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ABSTRACT

Background: Keratoconus is a frequent, familiar, bilateral, non-inflammatory, and gradually progressive corneal ectasia, which leads to corneal protrusion, irregular astigmatism, and decrease vision. Currently, the corneal cross-linking (CXL) treatment could attain vision improvement.

Aim: To investigate the topographic, refractive, and clinical-out-comes after six months CXL in gradually progressing keratoconic eyes.

Patients & Methods: A follow-up, randomized, controlled-trial study was used. In this study, 30 eyes with progressive-keratoconus (PK) were recruited in the study. Cross-linking was done and achieved by a solution of 0.1% riboflavin mixture with 20% dextran for 15 minutes before and during 30 minutes time-period of ultraviolet A (UV-A) irradiation (3MW/cm²). The obtained data were analysed using IBM SPSS software.

Results: Statistically significant improvements were noticed in Sim k (at 6months), Kmin (at 6months), spherical power (at 6months), cylinder power (at 6months), spherical equivalent [SE] (at 6months), uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) (at 6months) and best corrected visual acuity [BCVA] (at 6months) in trial group (15 patients) when compared with the controls.

Conclusions: At 6 months, hopeful, promising improvements were reported in Kmax, UCVA, and BCVA. So, long term studies are requested to survey the efficiency of CXL as well as the safety of the repeated procedures.
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INTRODUCTION

Keratoconus is a common, bilateral, non-inflammatory, and gradually progressive corneal ectasia, leads to corneal protrusion, irregular astigmatism, and lowering vision. Change in the collagen fibrils structural organization in the cornea is deemed as its fundamental features. Diagnosis of keratoconus relies on the presence of two of the three standard criteria, which consists of the anterior or posterior surface of the corneal steeping, progressively rising of corneal stromal thinning, and corneal thickness alteration in the thinnest site. Keratoconus is a popular indication for corneal transplantation surgery. However, corneal cross-linking (CXL) is the process, which could abate the keratoconus progression and as well the other corneal ectasia.

In theory, CXL implantation in strengthening the corneal tissue is based on the concept that a proportional pacity of covalent bonds in and between the collagen matrix that leads to a weakened biomechanical firmness of keratoconic cornea.

Therefore, it’s supposed that the CXL treatment could encourage photochemically triggered cross-links in the collagen-network by using a mixture of vitamin B2 (riboflavin) and a long wave-length ultraviolet A (UV-A) radiation (370nm). Absorption of the topically applied riboflavin is generally obstructed because of the corneal epithelial tight junctions. Accordingly, the up-to-date gold-standard treatment protocols use the de-epithelialization to optimize the riboflavin permeation and improve its absorption. However, reaction to riboflavin experience pharmacogenomic variation, and variation in its efficiency is noticed in various settings.

Over the past decades, the CXL treatment could attain vision improvement, apical keratometry improvement, lowering the corneal curvature, SE refraction and refractive cylinder with boosting the contrast sensitivity.

AIM OF THE STUDY

To investigate the topographic, refractive, and clinical-outcomes after six months CXL in gradually progressing keratoconic eyes.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study design and setting:

The present work was done using a prospective randomized-trial design, at a private eye center (the Future Eye Center, Sohag, Upper Egypt) on 30 eyes of 15 patients with bilateral progressive-keratoconus (PK) as a study group and another 30 eyes of 15 patients with bilateral PK as a control group.
**Ethical considerations:**
A written informed agreement was obtained from all participants before enrollment in the study. The Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University approved the study.

**Measurements and diagnosis:**
In the current study, the maximum simulated keratometry (K\textsubscript{max}) value of the steepest axis on corneal topography was deemed as the basic out-come measurement. Further, UCVA, BCVA, spherical and cylindrical error on subjective refraction, SE, the minimum simulated keratometry value, and the corneal thickness at the thinnest point were evaluated as secondary out-come measures.

Diagnosis of PK was deemed if there was subjective lowering of vision beside; at least 1 of the following 3 criteria; (1) An rise of at least 1.0D (diopter) in the steepest simulated keratometry value as assured by computerized videokeratography or in the steepest meridian assessed by manual keratometry, (2) An increase in astigmatism of at least 1.0D, and (3) A 0.1mm or further lowering in back-optic-zone-radius of the best fitting contact-lens.

**Inclusion criteria:**
In the present study, all the patients were in the age range from 15-40years with more than 400µm of minimum central corneal thickness (CCT) and topographic documentation of PK with no prior history of ocular diseases or ocular surgery.

**Exclusion criteria:**
Patients are excluded from this study if their ages were out the accepted age range, refused to give their written consent, have K\textsubscript{max} of more than 60D, have CCT of less than 400µm, as well as suffer from ocular surface disorders, apical corneal scaring, previous ocular surgery, hydrops, previous history of ocular herpes or active corneal ulcers, history of any associated autoimmune diseases, chemical or thermal burns, and diabetes. Lactating and/or pregnant women were also precluded from the study.

**Patients and controls:**
The included 30 patients were separated into two groups; the first is a control group incorporating 30 eyes that were given artificial tear drops only without CXL. The second is the trial group incorporating 30 eyes that were subjected to a riboflavin-assisted UV-A irradiation collagen cross-linking.

**The surgical procedures:**
All surgeries in the present study were achieved by a single surgeon (the author) using the same epithelium-off technique (Dresden protocol)\textsuperscript{[17]}. Briefly, topical anesthetic eye drops (Benoxinate 0.4%) were instilled 3 times followed by two drops of the topical fluoroquinolone antibiotic (Moxifloxacin, Vigamox Alcon). Under sterile surgical conditions, the patient was placed in a supine position with the cornea perpendicular to the pointed laser beam. The skin was dis-infected by 5% sol. of povidoneiodine. A circular area of 8mm diameter, at the central cornea, was subjected to 20% ethyl alcohol using a corneal trephine and the resultant swelled corneal epithelium was removed with stick knife or microspunge. Subsequent to an efficient wash of corneal surface, riboflavin 0.1%, containing 20% dextran, was applied to the eye every 3-5minutes for 30minutes. Using a UV-X lamp, UV-A irradiation, with a wave-length of 370nm, was then applied for 30minutes from 50mm from the apex of the cornea with an irradiance of 3Mw/cm\textsuperscript{2}.

During the UV-A exposure, the riboflavin application was continued every 4minutes and its adequate penetration was assured using slit-lamp examination.

Post fulfilment of the irradiation proceedings, the eye was rinsed with a sterile solution followed by two drops of the topical fluoroquinolone antibiotic (Moxifloxacin, Vigamox Alcon) and subsequently, a soft bandage contact-lens was placed.

Application of the topical fluoroquinolone antibiotic (Moxifloxacin, Vigamox Alcon) was continued 4times daily for 1week after surgery and the contact-lens remained in place until epithelial closure was confirmed. Moreover, a topical steroid was prescribed for the upcoming 3weeks followed by a stepwise tapering with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory eye drops for the next 2weeks and a preservative-free artificial tear drops for 6months.

**Follow-up procedures:**
A post-operative examination was achieved to each case on the 1\textsuperscript{st}, 3\textsuperscript{rd}, and 7\textsuperscript{th} days till epithelial healing with repeated visits on end of the 6\textsuperscript{th} months.

**Out-come measures:**
In the current study, the recorded data include corneal topography parameters (Sim K, K\textsubscript{max}, K\textsubscript{min}), subjective refraction (spherical power, cylinder power, and SE), visual acuity, and CS. The K\textsubscript{max}, K\textsubscript{min}, and Sim K were evaluated by pentacam and the CS was measured by the Pelli-Robson CS chart. The visual acuity was evaluated by LOG MAR chart.

**Statistical analysis**
The obtained results were subjected to a master tabulation in the MS Excel spread-sheet and data were analyzed using IBM SPSS software version 22.0 for windows. Results were presented as means± standard deviations (SD). We utilized t-test to evaluate significance; the level significance was accepted when the p value was ≤0.05.

**RESULTS**
In the trial group, the UCVA was improved from the base-line of 1.0±0.21 to decrease subsequently to 0.06±0.02 on end of the 6\textsuperscript{th} month (table 1).
The BCVA in log MAR was found to be increased from its base-line value of 0.70±0.24 to 0.3±0.12 =in the 6th month in the controls (table 2). In the trial group, the mean BCVA was raised from the base-line to 0.28±0.04 and decreased to reach 0.06±0.01 in end of the 6th month (table 1).

The obtained findings of the current investigation revealed that the UCVA was improved in 7 eyes from the base-line of the value of 1.0±0.54 to 0.5±0.25 on end of the six month in the control group (table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Before CXL (n=30) (mean± SD)</th>
<th>Last follow-up after CXL (n=30) (mean± SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCVA</td>
<td>1.00±0.21</td>
<td>0.06±0.02</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA</td>
<td>0.28±0.04</td>
<td>0.06±0.01</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kmin</td>
<td>43.81±2.60</td>
<td>43.18±2.50</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kmax</td>
<td>48.05±2.75</td>
<td>46.93±3.14</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kmean</td>
<td>45.18±1.36</td>
<td>44.60±1.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphere</td>
<td>-1.52±2.22</td>
<td>-1.00±1.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylinder</td>
<td>-2.82±0.80</td>
<td>-1.68±1.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>-3.75±0.74</td>
<td>-1.75±1.00</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>1.15±0.40</td>
<td>1.12±0.40</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Comparison between base-line and last follow-up on end of the 6th month clinical characteristics of 30 keratoconic eyes after CXL

**Table 2:** Comparison between base-line and last follow-up on end of the 6th month clinical characteristics of 30 control eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>First visit (n=30) (mean± SD)</th>
<th>Last follow-up after CXL (n=30) (mean± SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCVA</td>
<td>1.00±0.54</td>
<td>0.50±0.25</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA</td>
<td>0.70±0.24</td>
<td>0.30±0.12</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kmin</td>
<td>44.50±2.71</td>
<td>45.60±3.02</td>
<td>0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kmax</td>
<td>47.01±2.82</td>
<td>45.25±3.23</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kmean</td>
<td>45.02±1.55</td>
<td>46.02±1.84</td>
<td>0.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphere</td>
<td>-1.45±2.34</td>
<td>-2.32±2.55</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylinder</td>
<td>-2.88 ±0.92</td>
<td>-3.44±0.88</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>-3.23±0.51</td>
<td>-4.08±0.84</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>1.16±0.46</td>
<td>0.90±0.30</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BCVA:** Best corrected visual acuity; **CCT:** Central corneal thickness; **CS:** contrast sensitivity; **Cylinder:** Cylinder reference error; **Kmax:** Maximum keratometric value; **Kmean:** Mean keratometric value; **Kmin:** Minimum keratometric value; **SE:** Spherical equivalent; **UCVA:** Uncorrected visual acuity.

No significant reductions were observed in the present study in the Kmax value in both the controls and the trial group. The mean Kmin value was gradually decreased from its base-line value to the ultimate follow-up step of 6 months in the trial group. In the control group, a gradual increase was recorded in the mean Kmin value from the base-line to the 6month follow-up, as depicted in Table 1 & 2.

The mean Sim K value in the control group was increased from the base-line to the follow-up period of 6months. In the trial group, the Sim K value was decreased from the base-line to the follow-up period of 6months as described in Figure 1A-C).
In the trial group, the mean spherical power was decreased from the base-line of -1.52±2.22 to -1.00±1.02 at the 6th month, with a statistically significant decrease in spherical power at the 6th months (table 1). The mean pre-operative spherical refractive error in the controls was found to increase from -1.45±2.34 to -2.32±2.55 at the 6th month (table 2).

In the trial group, the mean cylinder power was found to decrease from -2.82±0.80 to -1.68±1.00 at the 6th month (table 1). The mean cylinder power was increased in the control group from its base-line of -2.88±0.92 to -3.44±0.88 in the 6th month (table 2).

In the trial group, the SE was decreased from a base-line of -3.75±0.40log CS to -1.75±1.00D in the 6th month, with a statistically significant decrease in the SE at the 6th months (table 1). The mean SE was increased from -3.23±0.51D to -4.08±0.84D in the 6th month in the control group (table 2).

In the trial group, the CS was decreased from 1.15±0.4D to increase subsequently to 1.12±0.40 in the 6th month (table 1). In the control group, the mean CS was decreased from 1.16±0.46log CS to 0.90±0.30log CS in the 6th month (table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The CXL appears to display an essential role in halting the progression of keratoconus. Despite the widespread global reports of CXL, long term investigations are still requested.18,19. The gained results in the present study continue to demonstrate a statistically significant amelioration in the UCVA, BCVA, CS, Sim K, K_{min}, spherical and cylinder power and SE in the trial group. In the K_{max}, the improvement in the trial group appeared to be statistically insignificant at a follow-up time of six months. In the controls; the Sim K, K_{max}, and K_{min} were increased and the UCVA, BCVA, spherical and cylinder power, SE, and CS were worsen designating advancement of the illness.

Additionally, the maximum central curvature, as measured by Sim K, gives an idea about corneal curvature of a central visually significant 3mm area. It is known that the retrogression of Sim K is a sign of treatment efficacy. A similar decrease in Sim K following CXL therapy was recorded by Viswanathan and his coworkers when they observed a remarkable reduction in Sim K value20. Therapy effectiveness is evaluated by K_{min} value, in the present research, in the trial group K_{min} value decreased in all the follow-up periods, whereas it was increased in the control group from base-line. Similarly, Wittig-Silva et al.21 reported a significant difference between the trial and control groups. Despite of these reports, long-range studies still needed to explore how long this progresses and how long it is maintained.

The K_{max} is a topographical mark for the seriousness of the illness advancement11. Many
researches cleared a significant lowering in $K_{\text{max}}$ value after CXL therapy because of making flat effect on the cone by the CXL procedure[21,22]. The currently presented $K_{\text{max}}$ mean values were less than that of the controls at 3 and 6months, though this reduction was statistically insignificant. Advancement was obviously noticed among the controls, while it was arrested among the trial group. $K_{\text{max}}$ denotes the steepest anterior corneal curvature, is used to emphasize ectatic progression, and is a sign for CXL efficiency.

**CONCLUSION**

This study cleared that CXL is believed to be considered as an optimistic first line therapy option for almost all patients with PK. Particularly in view of our hopeful long term results, keratoconus is not a curable illness and its advancement is greatly changeable among different patients. The CXL has cleared efficiency in hindrance the illness advancement. The robustness of the stiffening impact of CXL is unclear.
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