Effect of Blood and Fistula Flow Rates on Dialysis Adequacy, Phosphate Removal and Cardiac Function in Hemodialysis Patients

Ahmed Alaa-Eldin Ahmed ⁽¹⁾, Ahmed Salama Al Adl ⁽²⁾, Tarek Mustafa Emran ⁽³⁾, Sharif Kamal Hussein ⁽⁴⁾, Ayman Mahmoud Abdel-Fattah ⁽²⁾

(1) Internal Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Cairo (2); Internal Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Damietta (3); Clinical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Damietta (4); Radiology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Damietta, Egypt Corresponding author: Ahmed Salama Al Adl ,email:Salama139@azhar.edu.eg

ABSTRACT

Background: dialysis adequacy refers to the delivery of a dose of dialysis considered high enough to promote an optimal long term outcome. Quantification of the dialysis dose is an essential element because the adequacy has a profound effect on patient morbidity and mortality. **Aim of the study**: assessment the effect of blood and fistula flow rates on dialysis adequacy, phosphate removal and cardiac function in hemodialysis patients. **Patients and Methods**: this study was conducted on eighty patients with regular hemodialysis (HD) selected from Al-Azhar University Hemodialysis Unite in New Damietta. The studied patients were divided into two main groups according to blood flow rate (BFR) as follows: Group A 40 patients on BFR 250 mL/min and Group B 40 patients on BFR 350 mL/min. **Results:** there was statistically significant decrease of pre dialysis phosphate in group A in comparison to group B. There was statistically significant increase of KT/V, UUR and PRR in group B in comparison to group A. Arteriovenous fistula (AVF) Qa had negative correlation with TAPSE while it had positive correlation to all echocardiography findings except EF and heart rate (HR), kt/V and URR in group A. AVF Qa had positive correlation to all echocardiography findings except (EF, HR and TAPSE), kt/V, URR and PRP in group B

Conclusion: increasing of blood and fistula flow rates are effective in increasing dialysis adequacy and phosphate reduction ration and it is more evident where using high-flux hemodialysis.

Keywords: Hemodialysis; Arteriovenous Fistula.

INTRODUCTION

Dialysis adequacy refers to the delivery of a dose of dialysis considered high enough to promote an optimal long term outcome ⁽¹⁾.

Urea reduction ratio (URR) is a method of measuring adequate dialysis that correlates with patient outcome ⁽¹⁾. **Rafik** *et al.* ⁽²⁾ found that the most common marker to quantify dialysis adequacy is Kt/V index. **Basile** *et al.* ⁽³⁾ suggested that patients at greater risk for the development of high output cardiac failure are those with $Qa \ge 2000$ ml/min.

To achieve an adequate Kt/V, many factors could be optimized as follows: dialyzer size and characteristics, dialysis time, dialysis frequency, dialysate flow rate, and blood flow rate (BFR). However, the use of some of these methods is not always possible due to clinical intolerance and economic constraints ⁽⁴⁾.

Quantification of the dialysis dose is an essential element in the management of chronic hemodialytic treatment because the adequacy of the dose has a profound effect on patient morbidity and mortality ⁽⁵⁾. **Roozitalab** *et al.* ⁽⁶⁾ found that failure to reach the target Kt/V could have multiple reasons, including inability to provide the prescribed BFR due to inefficient access, error in estimating dry weight, inaccurate dialyzer specified clearance, clotting in the dialyzer, shorter dialysis sessions and in appropriate dialyzer selection.

AIM OF THE STUDY

Assessment the effect of blood and fistula flow rates on dialysis adequacy, phosphate removal and cardiac function in hemodialysis patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

1. Study Design: This study was conducted on eighty (80) patients with regular hemodialysis (HD) selected from Al-Azhar University Hemodialysis Unite in New Damietta during periods from Oct. 2018 to Jul 2019. The studied patients were divided into two (2) main groups according to blood flow rate (BFR) as follows: Group A: Forty (40) patients on BFR 250 mL/min and Group B: Forty (40) patients on BFR 350 mL/min

2. Ethical Aspects

The study protocol was accepted by the local Ethics and Research Committee, and consent was signed by the patients.

3. Exclusion criteria:

Patients with duration of HD therapy of less than three months and patients with central venous line.

4. Inclusion Criteria:

Patients with duration of HD therapy of at least three months, three dialysis sessions per week; 4 hours each, Fresenius 4008S, bicarbonate buffer and age of patients ranged from 20 to 70 years

5. Study Protocol:

All patients underwent history taking, clinical examination, arteriovenous fistula examination, laboratory investigations included, renal function tests: serum creatinine, blood urea, parathyroid hormone (PTH) by full automated analyzer, serum sodium, potassium, calcium, and phosphorus by full automated chemistry analyzer.

Radiological investigation: A) Echocardiography: Each patient underwent echocardiography performed by the Cardiology Unit using SEQUOIA C256 (SIEMENS) echocardiograph machine.

The following parameters were recorded for every patient: Left ventricle hypertrophy (LVH): Concentric or eccentric, left ventricle dilatation, ejection fraction (EF), left ventricle diastolic dysfunction, pulmonary pressures and wall motion abnormalities; valvular heart disease

B) Doppler ultrasound: Colour flow Doppler provides accurate imaging and flow volume measurement of vascular access in hemodialysis. Doppler ultrasound became the standard of care for evaluation of AVF dysfunction and is essential in the preoperative evaluation for access placement. Fistulae were scanned with a linear array transducer, longitudinally and transversely. All vascular flow measurements were done by a single experienced user using a dedicated vascular probe (4-10 MHz 10L-Linear Probe, GE medical systems).

Parameters of hemodialysis adequacy:

Measurement of urea reduction ratio: Urea reduction ratio is measured as follows:

- URR = $\frac{(C0-Ct)}{C0}$ X100%. Co: urea concentration at the start of the dialysis session, Ct: urea concentration at the end of the dialysis

Calculation of phosphate reduction ratio:

- PRR = $\frac{(c0-ct)}{c0}$ X100%. Co: pre-dialysis serum phosphate level, Ct: post-dialysis serum phosphate level Equilibrated Kt/V formula:
- Daugirdas: kt/v=-In $(\frac{postBUN}{preBUN-.03}) + (4-3.5 \times \frac{postBUN}{preBUN})$ $\times \frac{UF}{voight})$

K is the effective clearance of urea, t is the duration of the session, and V is the volume of urea distribution, UF: Ultrafiltration (weight loss), weight: postdialysis weight (dry weight).

Statistical analysis

Recorded data were analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences, version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Quantitative data were expressed as mean± standard deviation (SD). Qualitative data were expressed as frequency and percentage.

The following tests were done:

- Independent-samples t-test of significance was used when comparing between two means.
- Chi-square (x^2) test of significance was used in order to compare proportions between two qualitative parameters.
- The confidence interval was set to 95% and the margin of error accepted was set to 5%. The p-value was considered significant as the following:
 - Probability (P-value)
 - P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.
 - P-value < 0.001 was considered as highly significant.
 - P-value >0.05 was considered insignificant.

RESULTS

There was statistically significant decrease of pre dialysis phosphate in group A in comparison to group B (Table 1).

Table (1): Comparison between group A and group B as regards laboratory investigations

| | Group A (No.=40) | | Group B (No.=40) | | Independent test |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|
| | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | P value |
| Calcium | 8.31 | 0.51 | 8.37 | 0.52 | >0.05 |
| Phosphate (Mg/dl) (pre dialysis) | 6.25 | 0.60 | 7.63 | 1.12 | < 0.001 |
| Phosphate (Mg/dl) (post dialysis) | 3.29 | 0.45 | 2.97 | 0.21 | < 0.001 |
| Potassium (mmol/L) | 5.43 | 0.54 | 5.43 | 0.54 | >0.05 |
| Sodium | 138.75 | 4.51 | 138.75 | 4.51 | >0.05 |
| Creatinine (mg/dl) | 5.29 | 0.81 | 5.31 | 0.84 | >0.05 |
| PTH | 47.20 | 2.50 | 51.68 | 4.32 | >0.05 |
| BUN (pre dialysis) | 114.05 | 15.35 | 121.70 | 16.23 | 0.033 |
| BUN (post dialysis) | 33.08 | 4.60 | 24.38 | 3.87 | < 0.001 |
| WBCs | 5.83 | 1.76 | 5.90 | 1.70 | >0.05 |
| НВ | 9.29 | 1.38 | 9.36 | 1.35 | >0.05 |
| PLT | 205.63 | 6.10 | 204.88 | 6.72 | >0.05 |

Table (2): Comparison between group A and group B as regards KT/V, UUR and PRP

| | Group A (No.=40) | | Group B (No.=40) | | Independent test |
|-------------------|------------------|------|------------------|------|------------------|
| | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | P value |
| Kt /V (Daugirdas) | 1.41 | 0.07 | 1.84 | 0.13 | < 0.001 |
| URR | 70.89 | 1.76 | 79.54 | 2.75 | < 0.001 |
| PRR | 47.46 | 4.83 | 60.46 | 5.66 | < 0.001 |

There was statistically significant increase of KT/V, UUR and PRR in group B in comparison to group A (Table 2). There was statistically significant increase in Kt/V (1.45 ± 0.05) P < 0.001 and URR (72.08 ± 1.28) P < 0.001 and PRR (47.70 ± 4.17) P < 0.023 in high flux in comparison to low flux Kt/V (1.35 ± 0.05) URR (69.31 ± 0.83) PRR (44.14 ± 5.72) in group A.

Table (3): Comparison between group A and group B as regards echocardiography and AVF Qa data

| | Group A (No.=40) | | Group B (| No.=40) | Independent test |
|----------------------|------------------|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------------|
| | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | P value |
| LVEDD (cm) | 5.00 | 0.37 | 5.70 | 0.35 | < 0.001 |
| LVESD (cm) | 3.17 | 0.33 | 3.92 | 0.41 | < 0.001 |
| LVEDV (ml/m2) | 59.62 | 11.47 | 93.37 | 10.61 | < 0.001 |
| IVSd (cm) | 1.10 | 0.11 | 1.29 | 0.09 | < 0.001 |
| PWd (cm) | 1.10 | 0.09 | 1.30 | 0.09 | < 0.001 |
| LVESV (ml/m2) | 24.66 | 4.79 | 37.05 | 5.81 | < 0.001 |
| EF | 61.76 | 4.23 | 56.23 | 2.70 | < 0.001 |
| LV mass (g) | 168.48 | 41.68 | 326.67 | 59.95 | < 0.001 |
| BSA (m2) | 1.80 | 0.17 | 1.99 | 0.17 | < 0.001 |
| LV mass index (g/m2) | 109.91 | 32.53 | 190.75 | 42.41 | < 0.001 |
| RWT | 0.47 | 0.04 | 0.44 | 0.04 | < 0.001 |
| LA volume (ml/m2) | 32.63 | 11.50 | 52.56 | 8.65 | < 0.001 |
| SV (ml) | 106.73 | 28.03 | 172.99 | 28.55 | < 0.001 |
| HR (bpm) | 80.55 | 5.50 | 87.83 | 3.29 | < 0.001 |
| CO (ml/min) | 8.62 | 2.43 | 14.93 | 2.47 | < 0.001 |
| SPAP (mm Hg) | 29.21 | 5.31 | 38.57 | 3.87 | < 0.001 |
| TAPSE (cm) | 2.60 | 1.07 | 1.82 | 0.24 | < 0.001 |
| LVOTd (cm) | 2.64 | 0.22 | 2.89 | 0.20 | < 0.001 |
| LVOT area (cm2) | 5.22 | 0.78 | 7.25 | 0.75 | < 0.001 |
| LVOT VTI (cm) | 19.45 | 3.10 | 26.60 | 3.06 | < 0.001 |
| AVF Qa ml/min | 996.90 | 296.73 | 2370.78 | 487.92 | < 0.001 |

In group B, there was statistically significant increase in LVEDD, LVESD, LVEDV, LVESV, BSA, SV, LVM, LVMI, CO, and LA volume in comparison to group A (Table 3).

Table (4): Correlation between AVF Qa as regards echocardiography

| - 0 | AVF Qa ml/min | | |
|----------------------|---------------|---------|--|
| | r | P value | |
| LVEDD (cm) | 0.910 | < 0.001 | |
| LVESD (cm) | 0.978 | < 0.001 | |
| LVEDV (ml/m2) | 0.991 | < 0.001 | |
| IVSd (cm) | 0.863 | < 0.001 | |
| PWd (cm) | 0.969 | < 0.001 | |
| LVESV (ml/m2) | 0.937 | < 0.001 | |
| EF | -0.200 | >0.05 | |
| LV mass (g) | 0.914 | < 0.001 | |
| BSA (m2) | 0.820 | < 0.001 | |
| LV mass index (g/m2) | 0.985 | < 0.001 | |
| RWT | -0.860 | < 0.001 | |
| LA volume (ml/m2) | 0.981 | < 0.001 | |
| SV (ml) | 0.995 | < 0.001 | |
| HR (bpm) | 0.174 | >0.05 | |
| CO (ml/min) | 0.943 | < 0.001 | |
| SPAP (mm Hg) | 0.980 | < 0.001 | |
| TAPSE (cm) | -0.273 | < 0.001 | |
| LVOTd (cm) | 0.967 | < 0.001 | |
| LVOT area (cm2) | 0.993 | < 0.001 | |
| LVOT VTI (cm) | 0.996 | < 0.001 | |
| Kt /V (Daugirdas) | 0.315 | 0.048 | |
| URR | 0.349 | 0.027 | |
| PRR | 0.620 | 0.012 | |

AVF Qa had significant negative correlation with TAPSE while it had significant positive correlation to all echocardiography findings except EF and HR (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In our study, we found that there was statistically significant increase in KT/V, URR and PRR in group B in comparison to group A. In addition there was statistically significant increase in pre dialysis phosphate and BUN in group B in comparison to group A but there was statistically significant decrease in post dialysis phosphate and BUN in group B in comparison to group A.

These findings are in agreement with **Borzou** *et al.* ⁽⁷⁾ who found that increasing the BFR can increase the dialysis efficiency. Nevertheless, attention should be given to factors such as patients' tolerance, hemodynamic status, using suitable filter according to patients' weight, and suitable BFR. In addition **Rafik** *et al.* ⁽²⁾ showed that Kt/V Daugirdas was \geq 1.4 in 73% of cases with a BFR of 250 mL/min and in 100% of cases with a BFR of 350 mL/min. Several observational studies have examined the relationship between BFR and dialysis dose. **Chang** *et al.* ⁽⁸⁾ also showed that BFR was positively correlated with spKt/V (β = 0.108, P = 0.001) and odds ratio of patients with BFR <250 mL/min to have inadequate dialysis dose (spKt/V \leq 1.2) was 1.5 (95% CI, 1.03 to 2.20; P = 0.036).

In addition **Cigarran** *et al.* ⁽⁹⁾ found that usage of more efficient dialyzers, increasing the BFR, increasing dialysate flow rate and increasing dialysis duration can all increase delivery of HD. On the other hand **Gutzwiller** *et al.* ⁽¹⁰⁾ assessed the effectiveness of increasing BFR on clearance of potassium and phosphate with dialysis and showed that increasing the BFR was effective in increasing clearance of potassium but was not effective in phosphorus clearance. This discrepancy could possibly be due to a longer follow-up of their study, during which a number of intervening factors, including life style modification, diet, nutrition and efficacy of dialysis prescription.

In our study there was statistically significant increase in Kt/V, URR and PRR in high flux in comparison to low flux Kt/V, URR, and PRR in group A. In addition there was statistically significant increase in Kt/V, URR, and PRR in high flux in comparison to low flux Kt/V, URR, and PRR in group B. These findings are in agreement with Rafik et al. (2) who showed that increasing BFR is effective in increasing dialysis dose and PRR during high-flux HD. Another study reported by Yuehong et al. (11) showed that the high-flux FX60 dialyzer significantly alleviated HD patients' renal anemia, hyperphosphatemia and hypertension even within three-year study periods. This study suggests that high-flux HD might provide better hypertension control, improve anemia and decrease phosphate levels compared to low-flux HD. Khodavar et al. (12) showed a significantly higher quality of life in patients with high flux dialyzers than those treated with low flux dialyzer.

In our study we found a positive correlation between fistula flow rate and LVEDD, LVESD, LVEDV, LVESV, BSA, SV, LVM, LVMI, CO, LA volume with normal EF. These findings are in agreement with Mohamed et al. (13) who showed that HFA was associated with a significant dilatation of left cardiac chambers (LA and LV) along with a relatively lower ejection fraction and a higher SPAP, compared to subjects with a non-HFA. In another study conducted by Basile et al. (3) they found that the creation of an AVF exerted the cardiac function. Furthermore it causes long-term volume overload characterized by increased SV, LVM and LVMI. The resulting LVH is predominantly eccentric. In another study reported by Elbaz et al. (14) they found that the high flow fistula increased SV, LVM, and LVMI with normal RWT (eccentric hypertrophy). MacRae⁽¹⁵⁾ stated that patients with high flow AVFs most likely have a greater risk of developing high-output cardiac failure and are also likely to have greater increases in left ventricular end diastolic volume (LVEDV).

Martinez et al. (16) found that whether AVF or AVG creation leads to new onset heart failure is currently uncertain. One series looked at 562 patients who had preemptive AVF placement and were yet to start dialysis. A multivariate logistic regression analysis found that the most important determinant for developing heart failure was AVF creation (OR: 9.5; p < 0.0001). Iwashima et al. (17) found that patients with a Qa > 2000 ml/min had a higher tendency toward an increase LVEDV with increased levels of atrial natriuretic peptide.

The main factors increasing the pulmonary blood flow are anemia, fluid overload, and elevated CI due to AV fistula (18). Hiremath et al. (19) and Wijnen et al. (20) showed that creation of arteriovenous fistulas lead to increase CO and significant increases in both left ventricular wall mass and diameter in the longterm. Closure of the AV fistula after renal transplantation results in a decrease in left ventricular mass, due to a reduction in left ventricular diameter. The change in LV mass is significantly related to the LV mass and LVEDD before fistula closing (21), In addition Chemla et al. (22), monitored the effect of surgical access flow reduction on cardiac output in a group of high access flow patients. Only accesses with flow volume exceeding 1600 ml/min were included. Cardiac output decreased significantly after the surgery together with the access flow, Another study done by Movilli_et al. (23), on post transplantation period after AV fistula closure showed an increase in left ventricular ejection fraction, decrease in left ventricular mass, and mass index, and more favorable shift of cardiac geometry toward normal. Also Unger and Wissing (24), showed regression of LVH following AVF closure in renal transplant patients.

In our study we found a positive correlation as increase fistula flow rate caused increase in SPAP. These findings are in agreement with Yigla et al. (25) who showed that the incidence rate of pulmonary hypertension (PH) was significantly higher after initiation of HD with four out of six patients eventually developing PH. As opposed to this, pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) dropped in four out of five HD patients with PH after kidney transplantation following AVF closure. It was noted that mean PAP also dropped with AVF compression (from 52 to 41 mm Hg) along with a drop in CO. In contrast to our findings Gursel et al. (26) who found no relationship between AV access flow and development of pulmonary hypertension (PH). This discrepancy could possibly be due to small study population and the technique used for measuring PAP and AV fistula flow volume, which is noninvasive and sensitive to physiologic variables such as heart rate, preload, and respiration.

Paneni *et al.* ⁽²⁷⁾ compared echocardiographyderived peak systolic pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) between patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis (PD), and those receiving hemodialysis with radial and brachial AVFs. Systolic PAP was 29.7 ± 6.7 , 37.9 ± 6.7 and 40.8 ± 6.6 mm Hg, respectively (p < 0.001). **Yigla** *et al.* ⁽²⁵⁾, **Unal** *et al.* ⁽²⁸⁾, and **Fabbian** *et al.* ⁽²⁹⁾ found a much less prevalence of pulmonary hypertension (PH) in patients receiving PD compared to matched cohorts of patients undergoing hemodialysis via AVF. Our study found a positive correlation as increased fistula flow rate caused increase in Kt/V, URR and PRR *in group A*

Our study also found a positive correlation as increased fistula flow rate caused increase in Kt/V, and URR, and PRR *in group B*. **Ward** *et al.* ⁽³⁰⁾ found also *that* the efficiency and adequacy of HD is largely dependent on arterial blood flow rate from a well-preserved and functioning VA. Our study showed that there was statistically significant increase in LVEDD, LVESD, LVEDV, LVESV, BSA, SV, LVM, LVMI, CO, and LA volume in group B in comparison to group A.

CONCLUSION

Increasing of blood and fistula flow rates are effective in increasing dialysis adequacy and phosphate reduction ration and it is more evident on using high-flux hemodialysis. Increasing of fistula flow rate within limit may increase the risk of high output cardiac failure, pulmonary hypertension and may be associated with significant dilatation of left cardiac chambers (LA and LV).

REFERENCES

1. Yeldu M, Makusidi M, Mainasara A et al. (2015): Assessment of hemodiaylsis adequacy among ESRD in sokoto using urea reduction ratio and serum albumin

- concentration. Asian Journal of Science and Technology, 6(02): 1044-1050.
- **2. Rafik H, Aatif T, El Kabbaj D** (**2018**): The impact of blood flow Rate on Dialysis dose and phosphate removal in hemodialysis patients. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl., 29(4):872-878.
- **3. Basile C, Lomonte C, Vernaglione L** *et al.* (2008): The relationship between flow of arteriovenous fistula and cardiac output in haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant, 23:282-287.
- **4.** Mandolfo S, Borlandelli S, Ravani P *et al.* (2006): How to improve dialysis adequacy in patients with vascular access problems. J Vasc Access, 7:53-56.
- 5. Al Saran K, Sabry A, Abdulghafour M et al. (2009): Online Conductivity Monitoring of Dialysis Adequacy Versus Kt/V Derived from Urea Reduction Ratio: A Prospective Study from a Saudi Center International Journal of Nephrology and Renovascular Disease, 2: 27–31.
- **6.** Roozitalab M, Mohammadi B, Najafi SH *et al.* (2013): KT/V and URR and the Adequacy of Hemodialysis in Iranian provincial hospitals: an evaluation study. Life Sci J., 10(12):13–6.
- **7. Borzou SR, Gholyaf M, Zandiha M** *et al.* **(2009):** The Effect of Increasing Blood Flow Rate on Dialysis Adequacy in Hemodialysis Patients. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl., 20(4):639-642.
- **8.** Chang KY, Kim SH, Kim YO *et al.* (2016); the impact of blood flow rate during hemodialysis on all-cause mortality. Korean J Intern Med., 31:1131-9.
- Cigarran S, Coronel F, Torrente J et al. (2004): Risk of inadequate dialysis dose in hemodialysis patients with high Watson volume: A Warning. Hemodial Int., 8:84-88.
- **10.** Gutzwiller JP, Schneditz D, Huber AR *et al.* (2003); increasing blood flow increase kt/v and potassium removal but fails to improve phosphate removal. Clin Nephrol., 59(2):130-6.
- 11. Yuehong Li, Yujuan Wang, Jiaxuan Lv et al. (2013): Clinical outcomes for maintenance hemodialysis patients using a high-flux (FX60) dialyzer. Renal Failure, 35: 1240-1245
- **12. Khodayar O, Mehri S, Mahmood G** *et al.* **(2019):** Comparison the effect of high flux and low flux dialyzer on quality of life in hemodialysis patients; a clinical trial J Renal Inj Prev., 8(2): 98-105.
- **13. Mohamed AS, Wael ME, Viola W** *et al.* **(2018):** Effect of high flow arteriovenous fistula on cardiac function in hemodialysis patients. The Egyptian Heart Journal, 70 (2018) 337–341.
- **14. Elbaz TZ, Safwat FA, Abdallah MZ** *et al.* (2013): the relationship between arteriovenous fistula and cardiac abnormalities in hemodialysis patients. AAMJ., 11(3):15-22.
- **15. MacRae JM (2006):** Vascular access and cardiac disease: is there a relationship? Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens., 15:577-582.
- **16.** Martinez-Gallardo R, Ferreira-Morong F, Garcia-Pino G *et al.* (2012): Congestive heart failure in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease: association with preemptive vascular access placement. Nefrologia: publicacion oficial den la Sociedad Espanola. Nefrologia, 32(2):206–212, 2012.

- **17. Iwashima Y, Horio T, Takami Y** *et al.* (2002): Effects of the creation of arteriovenous fistula for hemodialysis on cardiac function and natriuretic peptide levels in CRF. Am J Kidney Dis., 40(5):974–982.
- **18. Nakhoul F, Yigla M, Gilman R** *et al.* (2005): The pathogenesis of pulmonary hypertension in haemodialysis patients via arterio-venous access. Nephrol Dial Transplant., 20:1686–1692.
- 19. Hiremath S, Doucette SP, Richardson R *et al.* (2010): Left ventricular growth after 1 year of haemodialysis does not correlate with arteriovenous access flow: a prospective cohort study. Nephrol Dial Transplant., 25:2656–61.
- **20.** Wijnen E, Keuter XH, Planken NR *et al.* (2005): The relation between vascular access flow and different types of vascular access with systemic hemodynamics in hemodialysis patients. Artif Organs, 29(12):960–964.
- 21. van Duijnhoven EC, Cheriex EC, Tordoir JH *et al.* (2001); Effect of closure of the arteriovenous fistula on left ventricular dimensions in renal transplant patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant., 16: 368–372.
- 22. Chemla ES, Morsy M, Anderson L et al. (2007); Inflow reduction by distalization of anastomosis treats efficiently high-inflow high-cardiac output vascular access for hemodialysis. Semin Dial., 20(1):68-72.
- 23. Movilli E, Viola BF, Brunori G et al. (2010): Long-term effects of arteriovenous fistula closure on

- echocardiographic functional and structural finding in haemodialysis patients:aprospective study. Am J Kidney Dis., 55:682-689.
- **24. Unger P and Wissing KM (2006):** Arteriovenous fistula after renal transplantation: utility, futility or threat? Nephrol Dial Transplant, 21(2):254–257.
- **25. Yigla M, Nakhoul F, Sabag A** *et al.* (2003): Pulmonary hypertension in patients with end-stage renal disease. Chest, 123(5):1577–1582.
- **26. Gursel A, Ramazan A, Mehmet M** *et al.* (2008): The relationship between arteriovenous fistula blood flow rate and pulmonary artery pressure in hemodialysis patients. Int Urol Nephrol., 40:509–513.
- **27. Paneni F, Gregori M, Ciavarella GM** *et al.* (**2010**): Right ventricular dysfunction in patients with end-stage renal disease. Am J Nephrol., 32(5):432–438.
- **28.** Unal A, Tasdemir K, Oymak S *et al.* (2010): The long-term effects of arteriovenous fistula creation on the development of pulmonary hypertension in hemodialysis patients. Hemodial Int., 14:398–402.
- **29. Fabbian F, Cantelli S, Molino C** *et al.* (2011): Pulmonary hypertension in dialysis patients: a cross-sectional Italian study. Int J Nephrol., 2011:283475.
- **30.** Ward RA (1999); Blood flow rate: An important determinant of urea clearance and delivered Kt/V. Adv Ren Replace Ther., 6:75–79.