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A study to determine the frequency and potential risk factors of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection was carried out on 33 serum samples from drug users; admitted to hospital for treatment. Sera were screened for HCV antibodies (anti-HCV) using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Anti-HCV antibodies were detected in 11/33 (33.3%) of drug users versus 2/30 (6.7%) of volunteer blood donors (control group), which represent statistically significant changes ($p < 0.001$). HCV-RNA was detected by one step nested reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). HCV-RNA was detected in 7/11 (63.6%) of seropositive drug users, all of them were injection drug users.

There was significant association of HCV infection with age and injection drug use ($p < 0.05$). However, there was no significant relation between the duration of drug abuse and HCV infection. From these findings, I conclude that HCV infections are common among injection drug users probably due to the intravenous use of drugs.

Introduction

An estimated 170 million people worldwide have hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection (WHO, 1997). HCV is a major cause of parenterally transmitted acute hepatitis (Choo et al., 1989). It is an enveloped positive – stranded RNA virus in the family Flaviviridae, its genome consists of ~ 9,600 nucleotides which encodes of > 3,000 amino acids that is processed into structural (S) and nonstructural (NS) proteins (Kolykhalov et al., 1997). It is an important cause of chronic hepatitis and may eventually cause progressive liver disease, cirrhosis, and liver cancer (Alter et al., 1992; Tong et al., 1995).

In Egypt, there is high prevalence of hepatitis C virus, where 10% - 20% of the general population is infected (Kamel et al 1992; Darwish et al, 1993). As in other regions of the world, in Egypt some HCV infections are caused by transfusions (Khalifa et al, 1993; Abdel–Wahab et al, 1994), injection drug use (Bassily et al., 1995), perinatal exposure (kumar et al,1997) and percutaneous medical procedures (both traditional and non traditional).

HCV is widely spread among injecting drug users (IDUs) (van den Hoek et al., 1990; Thomas et al, 1997). The most common mode of HCV
transmission among IDUs seems to be the multiperson use of contaminated syringes (Alter, 1997). Surveys of adult, long-term IDUs in the United States consistently report seroprevalence levels of 70% - 90% (Kelen et al, 1992), signifying that HCV infection has reached saturation levels in this population (Zeldis et al, 1992).

The aim of this study is to assess the incidence of HCV infection by the detection of both anti-viral antibodies and viral genomes in serum samples of drug users.

Material and Methods

Study Subjects:

Thirty–three serum specimens were obtained from drug users who were admitted to Al-Khanka Hospital, Qalyubiyia Governorate for treatment. All subjects were males with median age 24.73 (19-30 years). Sixteen of them (48.5%) were injecting drug users (IDUs).

Control group:

Thirty age-matched volunteer blood donors with no history of drug use, were selected from blood bank of Benha University Hospital. Study subjects and control group sera were kept at –50°C until tested.

Detection of anti-HCV antibodies by ELISA:

Sera were screened for anti- HCV IgG by enzyme immunoassay commercial kit (Murex, version 4.0) which utilises antigens from the putative core (C, structural), NS3 protease / helicase (non- structural), NS4 (non – structural) and NS5 replicase (non- structural) regions of the virus. The assay was done according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Extraction of RNA:

RNA was extracted from sera by QIAmp viral RNA mini spin kit (Qiagen, Ltd, UK) as recommended by the manufacturer.

Reverse–transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

Seropositive sera from addicts and controls were subjected to HCV-RNA detection. RT-PCR was performed in one step by using QIAGEN One Step RT-PCR kit (Qiagen Ltd, UK) in accordance with the instructions supplied with the kit.

PCR was used in a nested configuration in two steps using 2 sets of primers derived from the 5’ untranslated region (5’UT) which is the conserved region among different HCV isolates (Han et al, 1991).These primers were supplied by Sorin Biomedica, Italy. The outer primers were 1CH antisense primer (from 1-21) 5’-G GTGCACGGTCTACGAGACCTC-3’, and 2CH sense primer (from 289-269)5’-AACTACTGTCTTCCACGCAG AA-3’. The inner primers were ITS anti-sense (from 70-90) 5’-GCGACCC AACACTACTCGGTCT-3’, and 4CH sense primer (from 257-240) 5’- ATGG CTTAGTAGATGAGTG-3’.

The RT-PCR was performed as followed. The reaction consisted of 10µl of 5x Qiagen One Step buffer, 10µl of 10x Q solution, 40mM dNTPs
mixture (10mM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP), 50 pmol of each of outer primers, 2 μl of RT-PCR enzyme mix contained reverse transcriptase and Taq polymerase enzymes, RNase inhibitor and template RNA then complete to 50 μl by RNase-free water.

The program of RT-PCR was: 48°C for 45 min and 95°C for 5 min for one cycle then 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min for 30 cycles then extension at 72°C for 7 min in thermocycler (Biometra).

The second amplification was performed in total 50 μl reaction consisted of 5 μl of 10X Taq polymerase buffer, 1μl of dNTPs mixture, 2 U of Taq polymerase enzyme (Promega, UK), 50 pmol of each primer of inner primers and 5μl from 1st PCR product and complete the volume to 50 μl by sterile dist. water. The program was: 95°C for 5min then 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min, then final extension at 72°C for 7 min for one cycle. PCR products of 187-bp were analyzed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Bands was stained with ethidium bromide and visualized by UV transilluminator.

Proper precausions were followed such as negative control, aerosol-resistant-tips, separate processing areas were used to minimize the risk of amplicon contamination, RNase – resistant glasswares and plasticwares to prevent RNA degradation.

**Statistical analysis:**

Proportions were compared by the $\chi^2$ test or Fisher’s exact test, a $P$ value of $< 0.05$ was considered significant.

**Results**

**Detection of anti-HCV antibodies:**

Among 33 drug users 11 had antibodies to HCV (33.3%) versus 2/30 among control subjects (6.7%) with statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) difference (Table 1).

Sixteen of the 33 drug users (48.49%) were injection drug users (IDUs). HCV antibodies were detected in 9/16 (56.25%) of IDUs. There is significant ($p = 0.012$) increase of HCV infection with age while, there were no correlation ($P = 0.496$) with duration of drug abuse. HCV seropositivity was significantlly ($p =0.009$) associated with intravenous drug use (Table 2).

Of the HCV seropositive addicts, 2/11 (18.2%) injected heroin alone, 7/11 (63.6%) used heroin in combination with other drugs e.g. morphine, hashish, bango, narcotics, alcohol and amphetamine derivatives 2/11 (18.2%) used bango in combination with other drugs.

**Detection of HCV-RNA:**

HCV-RNA was detected by RT-PCR in 7/11 (63.6%) of seropositive drug users while, there was no signal of nucleic acid in the two seropositive controls (Table 1; Figure 1). All these seven addicts used the intravenous route.
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Fig. (1): Agarose gel electrophoresis of RT-PCR amplification products of HCV-RNA, M: 100bp marker, positive samples (lanes: 1,3,4,6,8,9 and 10) with amplicon 187 bp.

Table (1). HCV seropositivity and viraemia among drug users versus controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Drug users n = 33</th>
<th>Controls n = 30</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-HCV antibodies</td>
<td>11/33 (33.3)</td>
<td>2/30 (63.7)</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV- RNA</td>
<td>7/11 (63.6)</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S = significant; n = number; percentage in parenthesis

Table (2) Correlation between HCV seropositivity and risk factors in drug users

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HCV seropositive n = 11</th>
<th>HCV seronegative n = 22</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Age in years:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I: 19-21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II: 22-24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III: 25-28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV: 29-30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Duration of drug use in months:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I: 2-24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II: 25-48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III: 49-72</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV: 73-240</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Route of administration:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous (I.V)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Other routes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n= number; S = significant; N.S. = non significant; * other routes e.g. oral, smoking and snuffing.
Discussion

In this study, HCV antibodies were detected in 33.3% of drug users who are at very high risk of hepatitis C infection, and parenteral drug abuse may be one cause of this increased risk. Sixteen of them were injection drug users (IDUs), nine of them (56.25%) had HCV antibodies.

Bassily et al, (1995) performed a study on HCV infection in paid professional blood donors, the antibodies were found in 26.6% of them versus 6% of controls. Attia and coworkers (1996) reported 27% seroprevalence of anti-HCV among paid blood donors and 17% in unpaid blood donors. However, van den Hoek et al, (1990) reported HCV seropositivity in 74% IDUs in Amsterdam, and Esteban et al, (1989) reported 70% among IDUs in Spain. Rezza et al, (1996) found antibodies in 63% of Italian IDUs. Tršler et al, (1999) detected HCV infection in 52% of Slovenian IDUs and in 68.6% of Croatian IDUs.

In the present study, the prevalence of HCV infection in drug abusers is lower than American and European countries, inspite of high prevalence of HCV among general population (10%-20%) (Kamel et al., 1992; Darwish et al., 1993). This may be due to: first parenteral drug abuse is not known to be common in Egypt (Bassily et al., 1995). Second, the age of drug users in the present study ranged from 19-30 years. This age group has low HCV prevalence which was reported by Darwish et al,(1992) who found 6% positivity among 20 to 30 years old volunteer blood donors which is comparable with control group (6.7%) in the present study. However, the HCV prevalence in drug users is higher than that reported by Thorpe and others (2000). They found HCV antibodies in 27% of American IDUs of the same age sector.

The risk factors for HCV infection in the present study are similar to those previously reported by other authors. There are statistically significant association between the age and injection route of drug use. This is in agreement with Rezza et al, (1996), Thomas et al (1997) and Thorpe et al,(2000) results. However, they also found significant relation of duration of injecting drugs and increase HCV seropositivity. But, in the present study the duration had no significant association, it may be due to the small sample size of my study, because most of addicts were not cooperative. As well as the parenteral drug abuse is not very common in Egypt. That is noticed in this study, where 16/33 (48.5%) of addicts practiced injecting drugs besides oral, smoking and snuffing routes, which is in agreement with Bassily et al,(1995).

In the present work, HCV-RNA was detected in 7/11 (63.6%) of anti-HCV positive addicts all of them were injecting drug users. The incidence of RT-PCR positivity in anti-HCV positive individuals varied in different reports from 25% (MacDonald et al, 1994) to 100% (Peterli et al, 1994). François and coworkers (1993) found that the
prevalence of HCV-RNA were 25.9% in HCV antibody-positive blood donors, 66.6% in IDUs and 92% in haemophiliacs. Ray et al, (2000) detected HCV-RNA in 64% of sera obtained from high titer seropositive blood donors throughout Egypt. However, Hassaballa & Hegazi (1994), detected HCV nucleic acid in 33% of HCV seropositive apparently healthy individuals applying work abroad. Gawish and Arafat (1996) detected HCV-RNA in 20/40 (50%) of chronic hepatitis patients.

The high incidence of viraemia reported in the present study among drug users especially who practised parenteral use indicates high infectivity and they may have a dangerous role of infection among addicts who shared syringes or even in community.

Since HCV infection, which results in chronic disease in more than 50% of cases (Alter, 1997) represents an important public health problem.
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