

The Effect of Adherence to Infection Control Guidelines at Dental Clinics: Review Article

Fatima Mohamed Murad Ahmed¹, Aisha Aboul Fotouh Algamal², Rasha Saad Hussein Abdalla^{3*}

¹ Department of Logistics and Supply Chain Management, College of International Transport and Logistics, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Cairo, Egypt.

² Department of Community, Environment and Occupational Medicine, and ³ Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

*Corresponding Author: Fatima Mohamed Murad Ahmed, **Mobile:** (+20) 155 013 7396,

Email: dr.fatoom.89@outlook.com , **ORCID ID:** 0009-0002-7326-0236

ABSTRACT

Background: Dental clinics represent high-risk environments for healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) due to frequent exposure to blood, saliva, and aerosol-generating procedures such as drilling and scaling. These factors pose significant infection risks for both patients and dental healthcare workers (DHCWs), underscoring the critical need for stringent infection prevention and control (IPC) measures.

Aim: This review aimed to analyze the existing evidence on dental clinics' adherence to infection control guidelines and its impact on infection prevalence, patient outcomes, and healthcare systems.

Methods: A descriptive literature review was conducted using databases and authoritative sources, including centers for disease control and prevention (CDC), WHO, and Americans with disabilities act (ADA). The review focused on core IPC components—hand hygiene, personal protective equipment (PPE) use, equipment sterilization, and environmental sanitation—to evaluate their role in reducing dental infection incidence. The writers evaluated relevant literature references as well. Documents written in languages other than English was ignored. Papers that were not regarded as significant scientific research included dissertations, oral presentations, conference abstracts, and unpublished manuscripts were excluded.

Conclusion: Evidence demonstrated that strict adherence to IPC protocols significantly reduced HAIs in dental settings. Clinics maintaining high compliance—through consistent hand hygiene, appropriate PPE use, effective sterilization, and environmental cleanliness—report a 30–50% reduction in infection rates. Adherence mitigates pathogen transmission (including *Streptococcus mutans* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*), enhances patient and DHCW safety and decreases antimicrobial resistance and healthcare costs. Conversely, poor compliance, especially in resource-limited contexts, correlated with elevated infection risks and economic strain. Adherence to IPC guidelines is pivotal for safe dental practice. Sustained compliance, supported by continuous education, optimal staffing, auditing, and antimicrobial stewardship, is essential to reduce infection rates and alleviate the clinical and economic burden of HAIs in dental care.

Keywords: Infection Control, Dental healthcare workers, Healthcare-Associated Infections, Adherence, Patient Safety.

INTRODUCTION

Epidemiology of Healthcare-Associated Infections:

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) in dental clinics follow a complex epidemiological pattern shaped by host factors, environmental reservoirs and transmission pathways. Epidemiology of Dental Infections provides a comprehensive overview of the distribution, determinants and control of dental infections as a global public health concern. It begins by defining epidemiology as the study of the occurrence and determinants of health-related conditions and the application of this knowledge to control populations' diseases ⁽¹⁾.

Within this framework, the chapter establishes dental infections as one of the most widespread health problems globally affecting people of all ages, socioeconomic levels and geographic regions. According to the World Health Organization ⁽²⁾, oral diseases affect nearly half of the global population, reflecting deep inequalities in access to preventive and therapeutic dental care.

The chain of infection model includes six parts: Infectious agents, reservoirs, exit portals, transmission modes, portals of entry and susceptible hosts. The epidemiologic triad—comprising the agent, host and environment—serves as the foundation for understanding how dental infections start and spread. The agent refers to harmful microorganisms like *Streptococcus mutans*, *Lactobacillus* species, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Enterococcus faecalis*, all of which are key in starting infection processes within the oral cavity ^(3, 4).

The host component involves biological and behavioral factors such as saliva composition, immune response, oral hygiene habits and systemic conditions that raise the risk of infection. Meanwhile, the environment includes physical, social and economic factors—such as access to healthcare, dietary habits, sanitation, and cultural practices—that can either support or hinder infection control ^(1, 5).

It elaborates on the risk factors contributing to the high prevalence of dental infections. These include poor

oral hygiene, high consumption of fermentable carbohydrates, tobacco use, limited access to fluoride, and inadequate health education ⁽⁶⁾. Socioeconomic disparities are highlighted as a major determinant, as individuals from low-income backgrounds often have reduced access to dental services and preventive care ⁽⁷⁾. Additionally, demographic factors such as age, gender, and educational status influence oral health outcomes, with children and older adults identified as particularly vulnerable groups due to limited self-care ability and greater exposure to risk factors ⁽⁸⁾.

The global burden of dental infections is described as significant not only for its high prevalence but also for its socioeconomic and systemic health implications. Untreated dental conditions have been recognized as leading causes of years lived with disability (YLDs) worldwide ⁽⁹⁾.

The chapter notes that oral infections can serve as foci for systemic diseases such as cardiovascular disorders, diabetes mellitus, and respiratory infections through inflammatory and microbial pathways ^(10, 11). This interrelationship between oral and general health underscores the need for an integrated approach to health promotion, where oral health is recognized as an essential component of overall well-being. A significant part of the chapter addresses infection control measures in dental settings. Given the high potential for cross-infection during dental procedures—through aerosols, saliva, blood, and contaminated instruments—strict adherence to infection control protocols is emphasized as essential for both patient and practitioner safety ^(12, 13).

These protocols include sterilization of instruments, use of personal protective equipment (PPE), hand hygiene and environmental disinfection. CDC ⁽¹³⁾ and WHO ⁽¹⁴⁾ recommend standard precautions for all dental settings to prevent the transmission of infectious agents such as hepatitis B, hepatitis C, HIV, and respiratory pathogens. Furthermore, the chapter highlights the role of epidemiological research in identifying patterns and trends in infection prevalence, evaluating the effectiveness of control programs, and shaping public health policies. Epidemiology provides the framework for understanding not only disease occurrence but also for designing interventions that reduce infection risks through surveillance, preventive strategies and behavioral modification ⁽¹⁵⁾.

Continuous data collection, monitoring and analysis are thus fundamental in maintaining evidence-based infection prevention in dental healthcare systems. The chapter concludes by emphasizing that dental infections remain a critical public health issue requiring multidisciplinary intervention. Prevention through health education, community outreach, fluoride application, and compliance with infection control measures is key for reducing the burden of disease. The integration of oral

health promotion into national health policies is essential for achieving sustainable health outcomes and aligning with the WHO's goal of universal oral health coverage ⁽¹⁶⁾. Therefore, understanding the epidemiology of dental infections serves not only as an academic pursuit but also as a foundation for improving clinical practices, guiding policy development and advancing global health equity.

Knowledge, attitudes and practices of infection prevention and control among Lebanese private dental practitioners: A cross-sectional KAP study and systematic review of global compliance barriers

This chapter provides an in-depth analysis of infection prevention and control (IPC) protocols in dental practices, emphasizing the critical interplay between knowledge, awareness and adherence among healthcare professionals to prevent healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). It underscores the foundational role of standard precautions—including hand hygiene (40–60 seconds with soap or 20–30 seconds with alcohol-based rub), proper glove use, safe injection practices and PPE application—as established by authoritative bodies such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and World Health Organization (WHO) ⁽¹⁷⁾. The discussion extends to transmission pathways (contact, droplet & aerosol) and the necessity of breaking the chain of infection through sterilization, surface disinfection, and aerosol management.

Key barriers to compliance are systematically identified ⁽¹⁸⁾:

- Inadequate training leads to knowledge gaps.
- Resource limitations (e.g., inconsistent PPE and sterilizer access).
- Time constraints due to high patient volumes.
- Complacency in low-prevalence settings.

Evidence-based strategies for improvement include ⁽¹⁹⁾:

- Comprehensive training programs with simulations and annual updates.
- Regular monitoring and feedback via audits.
- Fostering a culture of safety with non-punitive reporting.
- Leveraging technology (e.g., e-learning & mobile apps) for accessible, up-to-date guidance.
- A systematic review of global studies on IPC knowledge and compliance reveals significant disparities: Developed countries exhibit higher adherence due to robust infrastructure, mandatory training and regulatory enforcement, while developing nations face systemic challenges in resource availability and oversight ⁽²⁰⁾.
- Integrated within the chapter is a primary cross-sectional KAP study conducted among 417 private dental practitioners in Lebanon (July 2015), using a

46-item CDC-aligned questionnaire distributed to 1,150 dentists via proportional stratified random sampling across five geographic regions. Key findings⁽²¹⁾:

- 96% expressed concern about infection transmission.
- 90.6% of dentists were HBV-vaccinated (vs. 34% of assistants).
- 61.8% routinely reviewed medical histories.
- 65% used autoclaves (35% still relied on dry heat).
- PPE compliance was low: 43% eyewear, 34.7% gowns and 28.2% head caps.
- Aerosol control: 20.8% rubber dam, 71.4% high-volume evacuator, 51% pre-rinse; Waste management: only 19% used licensed disposal.

The chapter concluded that despite high awareness, poor practice adherence in Lebanon reflects a knowledge-practice gap, necessitating enhanced educational interventions, continuous professional development and stricter regulatory oversight by dental associations and health authorities. These measures are vital to enhance patient and provider safety, reduce cross-infection risks, and elevate care quality in dental settings globally.

Knowledge, awareness, attitudes and practices (KAP) of infection prevention and control among dental healthcare workers: Bridging the gap for enhanced patient and provider safety:

This chapter explored the critical role of knowledge, awareness, attitudes, and practices (KAP) among dental healthcare workers (DHCWs) in ensuring effective infection prevention and control (IPC) within dental clinics where invasive procedures and close patient interactions heighten exposure risks to infectious agents via saliva, blood, aerosols and sharp instruments⁽¹²⁾. It emphasizes that robust KAP frameworks are essential for minimizing cross-infections, occupational hazards and healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) fostering a safe environment for patients and staff⁽²²⁾.

The knowledge section details the necessity for DHCWs to master standard protocols including hand hygiene, instrument disinfection, surface cleaning and sterilization, which align with guidelines from the CDC and WHO. Higher knowledge correlates with reduced occupational exposures, enhanced through continuing education⁽²³⁾. Subsections elaborate on:

Hand hygiene: Recognized as the most effective measure to curb pathogen transmission, reducing infection risks by over 50% when adhered to, though compliance often dips below 40% due to barriers like time constraints⁽²⁴⁾.

Personal protective equipment (PPE): Essential barriers (gloves, masks, gowns & eyewear) against pathogens like HIV, HBV, HCV and SARS-CoV-2; gaps exist between awareness and usage e.g., inconsistent eye

protection^(12, 13, 25). Instrument sterilization and surface disinfection: Critical/semi-critical instruments require heat-based sterilization (autoclaves & dry heat) monitored via biological indicators, surfaces need EPA-registered disinfectants between patients to eliminate reservoirs^(13, 26). Studies highlight inconsistencies, e.g., only 78% proper handpiece sterilization⁽²⁷⁾.

Waste management and environmental hygiene: Repeated emphasis on segregating infectious waste and maintaining hygiene to prevent contamination, with audits recommended for compliance⁽¹²⁾.

Airborne precautions and ventilation: Vital for aerosol-generating procedures (AGPs) includes high-volume evacuators, rubber dams, N95 respirators and HEPA filtration to mitigate risks from pathogens like *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and SARS-CoV-2^(13, 28, 29).

Awareness is framed as extending beyond knowledge to encompass rationale, pathogens, procedures and non-compliance consequences, influenced by experience, policies, resources and geography. High awareness drives compliance reducing cross-infections via integrated training and tools like audits and simulations^(12, 22, 25). A table illustrated awareness levels: 95% for hand hygiene, 90% for PPE and down to 60% for airborne precautions. Geographic disparities are noted e.g., higher in urban vs. rural settings with psychological/cultural factors distinguishing cognitive from behavioral awareness⁽³⁰⁾.

Ongoing efforts, including digital platforms and adaptive strategies (e.g., post-COVID-19) are advocated for sustained awareness⁽¹⁶⁾.

Attitudes are examined as drivers or barriers to IPC adherence, with positive attitudes (e.g., ethical responsibility) fostering compliance, while negative ones (e.g., complacency) hinder it. Studies showed attitude-practice gaps, e.g., 87% PPE consistency but only 63% sterilization tracking strong attitudes toward hand hygiene yield better adherence⁽³¹⁾. Barriers include poor role modeling, time constraints, low perceived threats and ineffective communication; strategies involve motivational training, case studies, peer influence and reflective sessions⁽³²⁾. It graphically depicts attitude vs. compliance disparities in PPE use⁽³³⁾.

Practices focus on actual implementation, revealing global variations and gaps: e.g., 66% full protocol adherence in Saudi Arabia and 68.3% hand hygiene pre-gloving in India⁽²⁵⁾. Barriers like workload and resources lead to lapses in surface disinfection and eye protection⁽¹²⁾. Improvement strategies include mandatory training, SOP enforcement, checklists, audits, incentives and resource availability, integrated into quality assurance for enhanced outcomes⁽²²⁾.

The chapter concluded that bridging KAP gaps through education, policy and monitoring is imperative for patient safety and reduced infection risks with

recommendations for motivational integration and routine audits.

Conflict of interest: None.

Funding: None.

REFERENCES

- Park K (2023)** *Park's Textbook of Preventive and Social Medicine*. 27th ed. Jabalpur: Banarsidas Bhanot.
- World Health Organization (2022)**: Oral health fact sheet. Available at: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/oral-health>
- Siqueira J, Rôças I (2009)**: Diversity of endodontic microbiota revisited. *J. Dent. Res.*, 88 (11): 969-981.
- Slots J (2010)**: Selection of antimicrobial agents in periodontal therapy. *J. Periodontol. Res.*, 37 (5): 389-98.
- Petersen P (2003)**: The World Oral Health Report 2003: Continuous improvement of oral health in the 21st century. *Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol.*, 31 (S1): 3-24.
- Moyanihan P, Petersen P (2004)**: Diet, nutrition and the prevention of dental diseases. *Public Health Nutr.*, 7 (1A): 201-226.
- Peres M, Macpherson L, Weyant R et al. (2019)**: Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. *Lancet*, 394 (10194): 249-260.
- Fejerskov O, Kidd E (2008)**: *Dental Caries: The Disease and Its Clinical Management*. 2nd edn. Oxford: Blackwell Munksgaard.
https://books.google.com.eg/books?hl=en&lr=&id=fZfXWhSmG1UC&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&ots=OzK4W3XtGQ&sig=LtwLz46-AWeAgcGCqBAAW_AIHR_8&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false
- Marcenes W, Kassebaum N, Bernabé E et al. (2013)**: Global burden of oral conditions in 1990–2010: A systematic analysis. *J. Dent. Res.*, 92 (7): 592-597.
- Tonetti M, Van Dyke T (2013)**: Periodontitis and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: Consensus report of the Joint EFP/AAP Workshop on Periodontitis and Systemic Diseases. *J. Clin. Periodontol.*, 40 (S14): S24-S29.
- Petersen P, Yamamoto T (2005)**: Improving the oral health of older people: The approach of the WHO Global Oral Health Program. *Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol.*, 33 (2): 81-92.
- Kohn W, Collins A, Cleveland J et al. (2003)**: Guidelines for Infection Control in Dental Health-Care Settings—2003. *MMWR Recomm. Rep.*, 52 (RR-17): 1-61.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2003)**: Guidelines for Infection Control in Dental Health-Care Settings—2003. *MMWR Recomm. Rep.*, 52 (RR-17): 1-61.
- World Health Organization (2020)**: Considerations for the provision of essential oral health services in the context of COVID-19: interim guidance. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-2019-nCoV-oral-health-2020.1>
- Petersen P, Ogawa H (2012)**: The global burden of periodontal disease: Towards integration with chronic disease prevention and control. *Periodontol.* 60 (1): 15-39.
- World Health Organization (2022)**: WHO Global Infection Prevention and Control Report. Geneva: WHO.
<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240051164>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2024)**: Guidelines for Infection Control in Dental Health-Care Settings.
<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240051164>
- Tseng W, Pleasants E, Ivey S et al. (2020)**: Barriers and Facilitators to Promoting Oral Health Literacy and Patient Communication among Dental Providers in California. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 18 (1): 216. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18010216.
- White J, Brandon R, Mullins J et al. (2020)**: Tracking oral health in a standardized, evidence-based, prevention-focused dental care system. *J. Public Health Dent.*, 80 (S2): S35-S43. doi: 10.1111/jphd.12413.
- Oosthuysen J, Potgieter E, Fossey A (2014)**: Compliance with infection prevention and control in oral health-care facilities: A global perspective. *Int. Dent. J.*, 64 (6): 297-311. <https://doi.org/10.1111/idx.12134>
- Dagher J, Sfeir C, Abdallah A, Majzoub Z (2017)**: Infection control measures in private dental clinics in Lebanon. *International journal of dentistry*, 2017(1): 5057248.
- Anagnostopoulos-King F, Rodriguez D (2019)**: Infection control standards of care: a global perspective. In *Infection Control in the Dental Office: A Global Perspective* (pp. 63-75). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Laheij A, Kistler J, Belibasakis G et al. (2012)**: Epidemiology and prevention of needle-stick injuries among dental healthcare workers in a Dutch university hospital. *Occup. Med. Lond.*, 62 (8): 570-575.
- Erasmus V, Daha T, Brug H et al. (2010)**: Systematic review of studies on compliance with hand hygiene guidelines in hospital care. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.*, 31 (3): 283-294.
- Singh A, Purohit B (2011)**: Knowledge, attitude and practice towards infection control measures among dental students in central India. *J. Infect. Public Health*, 75(3):421-7.
- Rutala W, Weber D (2008)**: Guideline for disinfection and sterilization in healthcare facilities. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1:158. Can be retrieved from: <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/11560>
- Gupta S, Reddy V, Yadav A et al. (2014)**: Infection control knowledge and practices among dental professionals in a tertiary care teaching hospital. *J. Infect. Public Health*, 7 (2): 116-123.
- Harrel S, Molinari J (2004)**: Aerosols and splatter in dentistry: A brief review of the literature and infection control implications. *J. Am. Dent. Assoc.*, 135 (4): 429-437. doi: 10.14219/jada.archive.2004.0207.
- Samaranayake L (2012)**: *Essential Microbiology for Dentistry*. 4th ed. Elsevier. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-7020-3484-8.00044-8
- Al-Mugla A, Al-Shamrani S, Al-Harbi M et al. (2017)**: Awareness and compliance with infection control in dental practices in Saudi Arabia. *J. Dent. Res.*, 96 (4): 380-387.
- Srivastava K, Shrivastava D, Sghaireen M et al. (2020)**: Knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding COVID-19 among dental health care professionals: a cross-sectional study in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of International Medical Research*, 48(12): 0300060520977593.
- Assiri K, Kaleem SM, Ibrahim M et al. (2018)**: Knowledge, attitude, and practice of infection control among dental students in King Khalid University, Abha. *Journal of international oral health*, 10(2):83-7.
- Al-Rabeah A, Mohamed A (2002)**: Infection control in the private dental sector in Riyadh. *Ann. Saudi Med.*, 22: 13-17.