Assessment of Estrogen Receptor Gene 1 PvuII Polymorphism and Its Relation to Male Infertility

Rashad Mahmoud Mostafa¹, Mohamed Azab Mohamed², Hoda Yousry Abdallah³, Hani Mansour Ahmed^{3*}

¹Department of Andrology, Sexology & Human Reproduction, 2Department of Andrology, Infertility & STDs, 3Department of Dermatology, Venereology & Andrology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Egypt *Corresponding author: Hani Mansour Ahmed Mobile: +20 109 828 9042

E-mail:Honnaking2@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Estrogen, which is usually associated with women, is important for a variety of physiological activities in men, involving metabolism of bone, testicular, cardiovascular, & sexual function.

Aim and objective: This study aimed to assess the correlation among estrogen receptor gene 1 Pavol Ivanyi (Pvu) Π polymorphism & male infertility.

Patients and methods: This Cross-sectional comparative research was performed on 92 Egyptian married men who were divided into 2 groups.

Results: There was a positive association among single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) results & motility, vitality, and abnormal sperm forms in the fertile group (p<0.001, <0.001 & p=0.008 respectively), but no significant alteration among SNP results and count or volume (p=0.416 & 0.087 respectively). There was a positive association among SNP result & sperm count (p=0.006), while there was no significant variance among SNP result & semen volume, total sperm motility, vitality and abnormal forms in infertile group (p=0.512, 0.070, 0.145 & 0.676 respectively).

Conclusion: The distributions of Pvull genotype were not significantly various among infertile & fertile groups. However, there was positive correlation between SNP result and motility, vitality and abnormal sperm forms in fertile group. While in infertile group there was positive association among SNP result & sperm count where total sperm count (TSC) genotype was higher than total testosterone (TT) and clomiphene citrate (CC) genotypes.

Keywords: Estrogen receptor gene 1, Men, Infertility.

INTRODUCTION

The classification of infertility as a public health concern is maintained by the World Health Organization ⁽¹⁾. Infertility is defined by the World Health Organization & the American Society for Reproductive Medicine as the inability of a couple to conceive naturally following twelve months of consistent sexual activity without the use of contraceptives ⁽²⁾. Infertility affects ten to fifteen percent of couples, with male factors accounting for half of the cases ⁽³⁾.

Estrogen, which is usually associated with women, is important for a variety of physiological activities in male, involving metabolism of bone, testicular, cardiovascular and sexual functions ⁽⁴⁾ and is present in semen in higher concentrations than in female serum ⁽⁵⁾. The principal source of circulating estradiol in males is adipocytes' peripheral aromatization of circulating testosterone ⁽⁶⁾. Estrogen functions via estrogen receptors (ERs), which are nuclear receptor proteins comprising a DNA-binding domain & an estrogen-binding domain ⁽⁷⁾. The purpose of this research endeavor was to evaluate the association among infertility in men & the estrogen receptor gene 1

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This was a cross-sectional comparative research performed on 92 Egyptian married men. They were grouped into infertile patients (n=46) & normal fertile men (n=46) in the Andrology Unit, Department of Dermatology, Venerology & Andrology, Suez Canal

University Hospital and Cleopatra IVF centre in Ismailia (Relevant Private Sector).

Inclusion criteria: Male patients aged 20 - 60 years, sexually active patients suffering from infertility of more than 1 year and diagnosed by history and abnormal semen analysis.

Exclusion criteria: Hypogonadism, hormonal therapy, varicocele and history of acute urogenital infection.

Control group criteria: Male subjects aged 20-60 years and fertile men who were able to conceive within a year and had normozoospermic parameters.

Sample size calculation: The study population were enrolled using simple random sample technique of selection. For determining the sample size, the following formula was utilized:

$$n = \left[\frac{Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta}}{P_1 - P_2}\right]^2 (p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2)$$

Dawson & Trapp ⁽⁸⁾.

Where:

PvuΠ polymorphism.

 $n = \text{sample size.} Z\alpha/2 = 1.96$ (The critical value that separates the central ninety five percent of the Z distribution from the five percent in the tail). $Z\beta =$

0.84 (The critical value that separates the lesser twenty percent of the Z distribution from the upper 80%).

P1 = Prevalence / proportion of CC genotypes in the study population = 29.82% ⁽⁹⁾. P2 = Prevalence / proportion of TT/TC genotypes in the study population = 70.18% ⁽⁹⁾. q = 1-p.

So, by calculation, the sample size was 46 male infertile patients and other 46 age-matched healthy control subjects.

METHODS

All patients were subjected to the following: Physical examination. General examination: The development of secondary sex characters, body fat distribution, breast examination for gynecomastia, body hair distribution, signs of systemic disorders and abdominal scars. Local genital examination: The scrotum, penis, epididymis, testes, vas deferens, spermatic cord, & prostate were among the structures examined. Any scrotal discolouration, testicular asymmetry, and the position and size of the penile meatus opening were all recorded ⁽¹⁰⁾.

Investigations

Semen analysis: Semen samples were collected in a private room after sexual abstinence, with detailed instructions for collection and analysis. The samples were ejaculated into a sterilised glass container, kept between 20°C and 37°C to prevent temperature variations. The man's name, identification number, and collection date were recorded. The specimen was then placed in an incubator for analysis. Results were compared to **WHO's** standard values for semen analysis.

Reproductive hormones estimation:

Serum was separated from blood samples with an ELISA technique in order to measure prolactin (PRL), luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), total testosterone (T), & estradiol (E2).

Genotyping of PvuII Polymorphism in ESR1 Gene:

The genotyping for the Pvu polymorphism in the ESR1 gene was carried out utilizing the polymerase chain reaction restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method described by **Safarinejad** *et al.* ⁽¹¹⁾, with the following major steps: a) Genomic DNA extraction from frozen whole blood samples, b) Amplification of ESR1 gene using thermal cycler PCR, c) Digestion of the product by PvuII restriction enzyme, d) Visualization and detection of digested products using gel electrophoresis.

Interpretation: After the fragments were separated, we looked at the gel to determine what sizes of bands were

there. The DNA fragments illuminated when a gel was stained with a DNA-binding dye and exposed to UV light, allowing us to observe the DNA present at various positions along the length of the gel. We calculated the estimated size of bands in a sample by comparing them to a DNA ladder.

Ethical considerations: All participants signed informed written consent forms (Signature or fingerprints of participants). In case of illiterate participants, a witness signature is required. The consent contained: A clear and simple explanation of the study's goal for the general public. All information were deemed private and not be shared with anybody outside of the study. The study's results were announced to all participants. Participants were permitted to withdraw from the study at any time and without reason if they so desired. There is no financial recompense for the patients' time and effort. The study adhered to the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association, specifically the Declaration of Helsinki, for research involving human subjects.

Statistical analysis

After being confirmed and coded by the researcher, the data were examined utilizing IBM-Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM-SPSS/PC/VER 21) analysis software. The distributional differences in the frequency of several risk variables between the two groups were compared utilizing the Chi square test. For normally distributed data, the mean variations in continuous variables between the two groups were tested utilizing the Student t-test. For non-parametric data, the median variations in continuous variables between the two groups were tested utilizing the Mann-Whitney test. For normally distributed data, a one-way ANOVA was computed to examine mean variations in continuous variables across groups. For non-parametric data, a Kruskall-Wallis test was computed to examine median variations in continuous variables between groups. The association between the most prevalent risk variables and the illness state was evaluated utilizing Spearman's Rank association Coefficient. Test findings were deemed significant when the p-value was ≤ 0.05 .

RESULTS

There was significant variance among studied groups as regards semen volume, count, total motility, vitality, and abnormal forms (p < 0.05), while there wasn't significant variance among the studied groups regarding pus cells in semen p=0.054 (Table 1).

https://ejhm.journals.ekb.eg/

| Table (1): Comparison among the tw | | v | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Semen Analysis | Fertile group | Infertile group | U | Р |
| Volume (ml) | (n = 46) | (n = 46) | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 3.20 ± 0.98 | 2.52 ± 1.16 | 695.0* | 0.004^{*} |
| Count (x10 ⁶ /ml) | (n = 46) | (n = 41) | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 71.5 ± 35.35 | 7.32 ± 4.38 | 0.0^{*} | < 0.001* |
| Total Motility (%) | (n = 46) | (n = 41) | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 71.2 ± 13.47 | 24.67 ± 14.92 | 0.0^{*} | < 0.001* |
| Vitality | (n = 46) | (n = 41) | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 83.91 ± 9.66 | 38.62 ± 13.05 | 0.0^{*} | < 0.001* |
| Abnormal forms (%) | (n = 46) | (n = 41) | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 61.89 ± 8.33 | 81.46 ± 10.26 | 157.0* | < 0.001* |
| Pus cells (per HPF) | (n = 46) | (n = 46) | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 1.59 ± 1.24 | 1.08 ± 1.0 | 811.5* | 0.054 |

Table (1): Comparison among the two studied groups according to semen analysis

U: Mann Whitney test t: Student t-test P: p value for comparing among the studied groups *: Statistically significant at $p \le 0.05$

There were no significant variations in Pvull genotype distributions among fertile & infertile groups (P = 0.332). The genotype frequencies were CC: 23.9%, TC: 58.7% and TT: 17.4% for fertile men, while the genetic frequencies were CC: 30.4%, TC: 43.5%, and TT: 26.1% for infertile subjects (Table 2).

Table (2): Comparison among the 2 studied groups corresponding to molecular analysis of PvuII polymorphism

| Molecular analysis | Fertile group (n = 46) | | Infertile group (n = 46) | | χ^2 | р | OR | 95% C.I. |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------|-----------------------------|------|----------|---------|-------|-------------|
| | No. | % | No. | % | | | | |
| SNP Result | | | | | | | | |
| C/C® | 11 | 23.9 | 14 | 30.4 | | 0.332 | 1.000 | - |
| T/C | 27 | 58.7 | 20 | 43.5 | 2.203 | | 0.582 | 0.22 - 1.55 |
| T/T | 8 | 17.4 | 12 | 26.1 | | | 1.179 | 0.36 - 3.89 |
| Allele | | | | | | | | |
| C® | 49 | 53.3 | 48 | 52.2 | 0.022 | 2 0.883 | 1.000 | - |
| Т | 43 | 46.7 | 44 | 47.8 | | | 1.045 | 0.59 - 1.86 |

 χ^2 : Chi square test OR: Odds ratio CI: Confidence interval

There was no significant distinction among SNP results regarding age, history of smoking or FSH level in fertile group p= 0.350, 0.715, 0.460 respectively (Table 3).

Table (3): Relation between SNP Result & variance parameters in fertile group (n=46)

| | | SNP Result | | | | | | р |
|-------------|---------------|------------|------------------|------|-----------------|------|------------------|-------|
| | C/C (1 | n= 11) | T/C (n= 27) | | T/T (n= 8) | | Sig. | |
| | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | | |
| Age (years) | | | | | | | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 31.91 | ± 7.52 | 32.44 ± 7.01 | | 36.50 ± 8.80 | | F= 1.077 | 0.350 |
| Smoking | | | | | | | | |
| Non smoker | 4 | 36.4 | 14 | 51.9 | 4 | 50.0 | $\Box^2 = 0.826$ | 0.715 |
| Smoker | 7 | 63.6 | 13 | 48.1 | 4 | 50.0 | | |
| FSH (IU/l) | | | | | | | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 5.45 = | ± 2.17 | 6.07 ± 2.70 | | 4.61 ± 1.55 | | H= 1.554 | 0.460 |

F: F for ANOVA test H: H for Kruskal Wallis test P: p value for association between different categories

There was a positive association among SNP results and motility, vitality, and abnormal sperm forms in the fertile group (p<0.001, <0.001 & p=0.008 respectively), but no significant variance among SNP results and count & volume (p=0.416 & 0.087) (Table 4).

| Semen Analysis | | SNP Result | | Test of | р |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | C/C (n=11) | T/C (n= 27) | T/T (n= 8) | Sig. | |
| Volume (ml) | | | | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 2.87 ± 0.92 | 3.37 ± 0.98 | 3.06 ± 1.07 | H= 1.754 | 0.416 |
| Count (×10 ⁶ /ml) | | | | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 59.82 ± 48.75 | 76.81 ± 31.72 | 69.63 ± 23.96 | H= 4.893 | 0.087 |
| Total Motility (%) | | | | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 57.27 ± 4.67 | 80.56 ± 8.59 | 58.75 ± 6.41 | F= 50.919* | < 0.001* |
| Vitality (%) | | | | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 75.90 ± 6.64 | 89.07 ± 7.47 | 77.50 ± 8.86 | H= 18.90 [*] | < 0.001* |
| Abnormal forms (%) | | | | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 67.27 ± 6.07 | 58.89 ± 7.76 | 64.63 ± 9.01 | H= 9.570* | 0.008^{*} |

Table (4): Relation among SNP result & semen analysis in fertile group (n=46)

*: Statistically significant at $p \le 0.05$

There were no significant variations among SNP results and smoking, age, complaint and FSH in infertile group (p=0.081, 0.893, 0.904 & 0.895 respectively) (Table 5).

| | | | • | Result | | | Test of | р |
|--------------------------|-------|--------|------------------------|--------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| | C/C (| n= 14) | T/C (n= 20) | | T/T (1 | n= 12) | Sig. | |
| | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | | |
| Smoking | | | | | | | | |
| Non smoker | 6 | 42.9 | 16 | 80.0 | 7 | 58.3 | $\chi^2 =$ | 0.081 |
| Smoker | 8 | 57.1 | 4 | 20.0 | 5 | 41.7 | 5.031 | |
| Age (years) | | | | | | | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 31.42 | ± 6.80 | ± 6.80 30.90 ± 5.05 30 | | 30.33 | 30.33 ± 5.99 | | 0.893 |
| Complaint | | | | | | | | |
| Primary infertility | 11 | 78.6 | 15 | 75.0 | 10 | 83.3 | $\chi^2 =$ | 0.904 |
| Secondary infertility | 3 | 21.4 | 5 | 25.0 | 2 | 16.7 | 0.361 | |
| FSH (IU/l) | | | | | | | | |
| Mean ± SD. | 7.26 | ± 1.03 | 5.72 ± 1.48 | | 5.40 ± 1.59 | | H= 0.223 | 0.895 |

Table (5): Relation among SNP Result & distinct parameters in infertile group (n= 46)

MC: Monte Carlo

There was a positive association among SNP result & sperm count (p=0.006), while there was no significant variance among SNP result and semen volume, total sperm motility, vitality and abnormal forms in infertile group (p=0.512, 0.070, 0.145 & 0.676 respectively (Table 6).

| C/C (n=14) | T/C (n= 20) | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | 1/C(1-20) | T/T (n= 12) | | |
| | | | | |
| 2.49 ± 1.31 | 2.70 ± 1.04 | 2.23 ± 1.22 | H= 1.337 | 0.512 |
| | | | | |
| 4.80 ± 3.67 | 9.75 ± 3.64 | 6.73 ± 4.57 | $H=10.109^{*}$ | 0.006^{*} |
| | | | | |
| 18.93 ± 12.58 | 30.25 ± 15.26 | 22.08 ± 14.69 | F= 2.827 | 0.070 |
| | | | | |
| 30.35 ± 15.86 | 38.0 ± 18.80 | 30.0 ± 18.46 | H= 3.858 | 0.145 |
| | | | | |
| 82.14 ± 9.14 | 70.0 ± 31.12 | 67.50 ± 33.41 | H= 0.782 | 0.676 |
| | 4.80 ± 3.67 18.93 ± 12.58 30.35 ± 15.86 82.14 ± 9.14 | $\begin{array}{c} 4.80 \pm 3.67 \\ 18.93 \pm 12.58 \\ 30.25 \pm 15.26 \\ \hline \\ 30.35 \pm 15.86 \\ 82.14 \pm 9.14 \\ \hline \\ 70.0 \pm 31.12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4.80 ± 3.67 9.75 ± 3.64 6.73 ± 4.57 18.93 ± 12.58 30.25 ± 15.26 22.08 ± 14.69 30.35 ± 15.86 38.0 ± 18.80 30.0 ± 18.46 82.14 ± 9.14 70.0 ± 31.12 67.50 ± 33.41 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

| Table (6): Relation among SNP Result & semen Analysis in infertile group (n= 46) |
|--|
| |

H: H for Kruskal Wallis test P: p value for association among different categories *: Statistically significant at $p \le 0.05$.

DISCUSSION

Estrogen receptors were implicated in the physical actions of estrogens (ERs). Two subtypes of ER have been identified: ER α & ER β . The ESR1 gene on chromosome 6q25 encodes ER α , which is a 595-amino-acid protein, while the ESR2 gene on chromosome 14q22-24 encodes ER β , which is a 530-amino-acid protein ⁽¹²⁾. Several polymorphic locations in the ER α gene locus have been discovered through genetic screening ⁽¹³⁾. Two polymorphisms in the ER α intron 1 have been extensively examined. These polymorphisms are the T/C transition (rs2234693) and the G/A transition (rs9340799) within fifty base pairs downstream of the former ⁽¹⁴⁾.

PvuII and XbaI are the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in ESR1 that have been investigated the most frequently. PvuII is also known as IVS1-397 T/C or rs2234693, while XbaI is also known as IVS1-351 A/G or rs9340799. When it comes to the former, the T and C alleles are commonly stated as the p and P alleles, and when it comes to the latter, they are frequently described as the x and X alleles, respectively. There is a correlation between the ESR1 XbaI polymorphism and azoospermia or idiopathic severe oligospermia in men ⁽¹⁵⁾.

The analysis of our data revealed no statistically significant variance (P = 0.332) in the distributions of Pvull genotypes among the fertile & infertile groups. The genotype frequencies were CC: 23.9%, TC: 58.7% and TT: 17.4% for fertile men, while the genetic frequencies were CC: 30.4%, TC: 43.5% and TT: 26.1% for infertile subjects, and the observed genotype frequencies were consistent with Hardy-Weinberg for SNP Result.

In their meta-analysis conducted in Iran, **Mobasseri** *et al.* ⁽¹⁶⁾ found a strong protective correlation among ER-PvuII & male infertility in the homozygote model (p = 0.042). A similar link was discovered in the

asthenozoospermia subgroup (p = 0.025). In the heterozygote co-dominant model, the ER-PvuII polymorphism was likewise correlated with a lower incidence of men infertility, according to meta-analysis (p = 0.042). In the heterozygote co-dominant model, research with sample sizes of less than 400 participants also indicated a significant connection (p = 0.023). The bioinformatics results suggested that the ER-PvuII polymorphism could have a big impact. Liagat et al. (17) found that statistically there was no significant variance observed in the frequencies of the T and C alleles between cases & controls with regard to the PvuII polymorphism (p=0.76013). Infertile individuals had a higher prevalence of the heterozygous TC PvuII genotype, which was strongly associated with an increased risk of infertility compared to the homozygous TT (p=0.00003) & uncommon homozygous CC (p=0.00005) genotypes among cases & controls respectively. The presence of rare homozygous CC genotypes and homozygous TT (p=0.84154) did not correlate significantly with infertility risk in any of the patient. A significant association was observed between individuals carrying the heterozygous genotype TC & the probability to have infertility when combined with patients carrying the uncommon homozygous genotype CC (p=0.00158).

ESR1 PvuII variant C allele carriers were also correlated with a lower possibility of men infertility (CT vs. TT, OR = 0.78, Ninety-five percent confidence interval (CI): 0.62–0.98), according to **Ge** *et al.* ⁽¹⁸⁾. Further ethnic subgroup studies revealed that the ESR1 PvuII polymorphism is associated with a lower possibility in Asian populations & an enhanced risk in Caucasian populations. **Safarinejad** *et al.* ⁽¹¹⁾ found that the examined SNPs had a statistically significant effect on the three main sperm parameters (motility concentration & morphology) ⁽¹¹⁾. Also, in this study the sperm count in ER- α PvuII TC genotype (9.75 ± 3.64) was higher than that found in ER- α PvuII TT genotype (6.73 ± 4.57), and ER- α PvuII CC genotype (4.80 ± 3.67), while there was no significant relation between different genotypes and semen volume, total sperm motility, vitality and abnormal forms in infertile group. **Lazaros** *et al.* ⁽⁹⁾ demonstrated a link between ER polymorphisms & sperm motility & concentration, highlighting the gene's importance in spermatogenesis & sperm quality.

LIMITATIONS

Our research was limited to the Egyptian population & hence cannot be generalized to other ethnic or racial groups. We still don't have accurate explanation of causative correlation for those particular polymorphisms. We didn't have enough power to figure out interactions or conduct subgroup analysis.

CONCLUSION

The distributions of Pvull genotype were not significantly variance among infertile & fertile groups. However, there was positive correlation between SNP result and motility, vitality and abnormal sperm forms in fertile group. While in infertile group there was positive correlation among SNP result & sperm count where TC genotype was higher than TT and CC genotypes.

DECLARATIONS

- **Consent for publication:** I certify that each author granted permission for the work to be submitted.
- **Funding:** No fund
- Availability of data and material: Available.
- **Conflicts of interest:** No conflicts of interest.
- Competing interests: None.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ombelet W (2020): WHO fact sheet on infertility gives hope to millions of infertile couples worldwide. Facts Views Vis Obgyn., 12 (4): 249-251.
- 2. Bordin B, Moura K (2015): Association between Rsal polymorphism in estrogen receptor β gene and male infertility. Genet. Mol. Res., 14 (3): 10954-60.
- **3.** Mfady D, Sadiq M, Khabour O *et al.* (2014): Associations of variants in MTHFR and MTRR genes with male infertility in the Jordanian population. Gene, 536 (1): 40-4.
- 4. Rambhatla A, Mills J, Rajfer J (2016): he Role of Estrogen Modulators in Male Hypogonadism and Infertility. Rev Urol., 18(2):66-72.
- 5. Meng J, Mu X, Wang Y (2013): Influence of the XbaI polymorphism in the estrogen receptor- α gene on human spermatogenic defects. Genet Mol Res., 12 (2): 1808-15.

- 6. Lakshman K, Kaplan B, Travison T *et al.* (2010): The effects of injected testosterone dose and age on the conversion of testosterone to estradiol and dihydrotestosterone in young and older men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab., 95 (8): 3955-64.
- 7. Heger Z, Rodrigo M, Krizkova S *et al.* (2014): Identification of estrogen receptor proteins in breast cancer cells using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (Review). Oncol Lett., 7 (5): 1341-1344.
- DAWSON B, Trapp, Robert G (2004): Basic & clinical biostatistics. In: Basic & clinical biostatistics, https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/book.aspx?bookI D=2724
- **9.** Lazaros L, Xita N, Kaponis A *et al.* (2010): Estrogen receptor alpha and beta polymorphisms are associated with semen quality. J Androl., 31 (3): 291-8.
- **10.** Foster R (2016): Male Genital System. Jubb, Kennedy & Palmer's Pathology of Domestic Animals, 3: 465–510.e1. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-7020-5319-1.00016-5.
- 11. Safarinejad M, Shafiei N, Safarinejad S (2010): Association of polymorphisms in the estrogen receptors alpha, and beta (ESR1, ESR2) with the occurrence of male infertility and semen parameters. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol., 122 (4): 193-203.
- Jameera-Begam A, Jubie S, Nanjan M (2017): Estrogen receptor agonists/antagonists in breast cancer therapy: A critical review. Bioorg Chem., 71: 257-274. doi: 10.1016/j.bioorg.2017.02.011.
- **13. Ratnu V, Emami M, Bredy T (2017):** Genetic and epigenetic factors underlying sex differences in the regulation of gene expression in the brain. J Neurosci Res., 95 (1-2): 301-310.
- 14. Schmid V, Lafleur V, Lombardi O *et al.* (2019): Coincidence of RCC-susceptibility polymorphisms with HIF cis-acting sequences supports a pathway tuning model of cancer. Sci Rep., 9 (1): 18768. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-55098-7.
- Vladoiu S, Dinu-Draganescu D, Botezatu A et al. (2016): Correlations between polymorphisms of estrogen 1, vitamin d receptors and hormonal profile in infertile men. Acta Endocrinol (Buchar), 12 (2): 137-144.
- 16. Mobasseri N, Nikzad H, Karimian M (2019): Protective effect of oestrogen receptor α -PvuII transition against idiopathic male infertility: a case-control study and meta-analysis. Reprod Biomed Online, 38 (4): 588-598.
- **17.** Liaqat S, Hasnain S, Muzammil S, Hayat S (2015): Polymorphism analysis in estrogen receptors alpha and beta genes and their association with infertile population in Pakistan. EXCLI J., 14: 1085-94. doi: 10.17179/excli2015-559.
- **18.** Ge Y, Xu L, Jia R *et al.* (2014): Association of polymorphisms in estrogen receptors (ESR1 and ESR2) with male infertility: a meta-analysis and systematic review. J Assist Reprod Genet., 31 (5): 601-11.