Evaluation of Flush Endovenous Laser Ablation of the Great Saphenous Vein up to the Saphenofemoral Junction
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ABSTRACT
Background: Varicose vein disease is one of the most common health problems faced by vascular surgeons worldwide affecting up to 23% of the adult population. The majority of patients with primary varicose veins have great saphenous vein (GSV) insufficiency.

Objective: To determine the feasibility and safety of flush Endovenous laser ablation (EVLA) of the patients with Great saphenous vein (GSV) up to the saphenofemoral junction.

Patients and Methods: A prospective study was conducted in the Vascular Surgery Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University from January to June 2021 including 18 cases. All patients were subjected to detailed history taking, clinical examination, the clinical severity of venous disease was established using CEAP [Clinical, etiological, anatomical & pathological] and VCSS, the effect of disease-specific quality of life was determined using the CIVIQ,

Laboratory Investigations and duplex ultrasonography performed for all patients.

Results: The operative time ranged from 20 minutes to 40 minutes, with a mean of 30 minutes. Regarding hospital stay, all patients were discharged on the same day of the intervention. Return to normal activity ranged from 7 to 9 days, with a mean of 7.33 +/- 1.46. as regard complications; only Ecchymosis in 2 limbs (7%), Temporary numbness at the leg in 3 limbs (11%), and incomplete occlusion in one patient (4 %).

Conclusion: The use of a high wavelength (1470nm) with a modified fiber tip with tumescent solution has a crucial role in achieving the best results and minimizing the adverse effects. This allows homogenous destruction of the vein wall exclusively.
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INTRODUCTION
The varicose vein is considered one of the most common presentations at the vascular clinics; it affects both sex and different age groups, with an incidence of up to 40% of the population(1).

Traditionally, varicose veins were treated with Trendelenburg and stripping for many decades. Since the start of the 90 in 20 century, new techniques were introduced with subsequent refinement and advances in technology as endovenous laser ablation (EVLA) and radiofrequency ablation (RFA)(2,3).

Patients with varicose veins may complain of unsightly appearance, aching, heaviness, pruritus, and early fatigue of the affected leg. These symptoms worsen with prolonged standing and sitting and are relieved by elevation of the leg above the level of the heart. Also, mild edema is often present. More severe signs include thrombophlebitis, hyperpigmentation, lipodermatosclerosis, ulceration, and bleeding(4).

The desired consequence of varicose vein therapy is relief from persistent varicose veins. However, current literature indicates that both high ligation and stripping (HL/S) and endovenous laser ablation (EVLA) has a similar high long-term (>5 years) varicose vein recurrence rate(5,6).

In approximately one-third of patients, long-term recurrence (>5 years) after EVLA of the great saphenous vein (GSV) has been documented in practice(7). However, compared to HL/S, where new visualization tends to be an inept proximal saphenous stump interacting with junctional tributaries at a regular source of reflux (between 8% and 31%)(8).

In EVLA, the most common scenario is the propagation of incompetence from the saphenofemoral junction (SFJ) down the anterior accessory saphenous vein. Not only the GSV but also all SFJ tributaries were connected during HL/S, specifically to reduce recurrence. The GSV is normally ablated up to 1 to 2 cm distal to the confluence of the GSV and common femoral vein (CFV) during EVLA, leaving a GSV stump to mitigate potential thrombotic complications(3,9).

The development of such a postoperative thrombus is called endovenous heat-induced thrombosis (EHIT) at the end of the ablated GSV and is indeed a well-recognized complication following endovenous thermal ablation procedures(10,11).

Compared to first-generation front-firing fibers, technological advances, such as the production of radially emitting fibers, could reduce the risk of EHIT during flush EVLA (fEVLA)(11). This study is aimed to determine the feasibility and safety of flush Endovenous laser ablation (EVLA) of patients with Great saphenous vein (GSV) up to the saphenofemoral junction.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
A prospective study was conducted in the Vascular Surgery Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Sharkia, Egypt from January to June 2021.
including 18 patients with Great saphenous vein (GSV), the duration of the study was 6 months.

**Ethical Considerations:**

The study was approved by the research ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The work has been carried out following The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for studies involving humans.

**Inclusion criteria:** Age from 25 – 60 years, both sex. Patients with a previous history of deep venous thrombosis (DVT). Patients diagnosed by varicose vein recurrence after ELVA. Patients with symptomatic GSV. Patients with SFJ incompetence.

**Exclusion criteria:**

Patients with tortuous GSV, deep venous incompetence on duplex, inability to give informed consent to trial participation, non-palpable distal pulsation, inability to ambulate, pregnant women, bleeding tendency, patients have a pacemaker or internal defibrillator as well as patients who treated with anticoagulants.

A comprehensive history was taken from each participant as well as a clinical examination including a full history especially the history of varicose veins disease was done. Past medical history of hypertension, diabetes, cardiac diseases, superficial thrombophlebitis, or deep vein thrombosis (DVT).

General Examination Including cardiovascular, respiratory & abdominal examination. Complete pulse examination was accomplished to exclude peripheral arterial disease. The clinical severity of venous disease was established using CEAP [Clinical, etiological, anatomical & pathological] and VCSS. Further, the effect of disease-specific quality of life was determined using the CIVIQ.

a) In VCSS, each patient was given a score between 0 and 30 according to 10 parameters (Pain, varicose veins, edema, pigmentation, inflammation, induration, number of ulcers, duration of ulcers, size of ulcers & compressive therapy) which are graded 0 to 3 (Absent, mild, moderate & severe).

b) In CIVIQ, each patient completed the 20 questions of the Chronic Venous Insufficiency Questionnaire (CIVIQ) quality of life questionnaire after being translated to Arabic. The CIVIQ comprises 20 questions in four quality of life domains (Physical, psychological, social & pain). All questions have a 5 point response category, with higher scores reflecting more severe impairment, and the global scores were transformed into a scale of 0 – 100.

Laboratory Investigations included; Complete blood count (CBC), Fasting blood sugar (FBS) and (HbA1C) in diabetic patients, Bleeding profile, Serum urea, and creatinine.

Duplex ultrasonography was performed for all patients, the superficial, the deep systems, and the perforators were evaluated. The deep system was evaluated for patency & presence of abnormal reflux. The superficial system was evaluated as regarding the SFJ, GSV, SPJ & perforators; measuring reflux time & vein diameter is of great value.

The presence of retrograde flow lasting >0.5s was considered significant. Before surgery, precise mapping (Cartography) was done using the duplex-scanning method from the groin to the ankle to highlight tortuous veins, areas of ectasia, and incompetent perforators.

**Intraoperative performance and techniques used:**

The surgical procedure was performed with the patient under spinal anesthesia. Tumescent solution [(5 mL epinephrine + 5 mL bicarbonate) and 35 mL lidocaine 2 % diluted in 500 mL saline solution or Ringer’s lactate] was administered into the perivenous space under US guidance using a syringe or mechanical infusion pump.

ELVA procedure: Venous access was obtained by a puncture with a 6 F. needle under US guidance using the Seldinger method as mentioned before.

The insufficient GSV was entered at knee level or below because of ease of access (i.e. Large diameter and linear course) with the least risk for nerve injury. After the guide wire was in place, the needle was removed & an introducer sheath was passed over the guide wire. After activation, the laser was pulled back continuously with a pull-back speed of 1–3mm/s according to vein diameter. The positioning of the fiber tip was then reconfirmed before starting the procedure. Then, the laser was switched from standby to ready mode and the foot pedal was depressed to deliver energy. Power was set at 10 W; the mean energy delivered was ranged from 70 – 90 J/cm for treatment of incompetent GSV.

The continuous pullback was used while we watched the real-time energy readout on the generator and gauged speed with the 1 cm marks on the sheath delivering 70– 90 J/cm according to the vein diameter. To prevent skin burns or trauma to the entry site, we stopped treatment by removing the foot from the pedal when the tip of the laser fiber was approximately 1–3 cm above the entry site, followed by removal of the fiber and sheath. Closure of the vein was visualized with duplex ultrasound to identify an increase in echogenicity of the venous wall to ensure complete ablation.

Complementary percutaneous ultrasound-guided foam injection sclerotherapy using polidocanol (Aethoxysklerol 1 or 2 %) was done as mentioned in the RF procedure. A compressive bandage or long compressive stocking class II was indicated for 1 weeks. Patients were discharged on the same day of the procedure.
Follow-up:

All patients were followed up on an outpatient basis for physical examination and duplex ultrasound by an experienced vascular physician on day 7, and week 6 after the procedure. A day 7, the eccentric compression dressing was removed, and the presence of possible complications, such as relevant bleeding, hematoma, dysesthesia, and superficial vein thrombosis, were recorded. Duplex ultrasound of the superficial and deep venous system was performed, assessing for successful saphenous vein ablation and deep venous thrombosis. The distance of the occluded GSV of the thrombus concerning the SFJ, named 0-point distance (0-PD), was also recorded. Compression stocking was recommended for another 2 to 3 weeks except during sleep and bathing. The collected data were coded, processed, and analyzed using the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 22 for Windows® (IBM SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Data were tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro Walk test. Qualitative data distribution were represented as frequencies and relative percentages. Chi-square test ($\chi^2$) to calculate the difference between two or more groups of qualitative variables. Quantitative data were expressed as mean ± SD (Standard deviation). Independent samples t-test was used to compare between two independent groups of normally distributed variables (parametric data). P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

### RESULTS

#### Table (1): Age and sex distribution of the studied group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age(years)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35.58±5.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 showed that 77.8% of patients were females while 22.2% were males. The mean age of patients was 35.58±5.93.

#### Table (2): Body mass index distribution of the studied group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 18.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5 -24.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 or more</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 showed that body mass index (BMI), ranged from 18.5 to 32, with a mean of 26.11±2.84.

#### Table (3): Main complaint distribution between patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main complaint</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain cosmetic</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The presenting symptoms were pain among 13 patients (72.2%). On the other hand, only cosmetic appearance was present in 5 patients (27.8%) as showed in table 3.

#### Table (4): Other complaints associated with pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaint</th>
<th>Leg ulcer</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of the 13 patients (72.2%) suffering from pain, 12 patients (66.6%) had edema, while one patient (5.5%) suffered from leg ulcers as presented in table 4.

#### Table (5): CEAP classification

Table 5 showed that, according to the CEAP classification, 7 patients were C2 (39%), 9 were C3 (50%), while only one was C4 (5.5%), and one was C5 (5.5%).

#### Table (6): Operative data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operative data</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal anesthesia with Tumescent solution</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for adding sedation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of energy used</td>
<td>3450 J (2250 to 5000), 3450 ± 852.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The operative time (minutes)</td>
<td>30 (20-40), 30 ±4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return to normal activity (days)</td>
<td>(7-9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (hours)</td>
<td>7.33 ± 1.46 (3-4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This study involved 18 limbs, had bilateral limbs affection while the rest had only one limb affected; GSV was refluxing in all limbs, TA was used in all cases while sedation was added in 2 cases, amount of energy used ranged from 2250 to 5000 J with a mean of 3450 J table 6. Regarding the operative time, it ranged from 20 minutes to 40 minutes, with a mean of 30 minutes. Regarding hospital stay, all patients were discharged on the same day of the intervention. Return to normal activity ranged from 7 to 9 days, with a mean of 7.33 +/- 1.46 as presented in table 6.

### Table (7): Complication of the procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>N. of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecchymosis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary numbness at leg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete occlusion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat-induced thrombosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only Ecchymosis occurred in 2 limbs (1.1%), temporary numbness at the leg in 3 limbs (16.6%), incomplete occlusion in one patient (5.5%), and heat-induced thrombosis (0%) as showed in table 7.

### DISCUSSION

As regard clinic-demographic characteristics among the patients, 77.8% of them were females and 22.2% were males and the mean age of patients was 35.58±5.93. Body mass index (BMI), ranged from 18.5 to 32, with a mean of 26.11 ± 2.84.

However, in the study of Spinedi et al.\(^{(12)}\), a single-center retrospective study act on zero distance (fEVLA) between September 2017 to October 2018 with a 1470 nm radially emitting fiber) the majority of them (77%) were females with a mean age of 56.7 ± 15.3 years. Their mean BMI was 24.9 ± 4.3. Also, Zied\(^{(13)}\) (a single-center prospective study act on fEVLA during the period from May 2016 to February 2018) demonstrated that the total number of patients in his study was 125 patients, involving 132 limbs. There were 37 (29.6%) males and 88 (70.4%) female patients, with a male to female ratio of 1: 2.4. The main age was 40.4±11.8 years.

The present study has some limitations including a small sample size, short time of study which is not enough to detect long-term complications like recurrence.

On the other hand, only cosmetic appearance was present in 5 limbs (27.8%). Out of the 13 patients (72.2%) suffering from pain, 12 patients (66.6%) had edema, while one patient (5.5%) suffered from leg ulcers.

Our results were supported by a study done by Zied\(^{(13)}\) who reported that a total of 18 (94.4%) cases were unilateral, and seven (5.6%) cases were operated for bilateral varicose veins.

However, in the study of Agena et al.\(^{(14)}\) 43 patients (57.3%) suffered from disfigurement, 21 patients (28.0%) suffered from leg ulcers and 11 (14.7%) suffered from pain.

Our study showed that as regard CEAP classification; 7 patients were C2 (39%), 9 were C3 (50%), while only 1 was C4 (5.5%) and 1 was C5 (5.5%).

Our results were supported by a study done by Zied\(^{(13)}\) who reported that most of the cases were CEAP classification C2–3 with the collective number of 109 (82.6%) patients of both categories, and C4–6 represent 17.4% (23 patients).

However, in the study of Shoab et al.\(^{(15)}\) the number of patients in the different CEAP clinical categories were C2, 30 (22.9%); C3, 33 (25.2%); C4, 52 (39.7%); C5, 1 (0.8%); and C6, 15 (11.5%); therefore, more than half of the patients had skin changes or active/healed ulceration.

In the study of Müller and Alm\(^{(16)}\), (a single surgeon retrospective study between March 2019 to April 2020), there were 22 extremities in CEAP grade C2, 9 with grade C3, 3 with C4, and one with C6. Thrombophlebitis was diagnosed preoperatively in 3/35 (8.6%). The median diameter of the vein to be treated at the upper insufficiency point was 7 mm (range: 5–21).

However, in the study of Zied\(^{(13)}\), the total operative time was 43±17 and 93±12.7 min for unilateral cases and bilateral cases, respectively; time to establish a single sheath was 37±15 s; the treated great saphenous vein segment length was 70.45±3.8 cm; the average amount of tumescent anesthesia was 400±50 ml; per limb, laser energy 5950±730 J with ablation time of 8.7±0.6 min.

According to Alkhatteep et al.\(^{(17)}\), the procedure time was significantly longer in the SHL/ablation group (88.5±9.8 min) than EVLA (66.5±11.76 min). Treated patients resumed their normal daily activities after few days with no significant difference between the two groups.

The current study showed that as regard complications; only Ecchymosis in 2 limbs (11.1%), Temporary numbness at the leg in 3 limbs (16.6%), and incomplete occlusion in one patient (5.5%).

In our study, no DVT, pulmonary embolism, or any other serious complication related to the procedures were recorded. This may be explained by early ambulation to maintain deep vein and SFJ tributary flow and use of the correct distance for the fiber optic catheter and recent radial fiber during the ablation which prevented thrombi from extending into the deep venous system.

However, in the study of Spinedi et al.\(^{(12)}\) no local groin complications on days 1, 10, and week 6, respectively.

### CONCLUSION

In the present study, we act on Flush EVLA up to the saphenofemoral junction and it was noted that using
of high wavelength (1470nm) with modified fiber tip with tumescent solution have a crucial role in achieving best results and minimizing the adverse effects. This allows homogenous destruction of the vein wall exclusively, without any risk of damage to surrounding tissues and also successful ablation of large-sized vein diameter.

This study suggests that fEVLA of the GSV using a radial emitting fiber is feasible and safe. And can be suggested as the first option for the management of primary varicose veins based on the lower incidence of complications. Good cosmetic, very short postoperative stay early return to normal activity and can be used for both limbs.
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