Vardenafil versus Tamsulosin as a Medical Expulsive Therapy for Distal Ureteral Stones
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ABSTRACT

Background: urolithiasis is one of the most common diseases of the urinary tract. The lifetime prevalence of urinary stones is around 1% to 15% and the peak age of incidence is at 30 years. Men are affected 2 to 3 times more often than women. Ureteral stones account for 20% of the calculi in urolithiasis and about 70% of ureteral stones are present in the distal third of the ureter at the time of presentation. Aim of the work: this study aimed to compare the efficacy and safety of vardenafil (5mg twice daily) vs tamsulosin (0.4mg once daily) as a medical expulsive therapy in the management of distal ureteric stones (DUS) in terms of stone expulsion rate, stone expulsion time, number of pain episodes, analgesic use and side effects related to medical therapy. Patients and Methods: this was a prospective randomized comparative study conducted on 50 patients admitted through the outpatient Urology Clinic in Ain shams University Hospitals and Nasser Institute Hospital between February 2018 and August 2018. The patients were randomized using a closed envelope method. The patients were categorized into 2 main groups 25 patients each. Results: this study showed non significant stone expulsion rates and faster expulsion times in favor of vardenafil when compared to tamsulosin. Conclusion: both of the medications demonstrated a good safety and tolerability profile for medical expulsive therapy in patients with lower ureteric stones.
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INTRODUCTION

Urolithiasis is one of the most common diseases of the urinary tract. The lifetime prevalence of urinary stones is around 1% to 15% and the peak age of incidence is at 30 years. Men are affected 2 to 3 times more often than women. Ureteral stones account for 20% of the calculi in urolithiasis and about 70% of ureteral stones are present in the distal third of the ureter at the time of presentation (1). Ureteral stones induce ureteral spasms that interfere with stone expulsion. Thus, reducing these spasms while maintaining normal peristaltic activity can facilitate stone expulsion. Almost 50% of ureteral stones can pass spontaneously over time and stone size is the key factor for success. Stones smaller than 5 mm are expected to pass spontaneously, but only 20% of stones larger than 8 mm can pass (2). The management of ureteric stones had changed greatly over the last two decades, especially after the introduction of shockwave lithotripsy (SWL) and ureteroscopy, as minimally invasive treatments. However, these treatments are not risk free and are expensive (3).

Multiple management options for ureteric stones are present, such as conservative, medical expulsive therapy (MET), extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL), ureteroscopy and open surgical procedures. MET includes various drugs, such as α-adrenergic blockers, PDE5 inhibitors, calcium channel blockers and anti-inflammatory drugs, which have a relaxant effect on the ureteric smooth musculature (4).

Stone clearance of distal ureteral stones (DUS) can be affected by several factors such as stone size, number, site and also the presence or absence of ureteric smooth muscle spasm and/or submucosal oedema (5). The α receptors have the subtypes α1 and α2. α1-adrenoceptors were classified into three different subtypes of α-1A, α-1B and α-1D, of which the distribution in the ureter was α-1D > α-1A > α-1B. The α1A- and α1D-adrenoceptors are the most abundant subtypes in the distal ureter. Stimulation of these α1-adrenoceptors leads to increases in both the frequency of ureteric peristalsis and the force of ureteric contractions. So, blockage of these receptors decreases basal ureteric tone, decreases...
peristaltic amplitude and frequency, leading to a decrease in intra-luminal pressure which increases the rate of urine transport and thus increasing the chance of stone passage. Tamsulosin is a selective α1- blocker with a 10-fold greater affinity for the α1A and α1D-adrenoceptor subtypes than for the α1B-adrenoceptor subtype. Tamsulosin is safe and well tolerated, side-effects may occur such as retrograde ejaculation, which is reversible, and orthostatic hypotension. Cardiovascular adverse effects may occur so a Highly selective α1A-adrenoceptor blockers have been developed to minimize the cardiovascular adverse effects with increasing their efficacy on the urinary tract. Relaxation of the smooth muscles of the lower ureter plays a major role in MET. Cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) are important intracellular second messengers mediating cellular responses. An increase in cAMP and cGMP triggers a signal transduction cascade, which leads to smooth muscle relaxation. Cyclic nucleotides (cAMP and cGMP) are degraded by the enzyme phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE5). Thus, using PDE5 inhibitors can play a role in the relaxation of the smooth muscle of the ureter by preservation of cAMP and cGMP. Vardenafil, a PDE5 inhibitor, acts by a nitric oxide/cGMP signaling pathway of smooth muscles, resulting in high levels of cGMP and thus causing relaxation of ureteral muscle.

**PATIENTS and METHODS**

This was a prospective randomized comparative study conducted on 50 patients admitted through the outpatient Urology clinic in Ain Shams University Hospitals and Nasser Institute Hospital between February 2018 and August 2018, the patients were randomized using a closed envelope method. The patients were categorized into 2 main groups 25 patients each.

- **Inclusion criteria:**
  1) Sex: male or female
  2) Age: 18-55 years
  3) Stone Criteria: a single radio-opaque unilateral distal ureteral stone located between the lower border of the sacroiliac joint and the vesico-ureteric junction detected by US, plain X-ray and non-enhanced CT.
  4) The stone size ranges between 5 and 10 mm
  5) Normal kidney function

- **Exclusion criteria:**
  1) Age: less than 18 years or more than 55 years
  2) Stone in the upper or middle ureteric segment
  3) Stone size: less than 5 mm or more than 10 mm
  4) Multiple stones, bilateral ureteric stones.
  5) Severe hydronephrosis, fever.
  6) Impaired renal function.
  7) Those with previous ureteric endoscopy or surgery.
  8) DM, peptic ulcer.
  9) UTI.
  10) pregnant or lactating women
  11) Congenital or anatomical urinary tract malformations
  12) Impacted stones more than 2 weeks

- **Methods:**

  All patients who presented with a single, unilateral, uncomplicated distal ureteral stones (DUS) of 5-10 mm diagnosed by plain abdominal radiograph of the kidneys, ureters and bladder (KUB), ultrasonography and non-enhanced spiral CT. Detailed history intake: personal history, complaint, present history (Pain: site, radiation, intensity, onset, course), past history, family history of stone disease, history of medical disease such as diabetes, hypertension, cardiac etc., history of drug intake, surgical history. Full lab investigations as blood urea and creatinine levels, complete blood counts and urine analysis of all patients were obtained on admission. Every patient was provided informed written consent after receiving information about the nature of the study, time to study end, adverse effects and the possibility of intervention if needed.

**These patients were randomly categorized into 2 groups:**

- **Group A:** 25 patients were received vardenafil 5 mg tab/12 hours for 28 days
- **Group B:** 25 patients were received tamsulosin 0.4 mg cap/day for 28 days

  All patients were prescribed 50 mg diclofenac on demand for pain relief with a maximum dose of 150 mg per day. The patients were advised that on experiencing an episode of intractable ureteric colic, fever or
severe hematuria they should immediately come to the hospital. Follow-up performed
every week by asking the patient about stone passage, attacks of renal colic, time of stone passage, and symptoms related to side-effects of the drugs (Retrograde ejaculation, orthostatic hypotension and headache). Radiological assessment would be done every week with plain KUB. The patients followed-up until stone passage confirmed by plain KUB, non-contrast spiral CT or at the end of the study period (4 weeks). Success is defined as stone passage during the 28 days treatment period. Otherwise failure was an indication for ureteroscopy or ESWL.

RESULTS

Table 1: this table shows that there is no significant statistical difference among the studied groups regarding stone site, stone size (p>0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Vardenafil group (No.= 25)</th>
<th>Tamsulin group (No.= 25)</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stone Size (mm)</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.40 ± 1.71</td>
<td>7.24 ± 1.81</td>
<td>0.322•</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 – 10</td>
<td>5 – 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone Site</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13 (52.0%)</td>
<td>9 (36.0%)</td>
<td>1.299*</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 (48.0%)</td>
<td>16 (64.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Chi-square test; •: Independent t-test

Table 2: this table shows that there is no significant difference among the studied groups regarding number of renal colic episodes (P>0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Vardenafil Group (No.= 25)</th>
<th>Tamsulin Group (No.= 25)</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO. of Renal colic</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.92</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.90</td>
<td>-0.497•</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 – 4</td>
<td>1 – 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Independent t-test

Table 3: this table shows that there is no significant difference among the studied groups regarding analgesic use (P>0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Vardenafil group (No.= 25)</th>
<th>Tamsulin group (No.= 25)</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analgesic Use</td>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Diclofenacin mg)</td>
<td>200 (100 – 300)</td>
<td>300 (100 - 400)</td>
<td>-1.433#</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>50 – 500</td>
<td>50 – 450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#: Mann- Whitney test

Table 4: this table shows that the rate of stone expulsion rate is not significantly higher in the vardenafil group than tamsulosin group (P>0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Vardenafil group (No.= 25)</th>
<th>Tamsulin group (No.= 25)</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stone Expulsion Rate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 (24.0%)</td>
<td>7 (28.0%)</td>
<td>0.104*</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19 (76.0%)</td>
<td>18 (72.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Chi-square test

Table 5: shows the mean of stone expulsion time is not significantly shorter in the vardenafil group than tamsulosin group (P>0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Vardenafil group (No.= 25)</th>
<th>Tamsulin group (No.= 25)</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stone Expulsion Time</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.95 ± 5.12</td>
<td>11.28 ± 5.98</td>
<td>-0.729•</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 – 22</td>
<td>3 – 23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Independent t-test
**DISCUSSION**

Urolithiasis is a chronic disease with huge economic consequences and great public health importance, because it affects young people and has a high recurrence rate of approximately 50% within 5 years and 75% at 10 years. Although ureteric stones make up only 20% of urolithiasis, they are the most symptomatic of the calculi. The use of medical therapy (such as α-Blockers, calcium channels blockers and corticosteroids) is justifiable to reduce edema, reduce spasm, and relax the smooth muscles for stone expulsion. MET has recently emerged as an alternative strategy for the initial management of selected patients with distal ureteral stones. α-Blockers are the most frequently used MET by urologists and different types are used based on the subtype of α-receptor. Tamsulosin, alfuzosin, terazosin and silodosin are the most frequently used α-blockers in the literature. Highly selective α1A-adrenoceptor blockers have been developed to minimise the cardiovascular adverse effects while maintaining their efficacy on the urinary tract. We decided to use vardenafil also on the basis of reports of Gratzke et al. who demonstrated the role of phosphodiesterase inhibitors in relaxation of ureteric muscles in the rank order of vardenafil > sildenafil > tadalafil. A limitation of the present study is the absence of assessment of the impact of vardenafil on the frequency of sexual intercourse of the study population. Therefore, we cannot define the exact mechanism of action of vardenafil, whether it is due to an increase in sexual intercourse or due to direct effects on the musculature of the distal part of the ureter. Moreover, the type of neural stimulus that is delivered to the distal ureter during sexual intercourse still needs to be clearly defined. In this study we found that the stone expulsion rate was non significantly higher in vardenafil group compared with tamsulosin group. The stone expulsion rate was 76.0% and 72.0% respectively, and we find that the mean of stone expulsion time was not significantly shorter in vardenafil group (9.95 days) than tamsulosin group (11.28 days). Puvvada et al. reported that the stone expulsion rates for tadalfal and tamsulosin were 84.0% and 68.0% respectively (P value = 0.0130), and shorter stone expulsion time in patients who were given tadalfal (14.7±3.8) in comparison to patients who were given tamsulosin (16.8 ±4.5) was observed. Hari et al. reported that the stone expulsion rates for tadalfal and tamsulosine were 84.1% and 61.0% respectively (P value = 0.017). The mean stone expulsion time was lower in patients who were given tadalfal (8.08±3.3days) than in patients who were given tamsulosin (9.64±3.8 days), but this difference was not significant. Shokeir et al. reported that the stone expulsion rates for sildenafil citrate and placebo group were 67.3% and 40.4% respectively. The mean time to stone expulsion was shorter in the sildenafil citrate group (P<0.001). The mean time to stone expulsion was shorter in the sildenafil citrate group (11.5 days) compared with the placebo group (17.2 days).This difference was not significant (P<0.001). In this study we found that both drugs were safe with few side effects, one of these complications is headache which is one of alpha blockers and PDE5 inhibitors complications and in this study, it was recorded 28% of vardenafil group and 12% of...
tamsulosin group. Puvvada et al. (13) reported that the headache was 14% of patients for tadalafil and 11% of patients for tamsulosin. Hari et al. (14) reported that the headache was 27.3% of patients for tadalafil and 14.6% of patients for tamsulosin. Shokeir et al. (4) reported that the headache was 4% of patients for sildenafil citrate and 0% of patients for placebo. Also in this study, dizziness was recorded 32% for vardenafil group and 20% for tamsulosin group. Puvvada et al. (13) reported that dizziness was 12% of patients for tadalafil and 10% of patients for tamsulosin. Hari et al. (14) reported in 2016 that the headache was 18.2% of patients for tadalafil and 12.2% of patients for tamsulosin. Another complication in our study was orthostatic hypotension that there was reported in 4 patients (16%) in vardenafil group and 2 patients (8%) in tamsulosin group. Puvvada et al. reported in 2016 that dizziness was 8% of patients for tadalafil and 10% of patients for tamsulosin. Hari et al. (14) reported that the headache was 15.9% of patients for tadalafil and 9.8% of patients for tamsulosin. Finally, retrograde ejaculation which was also another complication in our study was reported in 31.6% (6/19) of male patients for tamsulosin group. Retrograde ejaculation was not reported in male patients for vardenafil group. Puvvada et al. reported in 2016 that a significantly higher incidence of abnormal ejaculation in the tamsulosin group in comparison to the tadalafil group (12 and 6 % respectively) (p < 0.002). The European Association of Urology (EAU) Nephrolithiasis Guidelines Panel (March 2018) has published guidelines for the management of ureteric calculi that medical expulsive therapy, using α-blockers, seems to be efficacious treating patients with ureteric stones that are amenable to conservative management. Patients benefitting most might be those with larger (distal) stones. And that there is no or insufficient evidence to support the use of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor (PDE-5i) or corticosteroids in combination with α-blockers as a standard adjunct to active stone removal.

Additional studies with more patients are invited to consolidate the results of the present study. Further investigation into the types of neurotransmitters active in the distal ureter are needed, as knowing the exact types of these transmitters will open a new horizon for MET.

CONCLUSION
This study showed no significant higher stone expulsion rates and faster expulsion times in favor of vardenafil when compared to tamsulosin. Both of these medications demonstrated a good safety and tolerability profile for medical expulsive therapy in patients with lower ureteric stones.
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