep duration is associated with reduced leptin, elevated ghrelin, and increased body mass index. *PLoS Med.*, 1: 62–6.
10. **Solak Y, Yilmaz MI, Sonmez A *et al.* (2013):** Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio independently predicts cardiovascular events in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Clin Exp Nephrol.*, 17: 532–540.
11. **Abe T, Kato S, Tsuruta Y *et al.* (2014):** Neutrophil/Lymphocyte ratio as a predictor of cardiovascular events in incident dialysis patients: A Japanese prospective cohort study. *Clin Exp Nephrol.*, 19: 718–24.
12. **An X, Mao HP, Wei X *et al.* (2012):** Elevated neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio predicts overall and cardiovascular mortality in maintenance peritoneal dialysis patients. *Int Urol Nephrol.*, 44: 1521-1528.