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Abstract

Background: Supraclavicular brachial plexus block is one of the most effective anesthetic procedures in operations for the upper extremity. It has gained importance as regional anesthetic technique for surgical, diagnostic and therapeutic purpose in interventional pain management.

Aim: We aimed to study the effect of adding dexmedetomidine or fentanyl to levobupivacaine in ultrasound guided supraclavicular brachial plexus block in upper extremity surgeries as regard to onset and duration of sensory and motor block and postoperative pain.

Methods and Material: The study was carried out in Tanta University Hospital on 90 patients of 18-60 years old with ASA physical status I/II scheduled for elective surgery of upper hand. Patients were divided into three equal groups. Group A: was given 30 ml of 0.5% levobupivacaine with fentanyl 100 µg. Group B: was given 30 ml of 0.5% levobupivacaine with dexmedetomidine 100 µg. Group C: was given 30 ml of 0.5% levobupivacaine with 1ml normal saline. The following data were measured for each patient: hemodynamic status (HR, MAP), onset of sensory and motor block, and duration of sensory and motor block, visual analogue score (VAS) and incidence of complications.

Results: Our results showed that no significant difference between three groups according to hemodynamic changes, while there was significant prolongation in duration of sensory and motor block, significant decrease in VAS score in group B compared to group A and C.

Conclusions: We concluded that the addition of dexmedetomidine was better than fentanyl when both used as adjuvants to levobupivacaine in ultrasound guided brachial plexus block as demonstrated by prolongation of duration of sensory block, improved quality of postoperative analgesia and provide of desirable sedation
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Introduction

Supraclavicular brachial plexus block is one of the most effective anesthetic procedures in operations for the upper extremity. The supraclavicular brachial plexus block has gained importance as regional anesthetic technique for surgical, diagnostic and therapeutic purpose in interventional pain management. It includes blocking of the brachial plexus where it is most compactly arranged, with less requirement of anesthetic solution and rapid onset of action.

It provides ideal condition for surgery, maintains stable intraoperative hemodynamics and decrease vasospasm, oedema and postoperative pain.

The use of ultrasound guidance for regional anesthesia became popular owing to detection of anatomical variants, painless performance and more accurate needle placement. The needle tip can be guided toward the neurovascular bundle to avoid injury to arteries, veins and other adjacent structure. It also helps to monitor the spread of local anesthetic solution in the appropriate tissue planes and thereby reduce the incidence of pneumothorax, arterial puncture and direct nerve damage.

Levobupivacaine, a new local anesthetic having, similar pharmacological profile, but was shown to possess less cardiotoxicity when compared to bupivacaine.

Dexmedetomidine is Apha-2 agonist combined with local anesthetics to extend the duration of regional anesthesia. There has always been a search for ideal adjuvants in peripheral nerve blocks that prolong the duration of analgesia with lesser adverse effects. Dexmedetomidine is 8(eight) times more selective towards α-adrenoreceptors compared to clonidine. Clinical studies have shown opioid sparing effects of dexmedetomidine when used intravenously along with decrease in inhalational anesthetic requirement. In addition, it has been reported to improve quality of intrathecal and epidural anesthesia. However, very few clinical trials have
studied the effect of dexmedetomidine in supraclavicular brachial plexus block.

Fentanyl is a powerful synthetic opiate analgesic similar to but more potent than morphine. The effects of opioids on regional blockade are controversial. The addition of opioids in brachial plexus block is reported to improve success rate and postoperative analgesia, by some authors ⁸. Whereas, others have found no effect ⁹, ¹⁰.

Aim and objectives

The aim is to study the effect of adding dexmedetomidine or fentanyl to levobupivacaine in ultrasound guided supraclavicular brachial plexus block in upper extremity surgeries as regard to onset and duration of sensory and motor block and postoperative pain

Patients and Methods

The study was carried out in Tanta University Hospital on 90 patients of 18-60 years old with ASA physical status I/II scheduled for elective surgery of upper hand. Patients were divided into three equal groups. Group A: was given 30 ml of 0.5% levobupivacaine with fentanyl 100 µg. Group B: was given 30 ml of 0.5% levobupivacaine with dexmedetomidine 100 µg. Group C: was given 30 ml of 0.5% levobupivacaine with 1ml normal saline. The study was approved by the Ethics Board of Tanta University.

Measurements:
1. Hemodynamics of the patient; heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure will be assessed continuously during operation and was recorded pre-operative and 5,10,15,20,30,40,50,60 min after procedure.
2. Onset of sensory block.
3. Onset of motor block.
4. Duration of sensory and motor block.
5. Post-operative analgesia: Assessed by visual analogue score (VAS) for pain that ranged from (0 = no pain to 10 = the worst imaginable pain).
6. Adverse effects comprised bradycardia (HR <50 beats/min), nausea, vomiting, pneumothorax, hemothorax, toxicity from local anesthesia.

Statistical presentation and analysis was conducted by SPSS V.24. P value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

There were no significant differences in demographic data among the three studied groups. There was significant decrease in heart rate in group II in comparison with group I & III (20 min, 30 min, 40 min, 50 min and 60 min) after anesthesia. (P value <0.001) as shown in figure (1).
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**Figure (1):** Comparison of heart rate changes in the three studied groups.

There was significant decrease in mean arterial blood pressure in group II in comparison with group I & group III (20 min, 30 min, 40 min, 50 min and 60 min) after anesthesia (figure 2).
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**Figure (2):** Mean arterial blood pressure changes in the three studied groups.

The onset of sensory block showed significant rapid onset in group II (6-8 min) in comparison with group I (8-11 min) & group III (10-12 min) as shown in figure (3)

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure (3):** Onset of sensory block in the three studied groups.
The onset of motor block showed significant rapid onset in group II (9-13 min) in comparison with group I (13-15 min) & group III (15-17 min) as shown in figure (4).

![Figure 4: Onset of motor block (min) in the three studied groups.](image)

The duration of sensory block showed significant increase in group II (822-840 min) in comparison with group I (609-680 min) & group III (586-591 min) as shown in figure (5).

![Figure 5: Duration of sensory block (min) in the three studied groups.](image)

The duration of complete motor block showed significant increase in group II (620-640 min) in comparison with group I (509-580 min) & group III (486-493 min) as shown in figure (6).

![Figure 6: Duration of motor block (min) in the three studied groups.](image)

The Visual Analogue Score (VAS) was significantly lower in group II in comparison with group I and group III (p value < 0.05). In addition, the VAS was significantly lower in group I in comparison with group III (p value < 0.05) as shown in figure (7).

![Figure 7: Visual analogue scale in three studied groups.](image)

Nausea was observed in group III (one patient) (p value = 0.36). There was no vomiting, pneumothorax, hemothorax or toxicity from local anesthesia occurring in any group. The incidence of bradycardia was more in group II compared to group I and group III (20% of patients developed bradycardia in group II) while 0% of patients in group I and group III.

The incidence of hypotension was more in group II compared to group I and group III (13% of patients developed hypotension in group II while 0% of patients in group I and group III (table 1).
The brachial plexus is a complex network of nerves extending from the neck to the axilla providing both motor and sensory innervation to the upper limb. Understanding the structure of the brachial plexus remains a cornerstone for effective regional anesthesia. On the level of the supraclavicular fossa, the plexus is compactly arranged. The supraclavicular approach of the brachial plexus has a high success rate including blockade of the ulnar and musculocutaneous nerve which can be missed with the interscalene and axillary approach respectively.

Adjuvants are drugs added to local anaesthetics (LA) for peripheral nerve blocks (PNBs) to fasten the onset of action and prolong the duration of analgesia. They may also allow for a reduction in the total volume of LA used. The interest in PNBs has increased during the last decade due to the availability of better needles, catheters and ultrasonography. A single dose of LA has a limited duration of action, hence various adjuvants are added to fasten the onset of anesthesia and prolong the duration of analgesia. Various adjuvant drugs like opioids, clonidine, dexamethasone, neostigmine and hyaluronidase have been evaluated in conjunction with local anesthetics to prolong the duration of analgesia.

In this study, we evaluated the effect of dexmedetomidine versus fentanyl when added to levobupivacaine in ultrasonic guided supraclavicular brachial plexus block for patients undergoing upper limb surgeries. As regards demographic data, there was no statistically significant difference between three studied groups. As regards to hemodynamic changes (heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure), they were decreased significantly in group II than group I and group III as dexmedetomidine is highly selective \( \alpha_2 \)-adrenoceptor agonist. Stimulation of \( \alpha_2 \)-adrenoceptors reduce central sympathetic output, resulting in increased firing of inhibitory neurons. Dexmedetomidine has a biphasic, dose-dependent, cardiovascular response. The bolus dose of 1 µg/kg results in an initial increase in blood pressure and a reflex drop in heart rate. This response is seen more often with young and healthy patients. The increase in blood pressure is due to stimulation of the \( \alpha_2b \) receptors in vascular smooth muscle resulting in vasoconstriction. The rise in blood pressure can be attenuated by a slow infusion and by avoiding bolus administration of the drug. This initial response lasts for 5 to 10 minutes and is followed by a slight decrease in blood pressure due to the inhibition of central sympathetic outflow. The presynaptic \( \alpha_2 \) receptors are also stimulated, thereby decreasing norepinephrine release, causing a fall in blood pressure and heart rate.

Our study was in agreement with study of Eissa R.E et al., which was carried out on 60 patient scheduled for elective forearm and hand surgery. They were divided into two groups; In group L \( (n = 30) \), 40 mL (200 mg) of 0.5% levobupivacaine + 1 mL saline and in group LD \( (n = 30) \), 40 mL (200 mg) of 0.5% levobupivacaine + 1 mL dexmedetomidine were given, . In group LD bradycardia was observed in 7 patients, although there was no bradycardia in group L. Systolic and diastolic arterial blood pressure levels in group LD were significantly lower than those in group L.

Our results show significant decrease in the onset of sensory and motor block in group II than group I and group III and increase in the duration of sensory and motor block. Significant lower postoperative visual analogue score at (2 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 10 h and 12 hours) in patients who received a combination of dexmedetomidine and levobupivacaine (group II) than group I and group III, and in group I more than group III.

### Table (1): Complications in three studied groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side effects</th>
<th>Group I (n=30)</th>
<th>Group II (n=30)</th>
<th>Group III (n=30)</th>
<th>Chi-square</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.022</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradycardia</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.857</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumothorax</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemothorax</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicity from L.A drugs</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>8.372</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Dexmedetomidine has a biphasic, dose-dependent, cardiovascular response. The bolus dose of 1 µg/kg results in an initial increase in blood pressure and a reflex drop in heart rate. This response is seen more often with young and healthy patients. The increase in blood pressure is due to stimulation of the \( \alpha_2b \) receptors in vascular smooth muscle resulting in vasoconstriction. The rise in blood pressure can be attenuated by a slow infusion and by avoiding bolus administration of the drug. This initial response lasts for 5 to 10 minutes and is followed by a slight decrease in blood pressure due to the inhibition of central sympathetic outflow. The presynaptic \( \alpha_2 \) receptors are also stimulated, thereby decreasing norepinephrine release, causing a fall in blood pressure and heart rate.

Our results show significant decrease in the onset of sensory and motor block in group II than group I and group III and increase in the duration of sensory and motor block. Significant lower postoperative visual analogue score at (2 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 10 h and 12 hours) in patients who received a combination of dexmedetomidine and levobupivacaine (group II) than group I and group III, and in group I more than group III.
We claim that our results were due dexmedetomidine (highly selective α2 adrenergic receptor agonist). Mechanism by which α2 adrenergic receptor agonists produce analgesia and sedation is not fully understood but is likely to be multifactorial. Peripherally, α2 agonists produce analgesia by reducing release of norepinephrine and causing α2 receptor-independent inhibitory effects on nerve fiber action potentials. Centrally, α2 agonists produce analgesia and sedation by inhibition of substance P release in the nociceptive pathway at the level of the dorsal root neuron and by activation of α2 adrenoceptors in the locus coeruleus. In agreement with our study, Biswas et al. studied the effect of adding of dexmedetomidine to levobupivacaine in supraclavicular brachial plexus block. It was performed on 60 patients scheduled for elective forearm and hand surgery in group L (n = 30) 35cc of levobupivacaine with 1 ml of isotonic saline and in group LD (n = 30) 35cc of levobupivacaine with 1 ml of (100 microgram) of dexmedetomidine was given. It was observed that sensory and motor duration were longer in group LD as compared to L and duration of analgesia was significantly longer in group LD as compared to group L.

Furthermore, a study by Arvinder et al. was carried out on 60 patient scheduled for elective forearm and hand surgery. They were divided into 2 groups. Group I received 30 ml of 0.5% levobupivacaine with 1 ml of isotonic sodium chloride solution and Group II received 30 ml of 0.5% levobupivacaine and 1 ml (100 mcg) of dexmedetomidine for supraclavicular brachial plexus block. It was observed that the addition of dexmedetomidine to levobupivacaine significantly shorten the sensory and motor blockade onset time.

Also, a study of Esmaoglu et al. concluded that sensory and motor block onset time were significantly shorter in levobupivacaine and dexmedetomidine group than the levobupivacaine only group.

Our results were in disagreement with the study by Kaygusuz et al. which was carried out on 64 patients scheduled to undergo forearm and hand surgery, in which an axillary block was used. The patients were randomly divided into 2 groups. In group L patients (n = 32), an axillary block was performed with 39 mL levobupivacaine 0.5% plus 1 mL of isotonic sodium chloride. In group D patients (n = 32), an axillary block was performed with 39 mL levobupivacaine 0.5% and 1 mL dexmedetomidine 1 μg/kg−1 plus isotonic sodium chloride. They observed shortening of sensory block onset time whereas no shortening of motor onset time.

Our results showed significantly decrease in the onset of sensory and motor block in group I compared with group III and increase in the duration of sensory and motor block. Significant lower postoperative visual analogue score at (2 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 10 h and 12 hours), in patients who received a combination of fentanyl and levobupivacaine in group I more than group III.

Conclusions:
We concluded that the addition of dexmedetomidine was better than fentanyl when both were used as adjuvants to levobupivacaine in ultrasound guided brachial plexus block as demonstrated by prolongation of duration of sensory block, improved quality of postoperative analgesia without any considerable side effects.
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