Prenatal Diagnosis of Fetal Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy in Diabetic Mothers Using 5D Fetal Echocardiography
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ABSTRACT

Background: Diabetes mellitus is a common condition, affecting approximately 7% of pregnant women. Appropriate management has reduced morbidity and mortality. Diabetes mellitus in pregnancy can cause many problems for the fetus as significant congenital disorders, risk of premature delivery and increased prenatal morbidity and mortality. In addition, it causes neonatal hypoglycemia, macrosomia and transient hypertrophic cardiomyopathy HCM (observed in up to 35% of fetuses). Fetal Intelligent Navigation Echocardiography (FINE) is a novel method for visualization of standard fetal echocardiography views from volume datasets obtained with spatiotemporal image correlation (STIC). This method can simplify examination of the fetal heart and reduce operator dependency.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to investigate the utility of 5D fetal echocardiography in the prenatal diagnosis of fetal hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in healthy mothers, controlled diabetic mothers and uncontrolled diabetic mothers.

Patients and Methods: Cross sectional observational study was conducted at Ain Shams University Maternity Hospital at the ultrasound fetal special care unit, Study duration: September 2017- July 2018. This study was conducted on healthy and diabetic pregnant women divided into 3 groups. Sampling Method: This study included 111 pregnant women between the 28th and 36th weeks of gestation. After approval of the Local Institutional Review Board, the study was explained and an informed written consent was obtained from all participants. This study included 3 groups of pregnant women which were: Group A: Control group which consist of 37 healthy non-diabetic pregnant women, group B: Controlled group which consist of 37 diabetic pregnant women with good glycemic control, group C: Uncontrolled group which consist of 37 diabetic pregnant women with poor glycemic control.

Results: The current study showed that, as regards the thickness of the interventricular septum IVS, there were significant differences between the three groups. The mean IVS thickness in Group A was 0.38±0.05 cm; in Group B, it was 0.44±0.05cm and in Group C, it was 0.57±0.08cm. In a similar study, compared the IVS thickness between GDM, DM type 2 and DM type 1, showed that the mean fetal IVS thickness was 3.73±0.84 mm in the DM type1 group; 4.08±0.75 mm in the GDM group; and 3.99±0.59 mm in the DM type 2 group and found that there was a statistically significant difference between HbA1c and IVS thickness in the DM type1 group only P=0.013. But in the GDM group (P=0.723) and the DM type2 group (P=0.380), there were no significant differences.

Conclusion: Infants of diabetic mothers are prone to have multiple problems during the neonatal period which makes them a very high risk infant. These neonates must be screened for any associated congenital anomalies and metabolic abnormalities. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy of IDM have good prognosis and this cardiomyopathy is reversible in nature but these neonates requires close monitoring and regular follow-up and early intrauterine detection.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a common condition, affecting approximately 7% of pregnant women. Appropriate management has reduced morbidity and mortality (1). Diabetes mellitus in pregnancy can cause many problems for the fetus as significant congenital disorders, risk of premature delivery and increased prenatal morbidity and mortality. In addition, it causes neonatal hypoglycemia, macrosomia and transient hypertrophic cardiomyopathy HCM (observed in up to 35% of fetuses) (2).

Approximately 3.6% of infants of diabetic mothers (IDMs) have congenital cardiac malformations. While 40% of infants of diabetic mothers have hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) that may or may not be symptomatic. A major finding is hypertrophy of the ventricular and sepal walls of the neonatal heart. In all, 5% of neonates born to diabetic mothers suffer from congestive heart failure due to left ventricular outflow obstruction. Fortunately, in most cases, cardiac hypertrophy is transient with spontaneous echocardiographic resolution within the early months after birth, requiring no therapy (3).
Diabetes mellitus affects the fetal heart during early and late gestation. During early gestation, it hinders the proper expression of genes needed for the correct development of the fetal heart during embryogenesis, causing structural cardiac defects, for example, ventricular septal defects. Moreover, during late gestation, fetal hyperinsulinemia due to inadequate maternal glycemic control increases the expression of fetal insulin cardiac receptors. Insulin, an anabolic hormone, causes hyperplasia and hypertrophy of the fetal myocardium specially the interventricular septum (IVS) due to its abundance of insulin receptors, leading to hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM).

Echocardiography is routinely indicated for foetuses of diabetic women. However, metabolic expression occurs fully from the 24th week onwards.

In recent decades, with the development of three-dimensional ultrasound (US3D) and the Spatio-Temporal Image Correlation technology (STIC), a new form of prenatal cardiac examination has emerged. This technique allowed obtaining cardiac volume and its storage for later reconstruction and analysis of anatomy, presenting the image in the multiplanar and surface mode (rendered), identifying the cardiac chambers, semilunar and atrioventricular valves, and the positioning of vessels and their correlations, and it was also possible to track the cardiac motion by using the cineloop technique.

2D ultrasound is basically an axial image, and 3D ultrasound is a volume, and 4D ultrasound is a volume with time and the fifth dimension is how do you bring a level of workflow into ultrasound? And it is basically bordering on the sense of automation. 5D technology is a form of automation where you go through and do a scan and you get the results auto populated for you. Five dimensional Ultrasound included features like 5D Heart, 5D CNS (which is aimed at the central nervous system and displays six measurements (BPD, HC, OFD, Cerebellum, Posterior Fossa, Atria lateral ventricle)), 5D Follicle (which identifies and measures multiple ovarian follicles for rapid assessment of follicular size), 5D-LB (fetal long-bone) and 5D-NT (nuchal translucency).

Fetal Intelligent Navigation Echocardiography (FINE) is a novel method for visualization of standard fetal echocardiography views from volume datasets obtained with spatiotemporal image correlation (STIC). This method can simplify examination of the fetal heart and reduce operator dependency.

It is a method to: 1) demonstrate nine cardiac diagnostic planes; and 2) spontaneously navigate the anatomy surrounding each of the nine cardiac diagnostic planes (Virtual Intelligent Sonographer Assistance (VIS-Assistance)).

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this study was to investigate the utility of 5D fetal echocardiography in the prenatal diagnosis of fetal hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in healthy mothers, controlled diabetic mothers and uncontrolled diabetic mothers. Study Question: Does 5D fetal echocardiography have a role in the prenatal diagnosis of fetal hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in healthy mothers, controlled diabetic mothers and uncontrolled diabetic mothers?

Study Hypothesis: We assumed that 5D fetal echocardiography has a role in the prenatal diagnosis of fetal hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in healthy mothers, controlled diabetic mothers and uncontrolled diabetic mothers.

Study Outcomes: 1. Primary outcome: measuring of interventricular septum thickness (IVS) of the fetal heart by 5D ultrasound. 2. Secondary outcome: Measuring of right myocardial wall thickness (RMWT) of the fetal heart by 5D ultrasound, measuring of left myocardial wall thickness (LMWT) of the fetal heart by 5D ultrasound, finding of any other structural abnormalities of the fetal heart by 5D ultrasound.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Type of Study: Cross sectional observational study.

Study Setting: This study was conducted at Ain Shams University Maternity Hospital at the ultrasound fetal special care unit. The study was approved by the Ethics Board of Ain Shams University and an informed written consent was taken from each participant in the study.

Study duration: September 2017- July 2018.

Study Population:

This study was conducted on healthy and diabetic pregnant women divided into 3 groups with the following inclusion and exclusion criteria:

Inclusion Criteria: Pregnant women at 28 to 36 weeks of gestation determined by the last menstrual period (LMP) confirmed by ultrasound test performed until the 10th week, using the crown-rump length (CRL) as a parameter. Women with singleton pregnancy with live
embryo. Fulfil criteria of FINE (Fetal Intelligent Navigation Echocardiography). Fetal spine located between 5 to 7 o’clock positions (reducing the possibility of shadowing from the ribs or spine). Upper mediastinum and stomach contained within the volume.

Exclusion Criteria: Oligohydramnios [amniotic fluid index (AFI) below the 5th percentile for gestational age. Estimated fetal weight below two standard deviations from the average. Pregnant women with chronic illnesses that could affect fetal growth and development, such as hypertension, collagen diseases. Maternal conditions where intense sound beam could be attenuated such as maternal obesity and abdominal scars. Fetal malformations diagnosed at 1st or 2nd trimester ultrasound. Criteria when fetal Echocardiography could not be done. Fetal spine anterior. Multiple acoustic shadows. Smokers.

Sampling Method: This study included 111 pregnant women between the 28th and 36th weeks of gestation. After approval of the Local Institutional Review Board, the study was explained and an informed written consent was obtained from all participants. The diagnosis of diabetes was based on the criteria provided by the American Diabetes Association, that was, plasma glucose level > 92 mg/dl (fasting) and > 153 mg/dl (2 hours post prandial), (According to American Diabetes Association (ADA) 2016 Guideline): We measured the glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels of the participants; the mean cut off value was 6.5%, where a level of < 6.5% indicates good glycemic control and a level of > 6.5% indicates poor glycemic control.

This study included 3 groups of pregnant women which are: Group A: Control group which consist of 37 healthy non-diabetic pregnant women. Group B: Controlled group which consist of 37 diabetic pregnant women with good glycemic control. Group C: Uncontrolled group which consist of 37 diabetic pregnant women with poor glycemic control.

Study Procedures: After enrolment, all women were subjected to the following: Complete history taking, physical examination, investigations, ultrasound examination.

Statistical Analysis:

The required sample size has been calculated using the IBM© SamplePower© Software (IBM© Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Table (1): Comparison between the three studied groups according to obstetric data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obstetric data</th>
<th>Group A (n=37)</th>
<th>Group B (n=37)</th>
<th>Group C (n=37)</th>
<th>Test of sig.</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. %</td>
<td>No. %</td>
<td>No. %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. Of Deliveries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. – Max.</td>
<td>0.0 – 5.0</td>
<td>1.0 – 4.0</td>
<td>0.0 – 5.0</td>
<td>H=0.932</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No abortion</td>
<td>18 (48.6%)</td>
<td>18 (48.6%)</td>
<td>3 (8.1%)</td>
<td>χ²=17.788</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abortion</td>
<td>19 (51.4%)</td>
<td>19 (51.4%)</td>
<td>34 (91.9%)</td>
<td>H=1.400</td>
<td>0.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table shows that there was no significant difference between the three groups as regards deliveries and abortion but as regards occurrence of previous abortion group C had higher percentage.

Table (2): Comparison between the two studied groups according to type of DM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DM</th>
<th>Group B (n=37)</th>
<th>Group C (n=37)</th>
<th>Test of sig.</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. %</td>
<td>No. %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDM</td>
<td>32 (86.5%)</td>
<td>11 (29.7%)</td>
<td>χ²=150.387</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>2 (5.4%)</td>
<td>16 (43.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>3 (8.1%)</td>
<td>10 (27.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table indicates that there were significant differences between the two groups as regards type of diabetes as in group B. The commonest type was GDM, group C Type 1 was the commonest type.

Table (3): Comparison between the two studied groups according to HbA1c level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HbA1c</th>
<th>Group B (n=37)</th>
<th>Group C (n=37)</th>
<th>Test of sig.</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. %</td>
<td>No. %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. – Max.</td>
<td>4.40 – 6.40</td>
<td>7.0 – 10.10</td>
<td>t=14.983*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>5.52 ± 0.67</td>
<td>8.36 ± 0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table demonstrates that there were significant differences between the two groups as regards HbA1c as it was higher in group C.

Table (4): Comparison between the three studied groups according to IVS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IVS (in cm)</th>
<th>Group A (n=37)</th>
<th>Group B (n=37)</th>
<th>Group C (n=37)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min. – Max.</td>
<td>0.31 – 0.51</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.45 – 0.72</td>
<td>92.425*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. bet. grps</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001 . p&lt;0.001 . p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table displays that there were significant differences between the three groups as regards IVS.
DISCUSSION

This study was conducted at Ain Shams University Maternity Hospital at the ultrasound fetal special care unit over the period from September 2017 to July 2018. The aim of this study was to investigate the utility of 5D fetal echocardiography in the prenatal diagnosis of fetal hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) in healthy mothers, controlled diabetic mothers and uncontrolled diabetic mothers. 111 pregnant women between the 28th and 36th weeks of gestation were included and divided into three groups: **Group A**: Control group which consist of 37 healthy non-diabetic pregnant women. **Group B**: Controlled diabetic group which consist of 37 diabetic pregnant women with good glycemic control. **Group C**: Uncontrolled diabetic group which consist of 37 diabetic pregnant women with poor glycemic control.

The current study showed that, as regards the thickness of the interventricular septum IVS, there were significant differences between the three groups. The mean IVS thickness in Group A was 0.38±0.05 cm; in Group B, it was 0.44±0.05 cm and in Group C, it was 0.57±0.08 cm.

In a similar study, comparison was done between the IVS thickness between GDM, DM type 2 and DM type 1. The results showed that the mean fetal IVS thickness was 3.73 ± 0.84 mm in the DM type 1 group; 4.08 ± 0.75 mm in the GDM group; and 3.99 ± 0.59 mm in the DM type 2 group. Moreover, it was found that there was a statistically significant difference between HbA1c and IVS thickness in the DM type 1 group only (P=0.013). But in the GDM group (P=0.723) and the DM type 2 group (P=0.380), there were no significant differences.

**Kosus et al.** indicated that the mean IVS thickness was slightly higher in the second group, which consisted of 31 patients having glucose levels above 130 mg/dl after a 50 g OGL (oral glucose loading) but a normal OGTT, from the first group of 44 patients with normal OGL and OGTT, but it was not statistically significant different between the two groups. The author discovered four GDM cases where the mean IVS thickness was 2.72±0.13 mm. Because the very small sample size, statistical evaluation was not done for the GDM group.

The mechanisms by which interventricular septal hypertrophy arises in the presence of well-controlled diabetic pregnancies remain to be unclear. On the other hand, in another study assessing ventricular hypertrophy in patients with mild GIGT (gestational impaired glucose tolerance), a slightly thicker IVS was found in these patients, but there was no statistically significant difference when compared to a control group consisting of normal pregnant women.

In the present study, we showed that there were significant differences between the three groups as regard right myocardial wall thickness (RMWT) and left myocardial wall thickness (LMWT) as it was higher in group C p-value <0.001. The mean RMWT were 0.42 ± 0.06 cm, 0.46 ± 0.05 cm and 0.59 ± 0.09 cm, respectively, in group A, B and C. The mean LMWT were 0.47 ± 0.09 cm, 0.52 ± 0.05 cm and 0.68 ± 0.06 cm, respectively, in group A, B and C.

Similar findings were found in a previous study that used left ventricular wall thickness measures at birth as reference, it was reported that these values were increased in 69% of the fetuses of mothers with GDM and that was statistically significant different.

In this study, there was a significant correlation between IVS vs. RMWT in group A, B, there was no significant correlation between them in group C. There was a significant correlation between IVS vs. LMWT in group A, B but there was no significant correlation between them in group C. There was a significant correlation between RMWT vs. LMWT in the three groups.

Also in the present study, we found that sensitivity and specificity of IVS in diagnosing diabetic HCM among group B, C vs. A was 85.14%, and 81.08%, respectively, with cut off value >0.41, PPV 90, NPP 73.2, Sensitivity and specificity of LMWT in diagnosing diabetic HCM was 85.14%, and 78.38%, respectively, with cut off value >0.5, PPV 88.7, NPP 72.5, Sensitivity and specificity of RMWT in diagnosing diabetic HCM was 78.38%, and 72.97%, respectively, with cut off value >0.43, PPV 85.3, NPP 62.8.

Sensitivity and specificity of IVS in diagnosing diabetic HCM Group C vs. Group B was 91.89%, and 83.78%, respectively, with cut off value >0.56, PPV 85.0, NPP 91.2, Sensitivity and specificity of LMWT in diagnosing diabetic HCM was 89.19%, and 86.49%. Respectively, with cut off value >0.48, PPV 86.8, NPP 88.9. Sensitivity and specificity of RMWT in diagnosing diabetic HCM was 91.89%, and 83.78%, respectively, with cut off value >0.49, PPV 85.0, NPP 91.2.
This shows that they had higher sensitivity and specificity and NPV, PPV in diagnosing diabetes HCM in controlled and non controlled patients.

A prenatal IVS thickness of ≥4.5 mm was associated with a postnatal diagnosis of HCM at a sensitivity of 82%, a specificity of 68%, a positive predictive value (PPV) of 37%, a negative predictive value (NPV) of 94%, a positive likelihood ratio (LR+) of 2.6, and an overall accuracy of 72%. ROC curve, which was constructed for estimating the association between the prenatal IVS thickness to left myocardial thickness (IVS/LMWT) ratio and the postnatal diagnosis of HCM, showed a significant association (5).

In the present study, we found that there were no significant differences between studied groups as regards age, deliveries and gestational age p-value 0.25, 0.628, and 0.140, respectively, but as regard occurrence of previous abortion group C had higher percentage, there was significant differences between the two groups (B+C) as regard type of diabetes as in group B the commonest type was GDM, group C Type 1 was the commonest type.

In our study we concluded that there were significant differences between the two groups (B+C) as regard HbA1c, FGT and 2hr OGTT as it was higher in group C. The mean HbA1c in Group B was 5.52±0.67 and in Group C 8.36±0.94. While, the mean FGT in Group A was 74.68±7.88, in Group B 88.76±17.12 and in Group C 146.1±63.45. As regards 2hr OGTT we found that the mean value was in Group A 127±17.61, in Group B 131.5±23.99 and in group C 198.5±50.47.

In the study of Babović et al. which aimed to determine HbA1c levels and pregestational body mass index BMI as the predictors of glycemic control and fetal IVS thickness, it showed a statistically significant relation between BMI and HbA1c in DM type 1 group only not in DM type 2 and GDM groups. Also, it showed a statistically significant relation between BMI and IVS thickness in the DM type 1 group only (10).

Finally, this study was conducted on healthy and diabetic pregnant women and it showed that there were significant differences between HbA1c and IVS, RMWT, LMWT in the three groups. It might be used as a predictor of HCM in fetuses of diabetic mothers and as a predictor of glycemic control status. This study missed pregestational BMI of the participants. Also, for neonatal outcome it is better to be evaluated by post natal echocardiography.

CONCLUSION

Infants of diabetic mothers are prone to have multiple problems during the neonatal period which makes them very high risk infants. These neonates must be screened for any associated congenital anomalies and metabolic abnormalities. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy of IDM have good prognosis and this cardiomyopathy is reversible in nature but these neonates requires close monitoring and regular follow-up and early intrauterine detection.
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