Leg Wound Complications During Hospital Stay When Using Conventional Saphenous Vein Harvest Versus Endoscopic for Coronary Bypass Surgery
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ABSTRACT

Background: Using the endoscope to harvest the saphenous vein as an alternative to conventional method helps in decreasing leg wound related complications.

Objective: The aim of the current work was to detect the leg wound problems while using endoscopic vein harvesting versus conventional method during hospital stay.

Patients and Methods: Fifty patients underwent coronary bypass surgery divided into two groups randomly, conventional, and endoscopic. Data of the patients were collected perioperatively. Patients were followed up during hospital stay which was 5-7 days in average regarding leg wound complications.

Results: Leg wound pain in the postoperative period during hospital stay was significantly higher in the conventional group. leg swelling was lower in endoscopic group, occurrence of hematoma of the leg was higher in endoscopic group.

Conclusion: It could be concluded that harvesting the saphenous vein endoscopically is superior to conventional method regarding leg wound complications in addition to its cosmetic advantage.
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INTRODUCTION

The saphenous vein graft is the commonest graft used in coronary surgery [1]. Conventional saphenous vein graft harvesting is accompanied by increased incidence of leg wound complications [2-3]. Endoscopic vein harvesting reduces the leg wound related problems in comparison to conventional method [4-5].

The aim of the current work was to detect the leg wound problems while using endoscopic vein harvesting versus conventional method during hospital stay.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This prospective randomized study included a total of fifty patients underwent coronary bypass surgery and requiring 2 segments of saphenous vein plus internal mammary artery, attending at Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Cairo University Hospitals. This study was conducted between January 2017 to December 2019.

Ethical consent:

An approval of the study was obtained from Cairo University academic and ethical committee. Every patient signed an informed written consent for acceptance of the operation.

Patients were divided into two equal groups: 25 patients each, conventional method of saphenous vein harvesting in group one, and endoscopic harvesting in group two.

Duplex was used to map both saphenous veins prior to surgery. Saphenous vein should be at least 5.0 mm under the skin, wall thickness less than 1.6 mm, lumen 2.0 to 4.0 mm and the deep venous system must be patent. In both groups the operator was a senior surgeon. In group one side branches were clipped and ligated, in group two MAQUET harvesting system was used with carbon dioxide insufflation, the branches were divided using special harmonic diathermy and clips applied after harvesting.

Perioperative data were collected. Patients were followed up after surgery during hospital stay which was (5-7) days in average as regards leg wound related complications. Severity of pain in the leg wound was documented as the patient subjectively complained.

Statistical analysis

Recorded data were analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences, version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Quantitative data were expressed as mean± standard deviation (SD). Qualitative data were expressed as frequency and percentage. Independent-samples t-test of significance was used when comparing between two means. P<0.001 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patients’ data listed in (Table 1). Most of patients were diabetic males. Operative data regarding harvesting of the saphenous vein are listed in (Table 2). The length of skin incision is significantly lower in group 2. The mean length of the harvested saphenous vein was the same in both groups. The harvesting time in both groups was almost the same. The number of branches required repair using 7-0 were significantly higher in the endoscopic group. The length of skin incision was significantly shorter in endoscopic group. Data showing leg wound related complications during hospital stay listed in (Table 3). Postoperative pain was significantly higher in group 1 during hospital stay. Incidence of hematoma was higher in group 2 but not statistically significant. Swelling of the leg was higher in group 1.

Table (1): Clinical data of the patients
Conventional harvesting (N=25) | Endoscopic (N=25)
--- | ---
Male | 20(80%) | 22(90%)
Female | 5(20%) | 3(12%)
Age (years) | 66 ±9.94 | 65±9.17
Left ventricular ejection fraction | 55.4±7.85 | 54.4±8.09
Diabetics (%) | 22(90%) | 20(80%)
Smokers (%) | 18 (72%) | 16 (64%)

Table (2): Operative data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (N=25)</th>
<th>Group 2 (N=25)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graft length (cm)</td>
<td>35.8±7.36</td>
<td>34.5±10.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time needed to harvest the graft (min)</td>
<td>37.3±14.30</td>
<td>38.6±22.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of 7-0 sutures</td>
<td>0.3±0.94</td>
<td>1.2±1.57</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skin incisions length (cm)</td>
<td>41.3±11.81</td>
<td>7.5±2.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The previous data are shown as: mean ± the standard deviation. not significant (P>0.05)

Table (3): Leg-related complications during hospital stay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (N=25)</th>
<th>Group 2 (N=25)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haematoma (%)</td>
<td>11 (44%)</td>
<td>15 (60%)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling (%)</td>
<td>6 (24%)</td>
<td>3 (12%)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg wound infection (%)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg wound pain (%)</td>
<td>2 (8%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Conventional saphenous vein graft harvesting has been the best method in coronary surgery for many years, endoscopic technique has become commonly used as a substitute to conventional method. The endoscopic harvesting of saphenous vein is superior to conventional technique in decreased postoperative leg wound pain, decreased postoperative swelling and decreased wound length\(^{10}\). It carries the hazard of CO\(_2\) embolization during insufflation\(^7\). Data about follow up of endoscopically harvested saphenous vein graft is deficient\(^8\). Due to the increasing number of endoscopically harvested vein and adoption of the technique the harvesting time decreased till it almost reaches the time of open technique\(^9\-\(10\).

In the current study endoscopically harvested saphenous vein graft showed that postoperative leg wound pain during hospital stay (which was 5-7 days in average) was significantly lower than patients of open technique. In our study number of patients suffered hematoma in the leg was higher in the group of endoscopically harvested saphenous vein graft but it was not statistically significant. In our study the leg wound length was significantly lower in the endoscopic group.

CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that saphenous vein graft harvesting endoscopically is superior to conventional method in decreasing postoperative pain, swelling and it is better in cosmetic result.
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