Effect of Dietary Intervention Program on Healthy Eating Habits and Physical Activity among Adolescents

¹Abeer Abd Elsatar Mahmoud Farghaly, ²Gehad Mohammed Abu Elmaaty ¹ Samar Mohammed Abd –Elkader Alngery, ¹Hanaa Kassem Farg

Family and Community Health Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Suez Canal University, Egypt
 Family and Community Health Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, Port Said University Egypt
 Corresponding Author: Abeer Abd Elstar Mahmoud Farghaly, Phone: 01151641503,

Email: abeerabdelsatar@nursing.suez.edu.eg

ABSTRACT

Background: The practice of healthy eating and engaging in physical activity foster good health, growth, and intellectual development, while also preventing diseases. Adolescence is a promising time for intervention, as it provides an opportunity to gain knowledge and experience that are vital for understanding the factors contributing to unhealthy eating among adolescents.

Aim: This study aimed to assess the impact of a dietary intervention program on Healthy Eating Habits and Physical Activity in adolescents.

Methods: This study employed a Quasi-experimental design. The research took place in four secondary schools located in Ismailia city. A convenience sample consisting of 136 adolescent students. Data were collected using three tools: A self-administered questionnaire sheet, the Diet Self Efficacy Scale, and the Observation Checklist for a Healthy School Canteen. **Results:** Statistically significant differences (p < 0.001mc) were revealed between the mean knowledge and practice scores of students regarding healthy eating habits and physical activity during adolescence before and after the implementation of the program. **Conclusion:** For understanding and application of healthy dietary practices and exercise among adolescents were enhanced following the program's implementation.

Keywords: Adolescents, Healthy eating habits, Knowledge, Practice, Physical activity.

INTRODUCTION

Adolescence signifies a pivotal developmental epoch, distinguished by a profound and rapid succession of transformations encompassing physical maturation, psychological evolution, and social adaptation. This formative period holds paramount importance for the ingrained establishment of enduring lifestyle patterns and nutritional behaviors, elements that demonstrably wield diverse and significant long-term health implications for young individuals as they progress through their lifespan. Within the multifaceted constituents defining a healthy lifestyle, the adherence to a well-balanced diet emerges as an exceptionally critical factor, underpinning optimal adolescent growth, development, and overall physiological well-being (1).

Globally, approximately 80% of adolescents fail to adhere to established guidelines for physical activity, a significant factor contributing to the escalating rates of obesity and associated health complications. Furthermore, data from the World Health Organization (WHO) ⁽²⁾ indicates that nearly 45% of adolescents regularly consume fast food. Concurrently, a mere 20% of this demographic meet the daily recommendation of five servings of fruits and vegetables, underscoring widespread dietary imbalances.

Eating habits established during the crucial developmental period of adolescence have been demonstrably shown to persist into adulthood. Consequently, fostering and reinforcing healthy eating behaviors among adolescents yields significant advantages, encompassing both immediate and enduring short-term and long-term health benefits. This underscores the importance of early dietary

interventions ⁽³⁾. When children are in their formative years, eating a nutritious diet lowers their chance of developing urgent nutrition-related health issues that are of the biggest concern to schoolchildren, such as obesity, dental caries, and inactivity ⁽⁴⁾. Irregular eating patterns and suboptimal food choices demonstrably exert a detrimental impact on the health trajectories of adolescents. These pervasive dietary habits contribute to a dual burden, manifesting as both micronutrient deficiencies and the escalating prevalence of obesity. Such nutritional imbalances, in turn, result in a significant impairment of both physical and mental growth and development, ultimately compromising the holistic nutritional status of young individuals ⁽⁵⁾.

Adolescences who engage in physical activity increase their motor skills, balance, and coordination while also feeling more confident and good about themselves ⁽⁶⁾. Furthermore, physical activity frequently promotes communication, cooperation, and social engagement as teenagers learn to collaborate and engage in peer competition ⁽⁷⁾.

Additionally, it offers a way to relieve tension and lessens the signs of despair and anxiety. Adolescents' general development depends on encouraging and fostering physical exercise, which also lays the groundwork for an active and healthy adult lifestyle ⁽⁸⁾.

Community health nurses have always been essential in enhancing the general health of adolescents in the neighborhood. The nurse is frequently the one that interacts with the family and teenagers the most ⁽⁹⁾.

She is able to see the families and kids in a range of situations and community-based groups. Obtaining, retaining, or maintaining adolescents' health is the

Received: 03/02/2025 Accepted: 03/04/2025 primary objective of nursing, which includes the duties of case finder and referral, clinical educator, counselor, and coordinator ⁽¹⁰⁾.

Significance of the study: Adolescent eating habits and physical activity levels are critical to public health globally. In Egypt, the situation is particularly concerning; a recent study found that approximately 30% of adolescents are overweight or obese, driven by sedentary lifestyles and poor dietary choices, such as high consumption of sugary drinks and processed foods ⁽¹¹⁾. So that study was carried out to evaluate the effect of dietary intervention program on healthy eating habits and physical activity among adolescence at Ismailia City.

Aim of the study: The primary objective of this investigation was to rigorously evaluate the impact of a structured dietary intervention program on fostering the development of healthy eating habits and promoting increased physical activity levels among the adolescent population residing within Ismailia City. This study specifically aimed to ascertain the efficacy of such an intervention in this demographic.

Research hypotheses: H0: Dietary intervention program not improved knowledge and practice regarding healthy eating habits and physical activity among adolescents.

H1: Statistical significance will improve knowledge and practice regarding healthy eating habits and physical activity among adolescents after implementation of the dietary intervention program.

METHODOLOGY

Study design and setting: This quasiexperimental study was conducted across four secondary schools randomly selected within the North Ismailia administration, Ismailia City.

Sample: A convenience sample of 136 adolescent students from secondary schools in Ismailia City was recruited based on predefined inclusion criteria, ensuring population homogeneity.

Inclusion criteria: All students aged 14 to 18 years in school settings, of both genders.

Exclusion criteria: Students with a high rate of absenteeism and inclusive students.

Tools of data collection

Two primary tools were utilized for data collection:

• Tool (1): Self-administered questionnaire: This instrument was adapted and translated by the researcher following a literature review by Wilson *et al.* (11). It comprised two parts: Part I collected socio-demographic data (e.g., age, gender & BMI). Part II contained five sections assessing knowledge and practice regarding

healthy eating habits and physical activity. Scoring systems for practice (Nutritional habits and food safety/hygiene) generally assigned higher scores to healthier responses, categorizing practices as poor, moderate, or good based on percentage thresholds (e.g., < 50% poor, 50-75% moderate, > 75% good). Knowledge sections (Healthy/unhealthy food choices & physical activity) awarded scores (0 for incorrect, 1 for partially correct & 2 for fully accurate), with overall knowledge categorized similarly (Low/poor, moderate/sufficient & high/good).

- Tool (2): The diet self-efficacy scale (DSES). This 11-question instrument measured self-efficacy on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = "not at all confident" & to 5 = "very confident"), evaluating three factors: High-calorie food temptations, social and internal factors, and negative emotional events (36).
- Tools validity and reliability: Tool validity was established through review and revision by three experts in family and community health nursing. Internal reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha coefficient, yielding a value of 0.840 for the questionnaire.

Pilot study: A pilot study involving 14 randomly selected students (10% of the prospective population) was conducted in October 2023 to evaluate the research plan and instrument clarity. These students were subsequently excluded from the main study.

Field of work

- **Approvals:** Formal letters from Suez Canal University's Faculty of Nursing secured permissions from relevant authorities, including CAPMAS, the directorate of education and school managers.
- **Data collection:** Data were collected from early October 2023 to late April 2024.

Implementation phase: This phase encompassed four stages: assessment, planning, implementation, and evaluation.

- Assessment phase (Pre-test): Beginning in October 2023, baseline data on knowledge and practices were collected from 136 first-grade secondary students over four weeks, with 80-minute sessions per school. Questionnaires took approximately 30 minutes to complete, and BMI measurements about 40 minutes per group.
- Planning phase: The intervention program was developed based on student needs and literature, then rigorously validated by academic supervisors. A comprehensive program booklet and supplementary pamphlets were created for distribution to school managers and students, serving as educational references.
- **Implementing phase:** From November 2023 to March 2024, 29 sessions (80 minutes each) were conducted across the schools. Implementation involved coordinating with physical education teachers for

practical activity sessions (8:00-9:20 AM), followed by theory sessions (9:20-10:40 AM) utilizing lectures, discussions, presentations, and role-play. Student engagement was encouraged through reinforcement, such as gifts for active participation. Each session concluded with a summary and Q & A.

• Evaluation phase (Post-test): This phase commenced in early April 2024, approximately three months post-program initiation, to assess improvements in students' knowledge and practices concerning healthy eating habits and physical activity.

Ethical consideration: The investigation underwent rigorous ethical scrutiny and received the approval from The Research Ethics Committee (REC) of the Faculty of Nursing. Suez Canal University. designated approval number under Subsequently, formal written authorization was secured from the administrators of the participating schools, following a comprehensive explanation of the study's objectives and methodological nature. Furthermore, the explicit aim of the study was clearly communicated to all student participants to foster their understanding of the importance of their involvement. They were concurrently assured that all collected information would be maintained with strict confidentiality, utilized exclusively for research purposes, and that their right to refuse participation or withdraw from the study at any point in time would be fully respected. The study adhered to the Helsinki Declaration throughout its execution.

Statistical methods

All collected data were meticulously tabulated and subsequently subjected to rigorous statistical analysis. The primary software utilized for statistical computations was SPSS (version 25), while Microsoft Office Excel was additionally employed for efficient data handling and the generation of graphical representations. Quantitative variables within the dataset were comprehensively described using their respective mean and standard deviation (SD). For inferential analyses, the level of statistical significance was predefined at $P \le 0.05$, denoted as (S). A heightened level of significance, classified as highly significant (S), was

established at $P \le 0.01$. These thresholds were consistently applied to test the significance of results pertaining to qualitative variables.

RESULTS

Table (1) Showed the mean age of the studied adolescent 15.73 ± 0.42 years and 55.1% of them were females, while 52.2% of the studied adolescent lived in urban areas and 56.6% of their family income was enough. 63.2% of the studied adolescent falling within the normal BMI range (18 to < 25), pre intervention while 71.3% post intervention fall within normal range.

Table (1): Socio- demographic characteristics of the Studied adolescents (n=136)

| Items | ; | • | N | % | | | |
|-----------------|------|------|-------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Age (Years) | | | | | | | |
| Mean ±SD | | | 15.73 | 15.73 ± 0.42 | | | |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Male | | | 61 | 44.9 | | | |
| Female | | | 75 | 55.1 | | | |
| Residential Sta | ate | | | | | | |
| Rural | | | 65 | 47.8 | | | |
| Urban | | | 71 | 52.2 | | | |
| Family Income | e | | | | | | |
| Enough | | | 77 | 57 | | | |
| Not enough | | | 44 | 32.3 | | | |
| Enough and say | ving | | 15 | 11 | | | |
| BMI | P | re | Po | st | | | |
| | N | % | N | % | | | |
| <18 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1.4 | | | |
| 18:<25 | 36 | 53.2 | 97 | 71.3 | | | |
| 25:<30 | 32 | 23.6 | 29 | 21.3 | | | |
| 30:<35 | 14 | 10.2 | 8 | 6 | | | |

Table (2) demonstrated a statistically significant (p < 0.001*) difference between the examined adolescents' knowledge of healthy and unhealthy dietary habits before and after the intervention. However, there was no statistically significant change between the observed adolescents' knowledge of the healthiest eating behavior before and after the intervention.

Table (2): Percentage distribution of the studied adolescent knowledge regarding healthy and unhealthy dietary habits (n=136)

| Items | Pre | | Post | • | Test (Friedma | p-value |
|--|-----|--------|------|-------|------------------|--------------|
| Items | N. | % | N. | % | | |
| 1. A healthy diet is | | • | | | | |
| A diet rich in different foods | 36 | 26.4 | 112 | 82.3 | | |
| Foods rich in protein (meat, fish, eggs, cheese, | 59 | 43.4 | 16 | 11.8 | X^2 18.33 | <0.001* |
| dried legumes) | | | | | Λ-16.55 | |
| A diet without any fats | 22 | 16.2 | 6 | 4.4 | | |
| Eating fish very often | 19 | 14 | 2 | 1.5 | | |
| 2. The healthiest eating behavior such as | | | | | | |
| Drinking two glasses of milk/eating two cups of | 41 | 30.1 | 32 | 23.5 | | |
| yogurt every day | | | | | | |
| Preferring cooked vegetables to uncooked | 33 | 24.3 | 84 | 61.8 | $X^2 2.14$ | 0.172 |
| vegetables | | | | | A 2.14 | |
| Eating always cheese instead of meat | 30 | 22.1 | 16 | 11.8 | | |
| When you eat snacks, preferring fruit/fruit | 32 | 23.5 | 4 | 2.9 | | |
| juice/biscuits and crackers | | | | | | |
| 3. A Healthy Food is | | | | | | |
| A food rich in protein | 44 | 32.4 | 5 | 3.7 | | |
| A food rich in calories | 43 | 31.6 | | 2.9 | $X^2 81.31$ | < 0.001 |
| A microbiologically tested food | 23 | 16.9 | | 10.3 | | |
| A food without preservatives and additives | 26 | 19.1 | 113 | 83.1 | | |
| 4. The healthiest food such as | | | | | | |
| Washed vegetables ready to eat | 17 | 12.5 | 111 | 81.6 | | |
| A canned food | 48 | 35.3 | 10 | 7.4 | $X^2 60.83$ | <0.001* |
| A food very rich in dressing | 14 | 10.3 | | 4.4 | | |
| A fried food | 57 | 41.9 | 9 | 6.6 | | |
| 5. The healthiest cooking method is | | | | | | |
| Cooking on a grill/in boiled water | 34 | 25 | 106 | 77.9 | | |
| Frying/braising | 31 | 22.8 | 11 | 8.1 | X^2 46.43 | <0.001* |
| Cooking in the oven without fats | 33 | 24.2 | 6 | 4.4 | | |
| Cooking in a pan with fats | 38 | 28 | 13 | 9.6 | | |
| Total level of knowledge pre | *L | ow:44. | 9 % | *Mod | lerate :39.0 % * | High:16.2 |
| Total level of knowledge post | *Lo | w:16.3 | % | *Mode | erate :17.6 % | *High :82.4% |

 X^2 : was chi-square test, MC: Montecarlo chi-square test; P value was significant $\leq .05$

Table (3) showed that there was statistically significant (p<0.001*) difference between the studied adolescent food safety and hygiene practices post intervention compared to the pre-intervention about check the expiry date, reading package instructions, consistently washing hands before eating and handling foods, washing fruit that should not be peeled before consumption and milk consumption practices.

Table (3): Percentage distribution of the studied adolescent regarding food safety hygiene practices (n=136)

| <u>-</u> . | Pre | | Post | | Test | |
|---|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|------------|
| Items | N. | % | N. | % | (Friedman) | P value |
| 1. Number of times you Check the | expiry dat | e when yo | u buy pao | ckaged foo | od | |
| Always | 7 | 5.1 | 119 | 87.5 | X^2 | |
| Often | 22 | 16.2 | 2 | 1.5 | 106.31 | < 0.001 |
| Sometimes | 45 | 33.1 | 10 | 7.4 | 106.31 | |
| Never | 62 | 45.6 | 5 | 3.6 | | |
| 2. Number of times you read the in packaged foods | nstruction f | for use an | d for pres | ervation v | written on the | |
| Always | 12 | 8.8 | 106 | 78 | | |
| Often | 13 | 9.6 | 4 | 2.9 | $X^2 81.39$ | < 0.001 |
| Sometimes | 57 | 41.9 | 14 | 10.3 | | |
| Never | 54 | 39.7 | 12 | 8.8 | | |
| 3. Wasl | h your han | ds before | eating and | d before to | ouching foods | |
| Always | 69 | 50.7 | 112 | 82.4 | | |
| Often | 10 | 7.4 | 3 | 2.2 | X^2 29.35 | < 0.001 |
| Sometimes | 51 | 37.5 | 17 | 12.5 | | |
| Never | 6 | 4.4 | 4 | 2.9 | | |
| | 4. Wash fr | uit that m | ust not be | peeled be | efore eating it | |
| Always | 47 | 34.6 | 100 | 73.5 | | |
| Often | 31 | 22.8 | 6 | 4.4 | X^2 34.68 | |
| Sometimes | 19 | 14.0 | 12 | 8.8 | | < 0.001 |
| Never | 39 | 28.7 | 18 | 13.2 | | |
| 5. Aft | ter drinkin | g a glass o | f milk, pu | ıt the milk | in the fridge | |
| Always | 55 | 40.4 | 112 | 82.4 | X ² 32.98 | |
| Often | 31 | 22.8 | 7 | 5.1 | A- 32.98 | < 0.001 |
| Sometimes | 15 | 11.0 | 9 | 6.6 | | |
| Never | 35 | 25.7 | 8 | 5.9 | | |
| | 6. Left the | milk out o | of the fridg | ge during | night, you do | |
| You throw it away | 11 | 8.1 | 50 | 36.8 | | |
| You tell your mother to throw it | 16 | 11.8 | 64 | 47.0 | $X^{2}98.00$ | < 0.001 |
| away | | | | | X-98.00 | |
| You put it in the fridge again | 65 | 47.7 | 9 | 6.6 |] | |
| You drink it | 44 | 32.4 | 13 | 9.6 | | |

 X^2 : Chi-square test, **P** value was significant ≤ 0.05 .

Table (4) showed that there was a statistically significant (p < 0.001*) difference between the studied adolescents' post-intervention compared to pre-intervention regarding knowledge about physical activity and lifestyle.

Table (4): Percentage distribution of the studied adolescent regarding knowledge about physical activity and life

style (n=136)

| Itams | Pre | | Post | | Test | p- value |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------------|------|--------------|------------|
| Items | N. | % | N. | % | (Friedman) | 1 - |
| 1. Mean of physical activity is | · | | | | , | |
| means any physical activity | 77 | 56.6 | 8 | 5.9 | | |
| Planned, structured and repetitive physical activity | 18 | 13.2 | 68 | 50.0 | | |
| means jogging once in a while | 12 | 8.9 | 3 | 2.2 | X^2106 | |
| Means watching games | 7 | 5.1 | 2 | 1.5 | | <0.001* mg |
| physical activity Involves jogging, brisk walking, | 22 | 160 | ~~ | 40.4 | | |
| swimming and spots | 22 | 16.2 | 55 | 40.4 | | |
| 2. Physical activity appropriate for | • | | • | • | | |
| Young individuals | 69 | 50.7 | 11 | 8.1 | | |
| Elderly people | 12 | 8.8 | 3 | 2.2 | X^2 19.06 | <0.001* m |
| Both young and elderly people | 28 | 20.6 | 122 | 89.7 | | |
| I don't know | 27 | 19.9 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 3. Benefit of physical activity for adolescents is | • | | • | • | | |
| build strong bones and muscles | 70 | 51.5 | 13 | 9.6 | | |
| Improved academic performance | 27 | 19.8 | 9 | 6.6 | X^2 28.25 | <0.001* m |
| leads to a lower risk of diseases | 22 | 16.2 | 7 | 5.1 | | |
| All the above | 17 | 12.5 | 107 | 78.7 | | |
| 4. Moderate aerobic physical activity recommenda | ation per | week is | s: | | • | |
| 2 hr. per day 3 days per week | 11 | 8 | 2 | 1.5 | | |
| 2-4 per day 3 days per week | 13 | 9.6 | 4 | 2.9 | | |
| 30 min per day 5 days per week | 8 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 | W2006 50 | |
| 30 min per day 7 days per week | 22 | 16.2 | 9 | 6.7 | X^2 086.52 | <0.770* m |
| 60 min per day 7 days per week | 27 | 10.0 | 11 | 86 | | |
| | 27 | 19.9 | 7 | | | |
| I don't know | 55 | 40.4 | 4 | 2.9 | | |
| 5. Muscular fitness recommendation per week is: | | | | | | |
| 1-2 times per week | 30 | 22 | 9 | 6.6 | | |
| 3 times per wee | 29 | 21.3 | 116 | 85.3 | X^2 32.00 | |
| 4 -5 times per week or more | 27 | 19.9 | 5 | 3.7 | | <0.001* m |
| I don't know | 50 | 36.8 | 6 | 4.4 | | |
| 6. The appropriate time for practice physical activ | ity is | | | | | |
| Always (every day) | 47 | 34.6 | 111 | 81.6 | | |
| Only in some seasons | 22 | 16.1 | 8 | 5.9 | $X^2 83.00$ | <0.001*m |
| Sometimes | 28 | 20.6 | 10 | 7.4 | | |
| Never | 39 | 28.7 | 7 | 5.1 | | |
| 7. The primary reason to do not participate in suff | ficient pl | nysical a | <u>ictivity</u> | are | | |
| Lack of access to sports facilities | 70 | 51.5 | 13 | 9.6 | X^2 28.25 | <0.001* m |
| Lack of interest or motivation | 27 | 19.9 | 9 | 6.6 | | |
| pressure from academics | 22 | 16.1 | 7 | 5.1 | | |
| pressure from deddefines | | | | | | |

 X^2 : Chi-square test, MC: Montecarlo chi-square test; P value was significant ≤ 0.05

Table (5) illustrated that there was a statistically significant (p < 0.001*) difference between the studied adolescents' mean scores of reported diet self-efficacy (pre/post) implementation of the program. Total diet self-efficacy increased significantly from 24.03 to 35.87 (t=10.32, p < 0.001*) with a large effect size (d=0.885).

Table (5): Difference between the studied adolescent diet self-efficacy dimensions pre- and post- intervention (n=136)

| Thomas | Pre | | Post | | 4.40.04 | Effect | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|--------|----------|
| Items | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | t test | value | size (d) |
| 1. Factor 1: High-Caloric Food | 6.51 | 3.66 | 13.19 | 1.4 | 21.49 | <.001* | 1.84 |
| 1. Factor 2: Social and Internal Factors | 12.9 | 7.75 | 13.81 | 1.5 | 1.43 | 0.156 | 0.122 |
| 2. Factor 3: Negative Emotional Events | 4.63 | 3.14 | 8.86 | 1.7 | 16.26 | <.001* | 1.39 |
| Total | 24.03 | 14.28 | 35.87 | 2.94 | 10.32 | <.001* | 0.885 |

t: Paired sample t test *: Statistically significant at $p \le 0.05$; d is Cohen's effect size.

Table (6) clarified that there were statistically significant relation between students' gender, father education, mother occupation, mother education residential state, family income and their total level of the studied adolescents' knowledge regarding healthy and unhealthy dietary habits and food throughout the program. While, there was no statistically significant relation between BMI, age and father occupation totals level of the studied adolescents' knowledge regarding healthy and unhealthy dietary habits and food.

Table (6): Relation between socio- demographic characteristics, and total level of the studied adolescent knowledge regarding healthy and unhealthy dietary habits and food (Pre, and post-intervention) (n=136)

| | Total lev | el of th | | d adolesce | | 0 0 | rding healthy and | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|------------|------|------|-------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Items | | | | Pre | | | | Post | | | | |
| | Mean | SD | F | p-value | Mean | SD | F Test | -value | | | | |
| Age | 1.71 | .729 | .817 | .664 | 2.66 | .742 | 1.34 | .180 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Gender | | | | |
| Male | 2.22 | .668 | 93.32 | .000 | 3.00 | .001 | 27.44 | .000 | | | | |
| Female | 1.29 | 458 | | | 2.38 | .913 | | | | | | |
| | Resider | ntial Sta | ate | | | | | | | | | |
| Rural | 2.12 | .718 | | | 3.0 | .001 | 31.70 | .000 | | | | |
| Urban | 1.33 | .505 | 55.05 | .000 | 2.35 | .927 | | | | | | |
| |] | Family | Income | | | | | | | | | |
| Enough | 2.039 | .733 | | | 2.79 | .614 | | | | | | |
| Not enough | 1.31 | .471 | 23.9 | .000 | 2.81 | .540 | | | | | | |
| Enough and saving | 1.2 | .729 | | | 1.53 | .915 | 26.98 | .000 | | | | |
| | В | MI | | | | | | | | | | |
| <18 | 1.5 | .707 | | | 2.00 | 1.41 | | | | | | |
| 18:<25 | 1.71 | .726 | | | 2.69 | .716 | | | | | | |
| 25:<30 | | | .060 | .981 | 2.66 | .725 | .697 | .555 | | | | |
| 30:<35 | | | | | 2.53 | .732 | | | | | | |

F: One-way ANOVA, P value is significant ≤ 0.05

Table (7) clarified that, there were statistically significant relation between student gender, family income and total level of the studied adolescent reported practice regarding food safety and hygiene throughout the program. While, there was no statistically significant relation between BMI, age, and total level of the studied adolescent reported practice regarding food safety and hygiene.

 Table (7): Relation between socio-demographic characteristics, and total level of the studied adolescent

reported practice regarding food safety and hygiene (Pre, and post intervention) (n=136)

| rted practice regarding | | | | | | <u>`</u> | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| | Total lev | el of the | studied a | | | ctice regardir | ig food safe | ety and |
| Itoma | | | | hy | giene | | | |
| Items | | Pre | | | | Post | | |
| | Mean | SD | F Test | p-value | Mean | SD | F Test |)-value |
| Age | 1.77 | 0.740 | 1.32 | 0.194 | 2.82 | .419 | 0.868 | 0.607 |
| | | Gende | r | | | | | |
| Male | 2.40 | 0.495 | 208.3 | 0.000 | 2.67 | .539 | 16.00 | 0.000 |
| Female | 1.25 | 0.437 | | | 2.94 | .226 | | |
| | Res | idential | State | • | | | | |
| Rural | 2.20 | 0.733 | 59.75 | 0.000 | 2.69 | .528 | 13.28 | 0.000 |
| Urban | 1.38 | 0.488 | 1 | | 2.94 | .232 | | |
| | | Fam | ily Incon | ne | | | | |
| Enough | 2.22 | 0.620 | 62.65 | 0.000 | 2.70 | .514 | | |
| Not enough | 1.15 | 0.369 | | | 3.00 | .000 | 9.50 | 0.000 |
| nough and saving | 1.26 | 0.457 | | | 2.93 | .258 | 8.52 | |
| BMI | | | | | | | | |
| <18 | 1.50 | 0.707 | 1.84 | 0.142 | 3.00 | .000 | 0.808 | 0.491 |
| 18:<25 | 1.87 | 0.770 | 1 | | 2.78 | .466 | | |
| 25:<30 | 1.64 | 0.660 | 1 | | 2.87 | .331 | | |
| 30:<35 | 1.46 | 0.639 | 1 | | 2.92 | .277 | | |

F: One-way ANOVA, P value is significant ≤ 0.05

Table (8) clarified that there were statistically significant relation between student gender, and total diet self-efficacy of the studied adolescents after implementation the program. While, there was no statistically significant relation between age, residential state, family income, BMI and total diet self-efficacy of the studied adolescents throughout the program.

Table (8): Relation between socio- demographic characteristics, and total diet self-efficacy of the studied

adolescent (Pre, and post intervention) (n=136).

| · | | Tot | al diet se | lf-efficacy | of the st | udied adoles | cent | |
|------------------|-------|----------|------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------|---------|
| Items | | Pre | | | | Post | | |
| | Mean | SD | F Test | p-value | Mean | SD | F Test | p-value |
| | .192 | .552 | .251 | .025 | .13 | .572 | .440 | .884 |
| | | | | | | Gender | | |
| Male | 22.19 | 11.58 | 1.51 | .132 | 35.32 | 2.94 | 2.19 | 0.031 |
| Female | 25.88 | 16.43 | | | 36.41 | 2.87 | | |
| | Res | idential | State | | | | | |
| Rural | 23.94 | 12.26 | .077 | .924 | 36.29 | 2.40 | 1.62 | .108 |
| Urban | 24.13 | 16.00 | | | 35.48 | 3.33 | | |
| | | Fan | nily Incor | me | | | | |
| Enough | 23.91 | 14.97 | | | 36.08 | 2.83 | | |
| Not enough | 24.00 | 11.96 | .079 | .924 | 34.94 | 3.75 | 1.69 | |
| nough and saving | 26.14 | 7.34 | | | 34.71 | 2.06 | 1.09 | .189 |
| BMI | | | | | | | | |
| <18 | 28.67 | 12.01 | | | 33.33 | 1.53 | | |
| 18:<25 | 24.95 | 13.89 | 1.34 | .265 | 35.65 | 3.09 | 1.48 | .224 |
| 25:<30 | 24.28 | 15.40 | | | 36.44 | 2.78 | | |
| 30:<35 | 17.33 | 13.87 | | - | 36.40 | 2.32 | | |

F: One-way ANOVA P value is significant ≤ 0.05

Table (9) showed that there were statistically significant relation between students' gender, family income and total level of the studied adolescents' knowledge regarding physical activity and life style throughout the program. While there was no statistically significant relation between age, residential state, BMI and total level of the studied adolescents' knowledge regarding physical activity and life style after implementation the program.

Table (9): Relation between socio- demographic characteristics, and total level of the studied adolescent

knowledge regarding physical activity and life style (n=136).

| | Total leve | l of the | studied a | adolescent | knowledg | e regarding | physical act | ivity and life style |
|-------------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Items | | | | Pre | | | | Post |
| | Mean | SD | F Test | p-value | Mean | SD | F Test | p-value |
| Age | 1.48 | .632 | 1.52 | .101 | 2.54 | .619 | 1.25 | .239 |
| | | | | | | Gender | 5.37 | .022 |
| Male | 1.81 | .694 | 39.83 | .000 | 2.40 | .738 |] | |
| Female | 1.21 | .632 | | | 2.65 | .479 |] | |
| Residential Stat | te | | | | | | | |
| Rural | 1.69 | .727 | 14.70 | .000 | 2.44 | .729 |] | |
| Urban | 1.29 | .459 | | | 2.63 | .485 | 3.168 | .077 |
| Family Income | | | | | | | | |
| Enough | 1.71 | .685 | | | 2.42 | .696 | | |
| Not enough | 1.00 | .000 | 26.36 | .000 | 2.84 | .369 | 0.02 | |
| Enough and saving | 1.73 | .457 | | | 2.26 | .457 | 8.82 | .000 |
| BMI | | | | | | |] | |
| <18 | 1.00 | .000 | | | 2.50 | .707 | | |
| 18:<25 | 1.50 | .678 | .681 | .565 | 2.47 | .660 | 1.16 | .325 |
| 25:<30 | 1.41 | .564 | | | 2.63 | .548 | 1 | |
| 30:<35 | 1.60 | .507 | | | 2.76 | .438 | 1 | |

F: One-way Anova P value is significant <0.05

Table (10) showed that there was a statistically significant relation between students' gender, family income and total level of studied adolescents' reported practice regarding physical activity of the past 7 days. While, there was no statistically significant relation between age, residential state, BMI and total level of studied adolescents' reported practice regarding physical activity of the past 7 days throughout the program.

Table (10): Relation between socio- demographic characteristics, and total level of studied adolescent reported

practice regarding physical activity of the past 7 days (Pre, and post intervention) (n=136)

| | | level of a ty of the | | | reported j | practice reg | arding physi | cal |
|-------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|---------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Items | | Pre | | • | | Post | | |
| | Mean | SD | F | p-value | Mean | SD | F | p-value |
| | | | Test | | | | Test | |
| Age | 1.27 | 0.446 | 0.607 | 0.873 | 1.82 | 0.382 | .649 | .838 |
| | | | | | | Gender | | |
| Male | 1.49 | .504 | 33.14 | 0.000 | 2.000 | 0.0000 | 28.28 | .000 |
| Female | 1.093 | .292 | | | 1.82 | 0.469 | | |
| | | | | | Resider | ntial State | | |
| Rural | 1.30 | .465 | .791 | .375 | 1.76 | 0.424 | 2.53 | .114 |
| Urban | 1.23 | .429 | | | 1.87 | 0.335 | | |
| | | | | | | Fam | ily Income | |
| Enough | 1.40 | .493 | | | 1.92 | 0.269 | | |
| Not enough | 1.00 | .000 | 14.47 | .000 | 1.59 | 0.497 | 1470 | 0.000 |
| Enough and saving | 1.40 | .507 | | | 2.00 | 0.000 | 14.78 | |
| BMI | | | | | | | | |
| <18 | 1.50 | .707 | | | 2.000 | 0.000 | | |
| 18:<25 | 1.27 | .447 | .373 | .772 | 1.87 | 0.332 | 2.007 | .116 |
| 25:<30 | 1.22 | .425 | 1 | | 1.69 | 0.466 | | |
| 30:<35 | 1.33 | .487 | 1 | | 1.76 | 0.438 | | |

F is one-way Anova P value is significant < 0.05

DISCUSSION

The present study revealed that the majority of adolescents had a mean age of 15.73 ± 0.42 years, with over half being female, residing in urban areas, and reporting sufficient family income. Two-thirds exhibited a normal BMI pre-intervention, which is aligning with **Dalky** *et al.* (12) regarding age (14-16 years) and **Anton-Păduraru** *et al.* (13) on urban residence and normal BMI (< 75% of students). Conversely, this contrasts with **Petrova** *et al.* (14), who observed a male majority. The finding on family income concur with **El Mokadem & Shokr** (15) in Egypt.

Post-program implementation, nutritional habits among adolescents significantly improved. This finding aligns with **Elseifi** *et al.* ⁽¹⁶⁾ regarding positive changes in nutritional habits post-intervention. Further support comes from **Bhandari** *et al.* ⁽¹⁷⁾ noting increased healthy eating intention or improved dietary patterns after interventions. **Azrin Shah** *et al.* ⁽¹⁸⁾ also reported an increased mean practice score for healthy diets. These improvements likely stem from enhanced student awareness and the program's effectiveness in modifying dietary habits.

A statistically significant increase (p<0.001*) in adolescent knowledge regarding healthy and unhealthy dietary habits was observed post-intervention. This aligns with **Bhandari** *et al.* ⁽¹⁷⁾ indicating improved knowledge after educational interventions and improvement in diet guideline scores. However, **Fetohy** *et al.* ⁽¹⁹⁾ reported no knowledge change, possibly due to the timing of reassessment. The notable increase in knowledge scores suggests the intervention effectively educated adolescents on dietary habits.

Food safety and hygiene practices also demonstrated a statistically significant improvement (p<0.001*) post-intervention. This finding is consistent with **Abdelsalam** *et al.* ⁽²⁰⁾, who both reported post-intervention improvements in food handling knowledge and practices. Enhanced knowledge and practices in this area are crucial for preventing health risks associated with unsafe food handling.

Adolescents' knowledge concerning physical activity improved significantly post-program implementation. This result is in agreement with **Vaishali** *et al.* ⁽²¹⁾ who observed significant increases in physical activity scores, and **Mattson** *et al.* ⁽²²⁾ who noted increased physical activity knowledge. In contrast, **Castilho dos Santos** *et al.* ⁽²³⁾ found no significant differences in total physical activity.

The reported physical activity practices over the preceding seven days also improved post-intervention. This aligns with **Bahathig** *et al.* ⁽²⁴⁾ reporting increased physical activity or improved practice scores after interventions. **Elfaki** *et al.* ⁽²⁵⁾ also noted changes in student physical activity practices. **Ardiansyah** *et al.* ⁽²⁶⁾ further supports this with findings of increased moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and effective

online interventions, respectively. However, **Castilho dos Santos** *et al.* ⁽²³⁾ again reported no significant differences in total physical activity.

The present study revealed a difference in participants' dietary self-efficacy post-intervention, consistent with **Mokadem and Shokr** (27) both reporting significant differences in self-efficacy scores following interventions. Statistically significant relationships were observed between student gender and their knowledge of healthy/unhealthy dietary habits and food safety, consistent with **Angesti** *et al.* (28). Understanding these gender differences can inform tailored educational approaches.

No statistically significant relationship was found between BMI and adolescents' knowledge of healthy/unhealthy dietary habits, consistent with **Elfaki** *et al.* ⁽²⁵⁾. This suggests other factors, such as lifestyle or psychological elements, may more strongly influence BMI.

A statistically significant relationship was identified between student family income and knowledge/reported practice regarding food safety and hygiene, aligning with **Mirzaei** *et al.* ⁽²⁹⁾. However, this contrasts with **Aluh** *et al.* ⁽³⁰⁾ who found no significant relationship with family income.

Furthermore, a statistically significant relationship was found between gender and total diet self-efficacy post-intervention, which is consistent with **Efthymiou** *et al.* ⁽³¹⁾ who noted higher changes in diet self-efficacy among females. No significant relationship was found between BMI and diet self-efficacy, which is in line with **You** *et al.* ⁽³²⁾ suggesting BMI is influenced by multiple factors beyond self-efficacy.

Finally, statistically significant relationships were observed between student gender, family income, mother's education, and reported physical activity practice, which is aligning with **Lee and Lim** ⁽³³⁾, **Scholes and Mindell** ⁽³⁴⁾ and **Putri and Tahlil** ⁽³⁵⁾. However, **Putri and Tahlil** ⁽³⁵⁾ also reported no significant relationship with parental income, gender, or residential state in another context. The correlation between family income and physical activity likely reflects greater access to resources in higher-income households.

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings and research hypothesis of the current study, it was concluded that there was a statistically significant (p < 0.001) difference between the studied adolescents' mean scores of knowledge and practice regarding healthy eating habits and physical activity, while the diet intervention program was effective in improving knowledge and practice among the studied adolescents after implementing the program.

RECOMMENDATIONS

• Distribution of educational booklets about healthy eating habits and physical activity among adolescents.

- Replication of the program in other schools to improve students' knowledge and practices related to healthy eating habits.
 - Education of adolescents about the importance of physical activity through social media.

Funding: None.

Conflict of interest: None.

REFERENCES

- 1. Blaszczyk-B. ebenek E., Piórecka B., Plonka M et al. (2019): Risk Factors and Prevalence of Abdominal Obesity among Upper-Secondary Students. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16: 1750.
- 2. World Health Organization (2022): Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity
- 3. Shaluhiyah Z, Indraswari R, Kusumawati A (2021): Factors Influence on Dietary Intake and Practices of Adolescent Girls Aged 15-19 in Rural Area Central Java. Amerta Nutrition, 23:34.
- **4. World Health Organization (2020):** Obesity and overweight. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight
- **5.** Omera Naseer F, Fazil M, Bilal S *et al.* (2018): Eating habits of adolescent students. Journal of Rawalpindi Medical College, 22(4): 357-360.
- **6. Lesco V, Razmiret V (2024):** The Importance of Sports Activities in the Formation of Skills for an Active and Healthy Lifestyle in Young People. Bulletin of the "Transilvania" University of Braşov, 17 (2): 47-54.
- 7. Wang W (2024): A Study on the Value and Path of Youth Participation in Recreational Sports. Research on Child Health and Adolescent Development, 2 (3): 107-109.
- **8.** Van Sluijs E, Ekelund U, Crochemore-Silva I *et al.* (2021): Physical activity behaviours in adolescence: current evidence and opportunities for intervention. The Lancet, 398 (10298): 429-442.
- **9. Hoekstra B, Young V, Eley C** *et al.* (2016): School Nurses' perspectives on the role of the school nurse in health education and health promotion in England. BMC Nursing, 15 (1): 73.
- **10. Best N, Oppewal S, Travers D (2018):** Exploring school nurse interventions and health and education outcomes. The Journal of School Nursing, 34 (1): 14-27.
- 11. Wilson A, Magarey A, Mastersson N (2013): Reliability of Questionnaires to Assess the Healthy Eating and Activity Environment of a Child's Home and School. Journal of Obesity, http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/720368
- **12. Dalky H, Al Momani M, Al-Drabash T** *et al.* **(2017):** Eating habits and associated factors among adolescent students in Jordan. Clinical Nursing Research, 26 (4): 538-552.
- **13. Anton-Păduraru D, Gotcă I, Mocanu V** *et al.* **(2021):** Assessment of eating habits and perceived benefits of physical activity among adolescents from Northeastern Romania. Applied Sciences, 11 (22): 11042.
- **14. Petrova G, Merdzhanova E, Lalova V** *et al.* **(2022):** Eating habits and behavior in adolescents from different ethnic groups in Bulgaria. Journal of IMAB., 28 (2): 4350-4355.

- **15.Mokadem N, Shokr E (2021):** School-based dietary intervention to promote healthy eating habits and physical activity among adolescents in rural areas in Egypt. Nursing and Health Care, 4 (1): 349-355.
- **16. Elseifi O, Abdelrahman D, Mortada E (2020):** Effect of a nutritional education intervention on breakfast consumption among preparatory school students in Egypt. International Journal of Public Health, 65: 893-903.
- **17.Bhandari P, Adhikari S, Bhandari P** *et al.* **(2024):** Multi-strategy instructional intervention for healthy eating intention among school going adolescents. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4727648/v1 Page 1/20
- **18.Azrin Shah A, Aishath N, Al Oran H** *et al.* **(2018):** Knowledge, attitude and practice regarding healthy diet and physical activity among overweight or obese children. International Journal of Public Health and Clinical Sciences, 5 (4): 254-266.
- **19. Fetohy E, Mahboub S, Abusaleh H (2020):** The effect of an educational intervention on knowledge, attitude and behavior about healthy dietary habits among adolescent females. Journal of High Institute of Public Health, 50 (2): 106-112.
- 20. Abdelsalam S, Ragab H, Fahmy E et al. (2024): Interventional Program about Food Safety Knowledge and Handling Practices among Medical Students. Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Health, 19 (1): 51-64.
- **21. Vaishali K, Bhat H, Broadbent S (2024):** Effect of a pragmatic lifestyle modification intervention on physical activity levels and body mass index among obese adolescents in India. F1000Research, 13: 859.
- **22.Mattson R, Burns R, Brusseau T** *et al.* **(2020):** Comprehensive school physical activity programming and health behavior knowledge. Frontiers in Public Health, 8: 321.
- 23. Castilho dos Santos G, de Souza Silva T, da Silva J et al. (2024): Impact of the ActTeens Program on physical activity and fitness in adolescents. BMC Pediatrics, 24 (1): 447.
- **24.** Bahathig A, Saad H, Yusof N et al. (2023): Effectiveness of a nutrition and physical activity intervention among adolescent. International Journal of Public Health, 12 (3): 1085-1092.
- **25. Elfaki F, Khalafalla H, Gaffar A** *et al.* **(2020).** Effect of healthy lifestyle interventions in schools of Jazan City, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: a quasi-experimental study. Arab Journal of Nutrition and Exercise, 5(1): 1-14 **DOI:** 10.18502/ajne.v5i1.6911.
- **26.** Ardiansyah F, Efendi F, Tristiana R (2024): Online-Based Intervention as an Effort to Increase Physical Activity among Adolescent. Journal of Nursing Practice, 7 (2): 458-465.
- 27.El Mokadem N, Shokr E (2021): School-based dietary intervention to promote healthy eating habits and physical activity among adolescence in rural area. Nursing and Health Care, DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/nursing.2021.v4.i1.E.172
- 28. Angesti A, Manikam R, Prikhatina R et al. (2022): Analysis of nutrition knowledge and health education in adolescents. Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan MH Thamrin, 6 (2): 104-111.
- 29. Mirzaei A, Nourmoradi H, Zavareh M et al. (2018): Food Safety Knowledge and Practices of Male Adolescents in West of Iran. Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences, 6 (5): 908-912.

- **30. Aluh D, Nworie K, Aluh F** (2021): Food safety knowledge and self-reported practices among adolescents in rural secondary schools in Nigeria. International Journal of Adolescent Medicine and Health, 33 (5): 20180252.
- **31.Efthymiou V, Charmandari E, Vlachakis D** *et al.* **(2021):** Adolescent self-efficacy for diet and exercise following a school-based multicomponent lifestyle intervention. Nutrients, 14 (1): 97.
- **32. You H, Tan P, Mat Ludin A (2020):** The relationship between physical activity, body mass index and body composition among students at a pre-university centre in Malaysia. IIUM Medical Journal Malaysia, 19:2.
- **33.Lee S, Lim Y (2022):** The gendered playing field: Family socioeconomic status and national gender inequality in

- adolescents' out-of-school physical activity. Social Science & Medicine, 305: 115062.
- **34.Scholes S, Mindell J (2021):** Income-based inequalities in self-reported moderate-to-vigorous physical activity among adolescents in England and the USA. BMJ Open, 11 (2): e040540.
- **35.Putri R, Tahlil T** (2023): Relationship of Social Determinants and Physical Activity among Adolescents with Risk of Obesity in Rural Areas of Indonesia. International Journal of Nursing Education, p82.DOI: 10.37506/ijone.v15i1.18997
- **36 . Stich C, Knäuper B, Tint A (2009).** A scenario-based dieting self-efficacy scale: the DIET-SE. Assessment, 16(1): 16-30.