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ABSTRACT

Background: Popliteal artery aneurysm (PAA) represents 1% in men. Bilateral conditions occur in more than 50% of the cases. The most common cause of such aneurysms is atherosclerosis. About 80% of the PAA’s are asymptomatic at the time of diagnosis while 20% carry high risk for thrombosis and amputation subsequently.

Objectives: This study was conducted to compare the medial and posterior approaches in management of popliteal artery aneurysm repair.

Patients and Methods: 40 cases of PAA’s were included. Medial approach was done in 20 cases and posterior approach was done to the other 20 cases. Careful history taking and clinical examination was done for proper assessment.

Results: Primary patency after 30 days was 100% with average hospital stay 3.5 days. In the post-operative period, there was few complications with medial approach where one wound hematoma occurred. One asymptomatic graft thrombosis after 11 months occurred. One patient required angioplasty at 24 months with stenting of the distal anastomosis. Graft complications with posterior approach was minimal including one wound seroma, one distal showers in the anterior and posterior tibial arteries at 10 months, one case required balloon angioplasty to the proximal anastomosis at 17 months.

Conclusion: After 6 months, there was no significant difference between the two approaches but if we take in consideration the risk of persistent PAA growth (up to 25%) after the medial approach, the posterior approach might be the preferred.
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INTRODUCTION

Popliteal artery aneurysm (PAA) is an uncommon problem affecting the vascularity of the lower limb. It represents 1% in men (1). The age varied from 60 to 85 years. Bilateral conditions occur in more than 50% of cases. The most common cause of such aneurysms is atherosclerosis, another rare causes include, trauma, infections or familial, in addition to some connective tissue diseases such as Behcet’s disease or Marfan’s syndrome (2). Two types of popliteal artery aneurysm are present, the first one is confined to the popliteal artery and the second type extends to the superficial femoral artery above the adductor hiatus. About 80% of the PAA’s are asymptomatic at the time of diagnosis. There are many approaches in the management of PAA’s (3). Our research included the most common two types of them, medial are posterior approaches.

AIM OF THE WORK

To compare the medial and posterior approaches in management of popliteal artery aneurysm repair as regards the feasibility of the technique, patency rate, results and complications.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Our study was conducted on 40 cases of PAA’s in Sayed Galal and Al-Hussein University Hospitals and private hospital through the period between January 2015 and January 2020. In 20 cases, the medial approach was done, and posterior approach was done to the other 20 cases. Selection of the cases was done on random bases. Careful history taking and clinical examination was done for proper assessment. In some cases, Doppler and CT angiography was done to confirm that the aneurysm did not extend to the adductor hiatus. Also, to confirm the presence of good distal run-off, otherwise the medial approach was useful for possibility of easy anastomosis proximal to the aneurysm and good thrombectomy of both anterior and posterior tibial arteries. Anesthesia was either spinal or general with endotracheal tube.

Ethical approval:

The study was approved by the Ethics Board of Al-Azhar University and an informed written consent was taken from each participant in the study. This work has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for studies involving humans.

Operative details

Written consent was taken from the patient then:

In posterior approach (Figure 1), the patient was positioned in prone position with the knee joint slightly flexed, the whole limb was sterilized by povidone...
iodine, vertical or s-shaped skin incision was done over the popliteal aneurysm across the popliteal fossa provided no healing problems or contracture was done. With the use of such vertical incision, the sural nerve and short saphenous vein was protected. The popliteal vein and medial and lateral popliteal nerves were dissected and proximal dissection and control of the popliteal artery was done and if there is a need. Dissection of the adductor hiatus was done to give more proximal exposure.

Patient was amputated in the next morning with hospital discharge after 3 days.

Figure (2): medial approach

RESULTS

The primary patency rate after 30 day was 100% with average hospital stay 3.5 days. In the post-operative period few complications occurred with medial approach, one wound hematoma occurred at the infragenicular wound, which was successfully drained after wound opening with careful wash and re-drainage of the wound (Table 1). One graft thrombosis after 11 months in which no intervention was done as the patient was asymptomatic. One patient required angioplasty at 24 months with stenting of the distal anastomosis balloon dilation was successfully done with improvement of the patient’s complaint.

Another patient developed aneurysm at the proximal anastomosis at 55 months and managed by removal of the aneurysm and re-anastomosis with the native artery by intervening small synesthetic PTFE. Graft complications with posterior approach was minimal including one wound seroma, which was drained percutaneously. One distal showers in the anterior and posterior tibial arteries at 10 months, which was managed by infra-genicular Thrombectomy and one case required balloon angioplasty to the proximal anastomosis at 17 months.

Another leg paresthesia was present in one case due to sural nerve involvement during surgical management of large aneurysm, which was improved spontaneously after 6 months (Table 1).

Reversed saphenous vein grafts were used in 7 cases, smell vein grafts in 4 cases, synthetic grafts PTFE in 6 cases and Daron grafts in 4 cases in the posterior approach.

In the medial approach, long saphenous reversed grafts in 12 cases, synthetic ringed PTFE grafts in 6 cases and Daron grafts in 2 cases. Long saphenous was used from the ipsilateral limb.
In the first month postoperative, no deaths were recorded in both groups and no amputation or limb loss was necessary.

Table (1): Complications of both approaches of popliteal artery repair

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Posterior group % (N=20)</th>
<th>Medial group % (N=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of complications</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound hematoma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound seroma</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal ischemia</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graft thrombosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angioplasty</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg paresthesia</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amputation</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of approach</th>
<th>Reversed long saphenous vein graft</th>
<th>Small vein graft</th>
<th>PTFE Graft</th>
<th>Dacron graft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Posterior approach</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial approach</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

In the bypass with distal and proximal aneurysmal ligation is the most common maneuver because it is easy, safe with easy accessibility of the great saphenous vein, which may be used for natural grafting but this surgical technique may be associated with increase in the size of the aneurysm and development of mechanical complications. To overcome this problem, extended medial approach may be used by working around the medial head of gastrocnemius muscle and direct work up on the aneurysm.

The main advantage of posterior approach is definitive exclusion of the PAA, and this is reported by many surgeons but this maneuver having many problems including difficulty in the proximal and distal control that may be present, adherence of the popliteal vein and medial and lateral popliteal nerves to the aneurysm as well as difficulty in harvesting the great saphenous vein from the same wound so we use the short saphenous vein or other medial incision was done to harvest the long saphenous vein.

In aneurysms that was extended above the Hunter canal, medial approach was done with extension of the wound towards the medial head of gastrocnemius muscle. In our study we found no significant differences between the medial and posterior approaches in long-term outcomes in PAA repair not passing the Hunter canal. Both groups were nearly similar in complications, primary and secondary patency rate and limb salvage rates. Both groups reaching 6 months without stenosis or occlusion.

After 6 months, 2 occlusions occurred in the posterior approach this led to a significant difference between the 2 groups. No neurological complications or problems related to the deep venous system were seen either in the operated limb or the other healthy one were seen in the medial and posterior approaches.

Our primary and secondary patency rates were 90% compared to Beseth et al., which was 93% in the primary patency rate and 96% in the secondary patency rate in the posterior approach, this difference may be due to two early technical failures.

In our study, the graft material also affected the patency rates. The patency rates in saphenous graft was 85% versus 65% for PTFE graft (p < .01). The graft material affects the primary and secondary patency rates according to many studies.

This irrespective of the type of the approach was used. The major disadvantages of the medial approach is the mechanical increase in size which in some cases required rapid re-intervention. The reported incidence of postoperative aneurysm growth after medial approach is 35% to 25% and 50%. This makes necessary to follow-up with duplex ultrasound in cases with aneurysms that extend above the Hunter canal, repair with a medial approach should be done, also as in cases associated with superficial femoral artery occlusion.

CONCLUSION

The medial approach has better primary patency rate (< 6 months) compared to the posterior approach and this might be due to the limited field of exposure causing early thrombotic complications, which require re-intervention. Also, the use of autogenous venous graft have higher primary and secondary patency rates compared to PTFE graft irrespective to the type of the approach used.

After 6 months, there is no significant difference between the two approaches but if we take in consideration the risk of persistent PAA growth (up to 25%) after the medial approach, the posterior approach might be the preferred surgical approach of PAA repair at the end.

REFERENCES


