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Abstract

Aim of the study: The present study was done to obtain a baseline for clinical indications of EEG in children and to evaluate the Electroencephalography (EEG) findings in children with various acute, chronic CNS disorders and non epileptic events.

Patients and methods: The EEG records of 250 patients were studied, which was done at the neurophysiology departments of Queen Rania AL-Abdullah Hospital for children in Jordan. For each patient underwent EEG record the following data were recorded, age, sex, source of referral (inpatient department or outpatient), reason for Electroencephalography (EEG), diagnostic impressions and clinical presentation, the result of the EEG examinations and clinical correlation between the seizure type and EEG finding.

Results: Males slightly outnumbered females: 55% males. The majority of cases sent for EEG fell between 6-12 years. Total of 63.2% of all referrals for EEG were from outpatient clinic while in patients account for 36.8%. Pediatric neurology department referrals being highest 28.8%, the majority of diagnosis at referral were suspected epilepsy (80%), epileptiform EEG abnormalities was detected in (32%), Over all 64% the EEG records were normal, All EEG records of children with syncope, headache were normal.

Conclusion and recommendation: We conclude that there are many unnecessary routine EEG recordings in children; Investigation of epilepsy and acute encephalopathies appear to be the most valuable indications for routine pediatric EEG. EEG can help in classified of the seizure, finding a way to reduce EEG requests is request.
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Introduction

A huge number of publications have documented the type and frequency of Electroencephalography (EEG) abnormalities in many different childhood disorders (Goldensohn, 1997). EEG is a very important in investigating children with various neurological disorders, particularly epilepsy. The EEG is also a sensitive marker of diffuse cortical dysfunction as seen in toxic, metabolic, or hypoxic encephalopathies (Saunders and Westmoreland, 1997).

Although the diagnosis of seizures and epileptic syndromes is primarily clinical, EEG often provides supportive evidence and helps in seizure classification (Sundaram et al., 1999). Many episodic events may simulate epilepsy including breath holding spells, syncope, tics, migraine related phenomena (e.g. benign paroxysmal vertigo), and psychogenic seizures (Barron, 1991). These events associated with normal neurological examination and interictal EEG, however, although EEG is requested, a
complete event description accurately identifies the nature of these events in most cases (Wyllie et al., 1991).

Practice parameters endorsed by the American academy of pediatrics, recommended use of EEG after non febrile seizure in children, as standard of care (Blume and Kaibara, 1999). However, non neurologist physicians differ in their expertise in clinically identifying seizures and many have tendency to exclude almost any paroxysmal events such as syncope, tics or staring spells in attention deficit hyperactivity disorders in their definition of seizures (Donat and Wright, 1990). More over EEG is now easily accessible because of its safety and low cost-benefit ratio (Metrick et al., 1991), this has led to an indiscriminate overuse of EEG in clinical practice decreasing the yield of the clinical useful information. In one study, up to 40% of EEG requests were considered to be unnecessary (Nicolaidis et al., 1995).

The present study was done to obtain a baseline for clinical indications of EEG in children, who are of regular visit at pediatric departments of Queen Rania AL-Abdullah Hospital for children to evaluate the EEG findings in children with various acute and chronic CNS disorders, to assess relationship between the clinical indication and EEG abnormalities and assess the predictability of a normal EEG result.

Patients and methods

This a retrospective study, included 250 consecutive EEG recorded, which was requested by pediatrician, child neurologist, and family doctors. All EEGs were performed in neurophysiology unite of Queen Rania AL-Abdullah Hospital for children at Jordan.

For each patient underwent EEG record the following data were recorded, age, sex, source of referral (in patient department or out patient), reason for EEG, diagnostic impressions and clinical presentation, the result of the EEG examinations and clinical correlation between the seizure type and EEG finding.

All EEGs studies were recorded digitally and reviewed according to standard clinical practices at the clinical neurophysiology laboratory of Queen Rania Abdullah Hospital for children. All studies utilized both bipolar and average referential montages performed by using a 8-16-channel digital recording with electrodes placed according to the international 10-20 systems. Routine EEG consisted of a normal recording of 20-30 minutes, including three minutes hyperventilation and intermittent photic stimulation at various frequencies.

The EEGs abnormalities were classified: focal or multifocal spike waves, generalized epileptiform discharges, focal or diffuse background disturbance, burst suppression pattern and spindle coma.

Requests for EEG are a written requisition, based on the indications as description for events, the clinical indication responsible for requesting the EEG was one of the following categories: (1) established epilepsy; (2) non-epileptic paroxysmal events (e.g. migraine, syncope, breath holding spells); (3) acute CNS disorders (e.g. toxic metabolic, infectious, or hypoxic encephalopathy); and (4) non-epileptic chronic CNS disorders (e.g. mental retardation, autism, attention disorder).

In patients with both clinical and EEG evidence of epilepsy, seizure were classified according to international classification of the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) (Noachtar et al., 1999).

At the end of each assignment, the EEG requisitions were reviewed for clinical correlation. At this stage the relationship between the clinical indication and EEG result was recorded for further study.

Results

A total number of 250 recorded EEG were studied. Among these, males slightly outnumbered females: 55% males. The age ranged between four months and 14 years, patients under 10 years constituted more than 50% of the study populations. The majority of cases are between 6-12 years. The ages distribution of all patients is as shown in Table I.

Total of 63.2% of all referrals for EEG were from outpatient clinic while in patients account for 36.8%. There was a broad spread of referral from major specialists of pediatrics, psychiatry, neurosurgery and intensive care unit, pediatric neurology department referrals being highest.
28.8 %, The source of referrals of patients for EEG are as shown in table II.

The majority of diagnosis at referral were suspected epilepsy (80%), epileptiform EEG abnormalities was detected in (32%) The diagnosis at referral are shown in the figure 1. The seizure types in patients with a history of epileptic seizures and epileptiform EEG abnormalities are shown in the table III, the majority of the seizures were partial seizure with secondary generalization. Primary generalized seizures were uncommon, with petit mal absences a counting for only 9.3 % of the total seizures, the majority of patients with epilepsy were referred for EEG to confirm the diagnosis ; 5.5% were referred because of poor seizure controlled and 1.6% referred to exclude space occupied.

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorders 9.1%, learning disabilities 2% , tic disorders and 1.1% others (mental retarded , head trauma , behavior disorders , metabolic , etc )

Over all 64 the EEG records were normal , 14.4 %focal or multi focal spikes , generalized epileptic activity 11.2% , while others ( spindle coma , burst suppression , hypsarrythemia ) forming 2.8% ( Table IV ) (samples figure II,III)

Epileptic activity was rarely found in the non epileptic group of patients with non epileptic condition there was no epileptic activity. All EEG records of children with syncope, headaches were normal.

Discussion

This study highlights certain important issues in the utility of EEG in children. The EEG was very helpful in diagnosing epileptic syndrome and in seizure classification. The EEGs of some patients with epilepsy also revealed completely unexpected findings that strongly influenced their management. This highlights the very important role of EEG in patients with epilepsy.

The age distribution of patients with this study, showed patients under 8 years constituting 50 % of the study population probably reflects that majority of cases with epilepsy, belong to this age group, the progressive increase in the proportion of patients with normal EEG with increasing age group is well known in patients with epilepsy (Falope et al., 1993, Marsan and Zivin, 1970) who make up of some 65 % of our patients.

Tow thirds of our referrals were in out patients, similar to findings for neurophysiology investigations in developed countries (Binnie, 1994).

Most children (98%) with non-epileptic paroxysmal events (e.g. migraine, syncope, and breath holding spells) had a normal EEG. Other investigators found normal EEGs in up to 87.5% of adults with non-epileptic paroxysmal events (headache, syncope, and vertigo) (Airoldi et al., 1999).

We think that there are some special reasons that lead to EEG requests for, non epileptic disorders. First, to exclude epilepsy. It is well known that a small percent of children without any neurologic disorder have EEG abnormalities (Petersen and Eeg, 1968), as well children with epilepsy may not show interictal EEG abnormalities. (Camfield et al., 1995) second reason may be a lack of understanding of the limits of EEG recording and interpretation. (Airoldi et al., 1999) . The EEG is therefore not helpful in these children and a complete event description will accurately identify the nature of these events in most cases rather than EEG (Camfield et al., 1995). More than 10% of normal people may have non-specific EEG abnormalities and approximately 1% may have ‘epileptiform paroxysmal activity’ without seizures (Niedermeyer, 1999). The prevalence of these abnormalities is higher in children, with 2–4% having functional spike discharges. It is of interest that an attempt to provide guidelines to physicians for appropriate EEG use may not alter their practice (Van Walraven and Naylor, 1998).

It was reviewed EEGs in people with epilepsy, 30% of patients, their EEGs contained epileptiform discharges which is closed to our study (Ajmone and Zivin, 1970.). Taken together, these studies suggest an 80% chance of showing epileptiform activity in a first wake-and- sleep EEG in people with epilepsy. Provided there is no other evidence of cerebral disease, epileptiform activity is rare in those who are and will remain free of epilepsy. It is therefore the practice to offer referring doctors the ability to order a combined routine and
sleep EEG as the first investigation in patients with epilepsy. This policy reduces costs and inconvenience to patients in an epilepsy service but is inappropriate for patients with a low chance of having epilepsy, and therefore requires some discrimination by the doctors (Binnie, 1994).

The EEG has many uses in epilepsy but may also be abused. The situations in which the EEG can contribute to the diagnosis of epilepsy are rare. Once the diagnosis of epilepsy is established, the EEG is probably the most important investigation in helping to define the type of epilepsy, the prognosis, and the initial approach to therapy (Adrian et al., 2000). In partial seizures, EEG is the investigation of first choice for localization and is an important part of the work-up for the few patients who come to epilepsy surgery (Dalby, 1969). Some investigators found that HV and photic stimulation contributed little to the final EEG report (Airoldi, 1994). Many of our children with focal or generalized epileptiform discharges had spike activation on photic or HV (19%). HV was particularly helpful in children with absence epilepsy, which is consistent with the findings of other investigators (Small, 1993).

In a recent review of EEG studies of children with ADHD, it was concluded that between 30% and 60% of such children showed abnormal EEG findings, including generalized and/or intermittent slowing. Further, there was some evidence that the EEG abnormalities decreased with age, with contradictory reports of poor or no correlation between abnormal EEGs and treatment response (Phillips et al., 1993). However, some ADHD children was found to have an abnormal EEG in a recent study in which the authors conclude that routine EEG screening is of limited value in childhood behavior problems (Williams et al., 2001).

Conclusion and recommendations;
We conclude that there are many unnecessary routine EEG recordings in children. Investigation of epilepsy and acute encephalopathies appear to be the most valuable indications for routine pediatric EEG. Finding a way to reduce EEG requests should be done since EEG has been requested, the effect of cancelling the test may be to undermine the patient’s confidence in their physician. Physician education seems more palatable.

Table I: age range in all patients compare with normal Electroencephalography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>Normal EEG (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1 years</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>(15.2)</td>
<td>(10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3 years</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>(19.2)</td>
<td>(9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-6 y</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>(16.8)</td>
<td>(11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>(20.8)</td>
<td>(12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>(15.2)</td>
<td>(9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-14</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>(12.8)</td>
<td>(10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>(100.0)</td>
<td>(64)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II: Source of patients referral for Electroencephalography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>In patient</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Out patients</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neurology</td>
<td>25 (20)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosurgeon</td>
<td>18 (7.5)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icu</td>
<td>17 (6.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric</td>
<td>6 (2.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General pediatrician</td>
<td>6 (2.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>20 (8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>92 (36.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>158</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III1 : Clinical and Electroencephalography classification in patients with seizures confirmed by Electroencephalography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seizure type</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary generalized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonic –clonic</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petil mal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myoclonic</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex partial</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With secondary generalization</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV: Electroencephalography results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EEG FINDING</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>(64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal or multifocal spikes</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>(14.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized epileptic activity</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal background disturbance</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse background disturbance</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Electroencephalogram in......

1. Such as syncope, breathe holding, staring, migraine,

2. like: Toxic metabolic, infectious, or hypoxic encephalopathy

3. like: Autism, attention disorder, learning disability

Figure 1: Reason for referral electroencephalogram
Figure 2: Electroencephalography of patient presented with focal epilepsies showed Partial epileptic activity over right side of brain

Figure III: Electroencephalography of patients presented with history of consciousness disturbance showed Abnormal background suggestive of encephalopathy process
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الهدف من الدراسة :

تم إجراء هذه الدراسة للحصول على المعلومات الأولية للحالات المرضية التي تتطلب تخطيط كهربائي للدماغ الذين يعانون مختلف اضطرابات الجهاز العصبي الحاد، المزمن، وحالات النوبات غير الصرع.

الطرق والإعداد :

تم دراسة 250 حالة مرضية، أجريت لها تخطيط دماغ في قسم الفسيولوجيا في مستشفى الملكة رانيا العبدالله للأطفال، الأردن. من كل مريض، تم الحصول على البيانات التالية: العمر، الجنس، مصدر الطلب (من مرضى داخل القسم أو العيادة)، السبب لإجراء التخطيط، التشخيص الأولي، نتيجة التخطيط والتراقب بين التشخيص السريري ونتيجة التخطيط.

النتائج :

أظهرت الدراسة أن الذكور تفوق عدد الإناث 55%، معظم الحالات بين الفئة العمرية 12-20 عاماً، 12%، ومعظم الحالات بين الفئة العمرية 12-20 عاماً، 12%، معظم الحالات بين الفئة العمرية 12-20 عاماً، 12%Supporting Evidence: 85% من الأقسام، نصف الأقسام أكثرها 23%، كان مشتبه داء الصرع في الغالبية العظمى 85%، 27% أظهر وجود شحنات في تخطيط الدماغ، 25% من الحالات كان التخطيط طبيعي، جميع حالات الصرع والغشائي كان التخطيط طبيعي.

الاستنتاجات والتوصيات :

خلاص الدراسة أن العديد من طلبات تخطيط الدماغ عند الأطفال كانت غير ضرورية، يجب ايجاد وسيلة للحد من طلبات تخطيط الدماغ.

الكلمات الرئيسية: التخطيط الكهربائي للدماغ، الصرع، نوبات غير الصرع.