In Vitro Evaluation of Anticancer Potential of *Echispyramidum* Venom (*Viperidae*) and Related Genetic and Apoptotic Profile Alterations

Gomaa H. Mahmoud^{1*}, Samy A. Saber¹, Abir A. El-Fiky², Aly F. Mohamed³

Department of ¹Zoology, Faculty of Science (Boys branch), Al-Azhar University; ²ANDI COE in Antivenom Research and ³R&D Sector VACSERA

*Corresponding author: Gomaa Hamed, Mobile: (+20) 01020661649, Email: ghamed2013@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background: cancer is basically a disease of uncontrolled cell division. Its development and progression are usually linked to a series of changes in the activity of cell cycle regulators.

Objective: the present study aimed to investigate the anticancer potential of Echispyramidum venom against HepG2 and HCT 116 cancer cell lines in comparison with the Cisplatin as positive control.

Patients and Methods: cell viability performed using MTT assay was cell type and concentration dependent was inversely proportional with the tow substances (Echispyramidum) venom as well as Cisplatin had IC50 of 11.8 and 112.7 µg/mL and 13.4 and 71.2 µg/mL developed in HCT116 and HepG2 cell lines respectively.

Results: both of Echispyramidum venom and Cisplatin showed DNA accumulation at the Pre-G1 and G2/ M Phases of cell cycle. Apoptotic profile of treated cells showed up regulation of apoptotic genes (P53, Bax and Casp-3) and down regulation of anti-apoptotic gene (Bcl-2) in a significant way compared with untreated cell control. Also, apoptotic profile was assured via cellular changes detected microscopically in H&E stained cells. Microscopic examination showed apoptotic and slightly necrotic features in case of venoms while Cisplatin induced a number of apoptotic bodies and necrotic cell colonies.

Conclusion: it can be concluded that Echispyramidum venom has a clear anticancer potential to human liver and colorectal cancer cells that was proven through the cell cycle arrest profile, induced apoptosis detected via detection of the levels of expression of pro and anti-apoptotic genes, as well as histological alteration detected.

Keywords: Echispyramidumvenom, liver cancer, colorectal cancer, Cisplatin, cytotoxicity, apoptosis, anticancer.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a disease arises from single abnormal cell with mutated DNA⁽¹⁾. It is caused by disturbance in the balance between the growth promoting and growth inhibitors leading to progressive and uncontrolled cell proliferation disregarding the normal process of cell division and absence of the programmed cell death process "apoptosis⁽²⁾. According to **WHO**⁽³⁾, the global cancer burden is estimated to have risen to 18.1 million new cases and 9.6 million deaths in 2018. One in 5 men and one in 6 women worldwide develop cancer during their lifetime, and one in 8 men and one in 11 women die from the disease. Worldwide, the total number of people who are alive within 5 years of a cancer diagnosis, called the 5-year prevalence, is estimated to be 43.8 million.

Liver cancer (841,084 cases, 5% of the total) is the sixth most common cancer worldwide and the fourth most common cause of cancer death (782,000 deaths, 8.2%). Colorectal cancer (1.8 million cases, 10.2% of the total) is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second most common cause of cancer death (881,000 deaths, 9.2%). In Egypt, the cancer burden is estimated to have risen to 128,892 new cases and 85432 deaths in 2018, while the number of prevalent cases (5year) is 257,288 cases. Also, Liver cancer (25,399 cases, 19.7% of the total) is the first most common cancer in Egyptand the first most common cause of cancer death (25,084 deaths, 29.4%), while colon cancer (3,477 cases, 2.7% of the total) is the eighth most commonly diagnosed cancer and the ninth most common cause of cancer death (2,051 deaths, 2.4%).

Current traditional treatments include: chemotherapy, radiotherapy, gene therapy and hormone therapy which were found to affect both cancerous cells and intact cells as well as causing severe side effects. As a result, the use of naturally extracted drugs is becoming a more attractive alternative as opposed to traditional drugs. Snake venom is a complex mixture of bioactive peptides, proteins, enzymes, and toxins including cytotoxins, cardiotoxins and neurotoxins, which exhibits cytotoxic activities. Snake venom targets cellular metabolism alterations rendering it a potential anticancer and anti-oxidizing complex. Thus, snake venoms can induce the blockage of some specific ion channels, inhibiting angiogenesis and subsequently suppressing metastasis, and activating the intracellular pathways causing apoptosis^(4,5).

One of the most dangerous snakes in the world and lives in Egypt is *E. pyramidum*. *E. pyramidum* venom consist of specific enzymes like **PLA2**, phospholipase A2; **SVSP**, snake venom serine protease; **SVMP**, snake venom metalloprotease; **LAAO**, Lamino acid oxidase; **CRiSP**, Cysteine-Rich Secretory Protein; **CTL/SNACLEC**, C-type lectins and C-type lectin like; **DIS**, disintegrin and **VEGF**, vascular endothelial growth factor⁽⁶⁾.

PLA2 is considered the main component of the vipersvenom which causes hydrolysis of membrane phospholipids which induces the release of lysophospholipids and fatty acids resulting in the pharmacological effects within cells such as membrane damage, disruption of membrane-bound protein and functional disturbances of the cellular cascade, and

liberation of some bioactive products⁽⁷⁾. LAAO in Echis venom show an accumulation of tumor cells at the sub-G1 phase of the cell cycle. It also induced apoptosis via the Fas pathway^(8,9,10).

Lectins triggers apoptosis accompanied by downregulation of Bcl-2 gene expression (antiapoptotic) and upregulation of Bax gene expression (pro-apoptotic). Once Bax is activated, it's inserted into the mitochondria causing changes in the mitochondrial membrane permeability leading to release of cytochrome C, and activation of caspase cascade pathway that results in cell apoptosis⁽¹¹⁾. Also, lectin inhibited the proliferation of hepatoma HepG2 cells⁽¹²⁾. Additionally, a lectin a dose-dependent antiproliferative effect on HCT-116 cells from human colonic carcinoma induction⁽¹³⁾. Metallproteases, by apoptosis phospholipase A2, and disintegrins from *E. pyramidum* venom promote apoptosis as a part of their pathological mechanisms. For example, In vitro studies using adherent cell cultures have shown exposure to purifieddisintegrins or SVMP induce cellular detachment^(14,15). So, this work aims to evaluate the possible anticancer effects of some natural components such as snake (*E. pyramidum*) venom that compared to a synthetic anticancer drug (Cisplatin). The evaluation parameters include cytotoxicity, cell cycle, and the expression of some genes related to apoptosis by semiquantitative RT-PCR technique to show the effect of combination on human cell line. We can expect the improvement of a new agent from snake venoms in the future which will be useful in cancer therapy.

AIM OF THE WORK

The present study aimed to investigate the anticancer potential of Echispyramidum venom against HepG2 and HCT 116 cancer cell lines in comparison with the Cisplatin as positive control.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Materials:

Liver and colorectal cancer cell lines (**HepG2** and**HCT₁₁₆**) as well as *E. pyramidum* venom were purchased from VACSERA EGYPT. Cisplatin (**Cis**) Produced by Technopharma Egypt. Total RNA isolation System, Reverse Transcription System, dNTPs Mixture, Taq DNA polymerase, BenchTop 1kb DNA Ladder and DNA loading buffer were all from Promega. 3-(4, 5-Dimethylthiazol-2- yl)-2, 5- diphenyltetrazolium bromide (**MTT**) and RPMI media were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Methods:

Cytotoxicity / IC₅₀ calculation:

E. pyramidum venom and **Cisplatin** (**Cis**) were prepared as 2 mg/ ml insterile phosphate buffer saline (**PBS**)and **DMSO** respectively. Maintenance of cell lineswasperformedaccording to manufacturer instructions, where exhausted growth medium was decanted and cells were washed using sterile **PBS** then treated with 5 ml of 0.25 % trypsin solution (Sigma -Aldrich ,USA) for 5 minutes at 37oC. Detached cells were re-suspended in 10 ml of fresh growth medium and counted according to Soliman, $etal^{(16)}$. Cytotoxicity Assay of - E. pyramidum venom and Cis using MTT was performed via dispending 2-fold serially diluted venom and Cison HepG2 and HCT₁₁₆ pre-cultured 96 well plates (TPP-Swiss). Morphologic changes were detected using inverted microscope (Hund -Germany). Detached cells were washedout using sterile PBS and residual live cells were stained with MTT stain(0.5 mg/ml) for 4 hrs at 37oC developed purple crystals were dissolved using DMSO as 50 μ L / well. Plateswere incubated for 30 minutes (Jouan-France). The IC₅₀ was calculated using Masterplex-2010 software according to El-Garhy et al.⁽¹⁷⁾. The study was approved by the Ethics Board of Al-Azhar University.

Apoptosis:

The expression of both pro and anti-apoptotic gene (P⁵³, Bax, Casp-3and Bcl-2) were examined using rt-PCR using the following primer sequences (p53 F 5'-CCCCTCCTGGCCCCTGTCATCTTC-3', p53R5'GCAGCGCCTCACAACCTCCGTCAT-3'. BaxF 5'-GTTTCA TCC AGG ATC GAG CAG-3' Bax R 5'-CATCTT CTT CCA GAT GGT GA-3', Casp-3-F5'-TTC ATTATTCAGGCC TGCCGA GG-3' Casp-3-R 5'-TTCTGACAG GCCATG TCA TCC TCA-3'

Bcl-2 F 5'-CCTGTG GAT GAC TGA GTA CC-3' Bcl-2 R 5'-GAGACA GCC AGG AGA AAT-3' β-actin F 5'-GTGACATCCACACCCAGAGG-3' β-actin R 5'-ACAGGATGTCAAAACTGCCC-3')

In both cell lines; **HepG2** and **HCT**₁₁₆ either treated and negative control 24 hr post treatment with test products, where RNA extraction was performed according to **Dinicola** *et al.*⁽¹⁸⁾.

Histopathological examination:

Histopathological alterations were detected using light microscope (LICA- Japan). Detached cells were dispensed on a clean ethanol washed glass slides, kept at 37oC to dry then fixed using methanol and stained it Hematoxylin and Eosin stain.

Statistical Analysis

The obtained results were processed statistically according to **Snedecor and Cochran**⁽¹⁹⁾, where minimum, maximum, mean value, standard deviation, standard error, and range were presented. Comparison between groups for significance was done using t-test "Difference between two means".

RESULT

I. Cytotoxicity

Viability of HepG2 and HCT₁₁₆ cells treated with both Cisplatin and *E. pyramidum* venom showed a variable

ejhm.journals.ekb.eg

% of viability that was product, cell line and concentration dependent. Cisplatin showed a significantly (P<0.05) reduced viability (higher toxicity) compared with *E. pyramidum* venom on HCT 116 and HepG2 cell lines (Fig. 1-2). Also, data recordedrevealed that the IC₅₀ of *E. pyramidum* on HCT 116 was significantly reduced (P<0.05) compared with

that induced by Cisplatin recording 11.7 μ g/ml and 13.4 μ g/ml for HCT₁₁₆ and HepG2 respectively (Fig. 3-4). While, **Cisplatin** showed a significantly higher IC₅₀ values in case of HCT₁₁₆ treatment than in case of HepG2. This means that Cisplatinwas significantly (P<0.05) toxic to HepG2 than HCT₁₁₆ (Fig. 3-4).



Figure (1): Evaluation of Viability % of HCT₁₁₆ cell line under the effect of *E. pyramidum* venom using MTT assay



Figure (2): Evaluation of Viability % of HepG2 cell line under the effect of *E. pyramidum* venom using MTT assay



Figure (3): Evaluation of IC50 of E. pyramidumvenoms and Cis on HCT₁₁₆cell line





Regarding the IC50 dose measured for **HepG2**, it was determined that the IC50 for *E. pyramidum* was 13.14 μ g/ml and **Cis** was 71.2 μ g/ml. Thus, *E. pyramidum* venom was selected for determining their effect on the pro and anti-apoptotic gene expression level after the treatment.

Cell cycle profile:

E. pyramidum venom affected cell viability inducing cell DNA accumulation (cell cycle arrest;CCA). It was noticed that there was a significant accumulation of cellular DNA induced during the G2/ M phase in a significantly compared

with the arrest induced in untreated cell control (P < 0.05).

Also, it was noticed that arrest of cells at the G2/M phase in case of Cis/HepG2 and Cis/HCT116was significantly elevated than in case of*E*. pyramidum/HepG2 and E. pyramidum/HCT₁₁₆ (P<0.05). Concurrently the total cell apoptosis showed the same pattern as cell arrest in case of comparing the effect of Cisplatin and E. pyramidum on both HCT₁₁₆ and HepG2, and treatment with both Cisplatin and *E. pyramidum* showed a significant elevated total apoptotic % than control untreated cells (P<0.05).

ejhm.journals.ekb.eg



Figure (5): Effect of Echis venom and Cis on HepG2cell cycle stages



Figure (6): Effect of Echis venom and Cis on HCT ₁₁₆ cell cycle stages



Figure (7): effect of *E. pyramidum* venom and Cisplatinon HepG2 andHCT₁₁₆ cell apoptosis



Figure (8): Evaluation of Expression profile of Pro and anti-apoptotic genes using real time PCR in liver cancer cell lines treated with E. pyramidumvenom and Cis

ejhm.journals.ekb.eg



Figure (9): Evaluation of Expression profile of Pro and anti-apoptotic genes using real time PCRin colorectal cancer cell lines treated with E. pyramidum venom and Cis

DISCUSSION

The present study aimed to estimate the anticancer potentials of *E. pyramidum* venom against **HepG2** cell line and **HCT**₁₁₆ cell lines. The results showed that the treatment with Echis venom reduced the cell viability relative to concentration and cell line. Moreover, they induced morphological changesand apoptotic profile compared with untreated cell control.

Regarding the previous results we found that The Echis venom resulted in inhibited cell proliferation and induced morphological changes are in agreement with the results of a study done by Khunsapet al.⁽²⁰⁾, they confirmed that the action of PLA2 damaged inducing cytotoxicity, apoptosis and inhibition of cell migration at 24 h and gene damage after 72 h of incubation by MTT assy. As same as the cell line HepG2, the Echis venom showed higher toxicity more that the Cis. At concentration 1000µgm/ ml, the Echis venom showed cell low cell viability about 26.46%. However, at the same concentration the Cis showed high cell viability approximately 30%. Thus, this confirms that Echis venom has high toxicity and more effect on the cells than the CIS. From the previous result, IC50 of Echis venom was 11.8 μ g/ml on HCT₁₁₆ cell line while IC50 of Echis venom on HepG2 cell line was 13.4 µg/ml.This value is approximately near to the value of Bahadorani et *al.*⁽²¹⁾, despite their use of different cell line and they recorded an IC50 value in the order of where the IC50 value of *E. pyramidum* snake venom on HEK 293 cell was $(14.06 \pm 3.17 \ \mu g/mL)$ 24 hours post cell treatment.

Also, our result of lower Toxicity of CIS was in agreement with **Khalid** *et al.*⁽²²⁾ recording an IC50 Value of *E. ocellatus*snake venom on skeletal muscle cell line (L6) cells as $10.33 \ \mu g/ml$. This confirms the results mentioned before which showed **Echis** venom more toxic than Cis. This may be due to the presencelectin, LAAO and phospholipase A2. These ingredientscharacterized by cytotoxicity.

Conlon et al.⁽²³⁾ investigated the cytotoxic activities of purified phospholipase A2 from the venom of the *E. pyramidum* on lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) and showed concentration dependent inhibition of cells. As well as, the action of PLA2 damaged cells by causing cytotoxicity, apoptosis and inhibition of cell migration at 24 h and gene damage after 72 h of incubation. On the contrary PLA2 showed no toxicity towards normal skin cells by MTT as Khunsap et al.⁽²⁰⁾. Also, it was reported that the interaction of lectin with altered glycosylation patterns in malignant cell surfaces resulting in apoptosis, cytotoxicity, and inhibition of tumor growth ⁽²⁴⁾. Lectins exhibited cytotoxic effect on HCT-15 cells from human colorectal adenocarcinoma and an antiproliferative effect by apoptosis induction⁽²⁵⁾.

The results suggested lectins have a selective cytotoxic effect, affecting HepG2 cells more than their non-carcinogenic counterparts, whose proliferation was not significantly affected⁽²⁶⁾.

The anticancer activities of the extracted lectin were tested against HepG2 cells. The results histological alteration of treated cells indicated that the non-cytotoxic concentration of lectin was superior in its action against HepG2 cells with inhibition percentage 80.5%. At the end of treatment, cells undergoing apoptosis and after treatment are remarked by rounding up, shrinkage, membrane blebbing and loss of cell adhesion. It was reported that the interaction with altered glycosylation patterns in malignant cell surfaces and the lectins resulted in apoptosis, cytotoxicity, and inhibition of tumor growth^(24,27).

Our explanation to the effect of test venom may be due to the presence LAAO in Echis venom which showed an accumulation of tumor cells at the sub-G1 phase of the cell cycle. It also induced apoptosis via the Fas pathway^(8,9,10).

Few studies have been conducted to assess the effects of LAAO on the cell cycle progression as **deMeloAlves-Paiva** *et al.*⁽²⁸⁾ evaluated the cycle modulation and the induction of apoptosis in cells treated with LAAO, reporting that this toxin induced a delay in the G0/G1 phase and this delay may prevent the initiation of DNA synthesis and, consequently, the replication of tumor cells, which could represent another possible mechanism by which LAAO display its antitumor effects.

Also, Lectins are capable to adapt the cell cycle by encouraging both cell cycle seizure and caspase cascade, and stimulation of the genes that play the main role in apoptotic cell death⁽²⁹⁾. Regarding the apoptotic potential of test venom was explained by **Evellyne de Oliveira** *et al.*⁽¹¹⁾, that lectins activates the intrinsic caspase cascade pathway.

Lectins triggers apoptosis accompanied by downregulation of Bcl-2 gene expression (antiapoptotic) and upregulation of Bax gene expression (pro-apoptotic). Once Bax is activated, it's inserted into the mitochondria causing changes in the mitochondrial membrane permeability leading to release of cytochrome C, and activation of caspase cascade pathway that results in cell apoptosis⁽¹¹⁾. Metallproteases (MMPs), phospholipase A2 (PLA2), and disintegrins from *E. pyramidum* venom promote apoptosis as a part of their pathological mechanisms. For example, In vitro studies using adherent cell cultures have shown exposure to purifieddisintegrins or SVMP induce cellular detachment^(14, 15). In addition. lectins can induce differentiation. proliferation and apoptosis of many cancer cells such as colon cancer cells⁽²⁷⁾. It was reported that lectin inhibited the proliferation of hepatoma HepG2 cells⁽¹²⁾. Additionally, a lectin a dose-dependent antiproliferative effect on HCT_{116} cells from human colonic carcinoma by apoptosis induction⁽¹³⁾. Also, Lectins exhibited cytotoxic effect on HCT-15 cells from human colorectal adenocarcinoma and an antiproliferative effect by apoptosis induction⁽²⁵⁾. The results suggested lectins have a selective cytotoxic effect, affecting HepG2 cells more than their noncarcinogenic counterparts, whose proliferation was not significantly affected. It was also determined that the most prevalent type of cell death was apoptosis, with the presence of DNA fragmentation, apoptotic bodies, chromatin condensation and membrane depolarization; however, necrosis was also found⁽²⁶⁾.

The expression level of pro-apoptotic gene p53, Bax, Caspes3 and anti-apoptotic gene Bcl-2 was measured using PCR after 24 hr treatment with Echis venom and Cis for both cell lines. Regarding the apoptotic potential of snake venom in the present study was confirmed by Dipietrantonio et al.⁽³⁰⁾, explained that apoptotic effect was due to the effect of H₂O₂ which catalyze from LAAO increase Caspes3 activity. Caspases are proteases of the cysteine family that are commonly apoptosis markers. Also, few studies have been conducted to assess the effects of SV-LAAOs on the cell cycle progression. Another interesting finding was the observation of increased positivity of the pro-apoptotic protein, Bax following *Pyramidum* crude venom injection. *E*. The expression of Bax protein was increased significantly in rats injected with E. Pyramidum venom, which indicated that the cellular injury may be the result of the elevation of BAX expression⁽³¹⁾. While Bcl2 show significant increase in Echis than Cis in both cell lines. These mean venoms caused a significant downregulation of Bcl-2 compared to control cells. The latter results agree with studies that have reported the down-regulating effect of some snake venoms on Bcl-2 gene expression⁽³²⁾. Also, Lectins enhanced expression of proapoptotic genes (**Bax** and P^{53}) and reduced expression of anti-apoptotic $(Bcl-2)^{(24)}$.

Regarding the cytotoxic effects of lectins on CRC, in vitro studies conducted by **Khil** *et al.*⁽³³⁾ have shown that lectins exhibit a dose-dependent effect on a cell line of colon cancer (COLO). Approximately 65% of the treated cells showed apoptosis mediated by the activation of caspases-2, -3, -8, and -9 and the inhibition of anti-apoptotic proteins.

A lectin binds to sugar containing receptor(s) present at cell surface and trigger different metabolic pathways that induce imbalance in mitochondrial bioenergetic resulting in overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and release of pro-apoptotic factor. Lectins induce necrosis mainly *via* the mitochondrial pathway through an increase in cytosolic calcium and reactive oxygen species (ROS) in tumor cells. Debio 025 and bongkrekic acid are inhibitors of mitocondrial permeability transition (MPT) widely used to evaluate the role of MPT in cell death induced by a compound (such as lectin)⁽¹¹⁾.

The previous results of the measured gene expression level indicate that the induction of apoptosis with *E. pyramidum* venom treatment was related to the regulation of p53, Bax, Caspes3 and Bcl-2 expression level. But by detection of the expression genes (**fig. 8-9**) we found that p53, Bax,

Caspes3 show sig increase with Cis than Echis in both cell lines.

CONCLUSION

Finally, it can be concluded that snake (*E. pyramidum*) venom has anticancer potentials on human liver and colorectal cancer in comparison with Cisplatincurrent marketed anticancer drug. Venom performance was assured via detection of pro/antiapoptotic gene up /down regulation, also, DNA accumulation and nuclear fragmentation of treated cells using H&E stain.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1- More cancer cell lines could be tested for verification of anti-cancer potentials of target test materials *E.pyramidum* venom).

2- In vivo application of anti-cancer potentials of tested materials using murine models.

3- Monitoring of biochemical changes pre and post treatment with anti-cancer agent regarding the antioxidant parameters (GTH, MDA, NO, ROS etc.). 4- Evaluation of biochemical, pathological drawbacks of test venom relative to time, dose and venom formulation and type of cancer.

5- Mode of action of *E. Pyramidum* on cancer cell apoptosis must be defined.

REFERENCES

- **1. Hejmadi M (2010)**: Introduction to cancer biology. Frederiksberg, Denmark: Ventus. Retrieved from: https://researchportal.bath.ac.uk/en/publications/intr oduction-to-cancer-biology
- 2. Macdonald FF (2004): Molecular Biology of Cancer. 2nd ed.. Retrieved from: <u>http://ksrbiotech.com/uploads/Molecular%20Biolog</u> <u>y%20of%20Cancer.pdf</u>
- 3. World health organization (WHO): Cancer. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cancer</u>
- **4. Aarti C and Khusro A (2013):** Snake venom as anticancer agent- current perspective. International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience. Retrieved from:

www.ijpab.com/form/2013%20Volume%201.../IJPA B-2013-1-6-24-29.pdf

- 5. Ebrahim K, Vatanpour H, Zare A, Shirazi H F and Nakhjavani M (2016): Anticancer activity a of caspian cobra (Najanajaoxiana) snake venom in human cancer cell lines via induction of apoptosis. Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC524</u> 2357/
- 6. Casewell NR, Harrison RA, Wüster W and Wagstaff SC (2009): Comparative venom gland transcriptomesurveysof the saw-scaled vipers (Viperidae: Echis) reveal substantial intra-family gene diversity and novel venom transcripts. BMC Genom., 10: 564-68.

- 7. Bazaa A, Marrakchi N, El Ayeb M, Sanz L and Calvete JJ (2005): Snake venomics: comparative analysis of the venom proteomes of the Tunisian snakes Cerastescerastes, Cerastesvipera and Macroviperalebetina. Retrieved from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200402024</u>
- **8.** Kang, Lee Y and Kim D (1999): A novel disintegrinsalmosininhibits tumor angiogenesis. Cancer Research, 59(15): 3754–3760.
- **9.** Cura JE, Blanzaco DP, Brisson C *et al.* (2002): Phase I and pharmacokinetics study of crotoxin (cytotoxic PLA2, NSC-624244) in patients with advanced cancer. Clinical Cancer Research, 8(4): 1033–1041.
- **10. Jain D and Kumar S (2012)**: Snake venom: a potent anticancer agent. Asian Pacifc Journal of Cancer Prevention, 13(10): 4855–4860.
- 11. Evellyne de Oliveira Figueirôaa, Cassia Regina Albuquerque da Cunhaa, Priscilla BS (2017): Lectin-Carbohydrate Interactions: Implications for the Development of New Anticancer Agents. Current Medicinal Chemistry, 24: 1-14.
- **12.** Lin P, Ye X, Ng T (2008): Purification of melibiosebinding lectins from two cultivars of Chinese black soybeans. Acta Biochimicaet Biophysica Sinica, 40: 1029-1038.
- 13. Rafiq S, Majeed R, Qazi A K, Ganai B A, Wani I, Rakhshanda S, Qurishi Y, Sharma P R, Hamid A, Masood A (2013): Isolation and antiproliferative activity of Lotus corniculatuslectin towards human tumour cell lines. Phytomedicine, 21: 30–38.
- **14. Rucavado A, Lomonte B, Ovadia M, Gutiérrez JM** (**1999**): Local tissue damage induced by BaP1, a metalloproteinase isolated from Bothropsasper (terciopelo) snake venom. Exp Mol Pathol., 63:186– 199.
- **15. Trummal K, Tõnismägi K, Siigur E** *et al.* (2005): A Novel Metalloprotease from Viperalebetina Venom Induces Human Endothelial Cell Apoptosis. Toxicon, 46:46–61.
- 16. Soliman E M, Mahdy S E, Mosaad W G, Hassanin A I, and Elsayed E I (2013): Effect of different inactivators on the efficacy of Egyptian foot and mouth disease SAT2 vaccine.Journal ofAnimal Science Advances, 3(8): 391-399.
- **17. El-Garhy FM, Badr DA and Mohamed AF (2017)**: Assessment of Anti-cancer and Anti-viral potential of Pomegranate peel extract against human Prostate, and Larynx cancer cell lines: In-Vitro Study. Cancer Biology, 7(2) 6
- 18. Dinicola S, Cucina A, Pasqualato A, D'Anselmi F, Proietti S, Lisi E and Bizzarri M (2012): Antiproliferative and apoptotic effects triggered by grape seed extract (GSE) versus epigallacatechin and procyanidins on colon cancer cell lines.International Journal of Molecular Science, 13(1): 651-664.
- **19. Snedcor G W and Cochran, W G (1980):** Statistical methods.7th Ed. Ames; Lowa state university press. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.scirp.org/(S(oyulxb452alnt1aej1nfow45))/</u>reference/Reference sPapers.aspx?ReferenceID=1896667
- 20. Khunsap S, Orawan K, Supranee B *et al.* (2016): Anticancer properties of phospholipase A2 from

Daboiasiamensis venom on human skin melanoma cells. Journal of Venomous Animals and Toxins including Tropical Diseases. 22:7.

- 21. Bahadorani À and Abbas Zare M(2016): Cytotoxic Effect of Snake (EchisCarinatus) Venom on Human Embryonic Kidney Cells (HEK 293). Clin Med Rev Case Rep., 3:132-35.
- 22. Khalid H, Mohammed Mukhtar M and Konstantakopoulos N (2015): Cytotoxicity of Najanubiae (Serpentes: Elapidae) and Echisocellatus (Serpentes:Viperidae) Venoms from Sudan. J Toxicol., 2015: 7.
- 23. Conlon J M., Attoub S, Arafat H, Mechkarska M, Casewell N R, Harrison R A, and Calvete J J (2013): Cytotoxic activities of (Ser 49) phospholipase A 2 from the venom of the saw-scaled vipers Echisocellatus, Echispyramidumleakeyi, Echiscarinatussochureki, and Echiscoloratus. Toxicon, 71:96-104.
- 24. Kabir S, Nabi M, Haque A, Zaman R, Mahmud Z and Reza M (2013): Pea lectin inhibits growth of Ehrlich ascites carcinoma cells by inducing apoptosis and G 2/M cell cycle arrest in vivo in mice, Phytomedicine, 20:1288-1296.
- **25. Deepa M, Sureshkumar T, Satheeshkumar P K and PriyaS(2012):** Purified mulberry leaf lectin (MLL) induces apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in human breast cancer and colon cancer cells. ChemBiol Interact., 200: 38–44.
- 26. Fang E F, Pan W L, Wong J H, Chan Y S, Ye X J and Ng T B(2011): A new Phaseolus vulgaris lectin induces selective toxicity on human liver carcinoma Hep G2 cells. Arch. Toxicol., 85: 1551–1563.

- 27. Abdullaev F and González D (1997): Antitumor activity of natural substances: lectins and saffron. Archivoslatinoamericanos de nutricion, 47: 195-202.
- 28. de MeloAlves-Paiva R, de FreitasFigueiredo R, Antonucci GA (2011): Cell cycle arrest evidence, parasiticidal and bactericidal properties induced by Lamino acid oxidase from Bothropsatrox snake venom. Biochimie., 93(5):941–947.
- **29.** Sharon N and Lis H (2004): History of lectins: from hemagglutinins to biological recognition molecules, Glycobiology, 11: 53–62.
- **30. Dipietrantonio A M, Hsieh T, and Wu J M (1999)**: Activationof caspase 3 in HL-60 cells exposed to hydrogen peroxide. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 255(2): 477–482.
- **31. Awadh M, Mohamed K Ahmed E, Amira A and Marwa S M (2014)**: Effects of Pyramid Viper, Echispyramidum Crude Venom on Hepatic Redox Status and BAX Expression in Rats. Journal of Pure & Applied Microbiology,8(2): 429-436.
- 32. Díaz-García A, Morier-Díaz L, Frión-Herrera Y, Rodríguez-SánchezH, Caballero-Lorenzo Y, Mendoza-Llanes D(2013): In vitro anticancer effect of venom from Cuban scorpion Rhopalurusjunceus against a panel of human cancer cell lines. J Venom Res., 4:5-12.
- 33. Khil L Y, Kim W, Lyu S, Park W B, Yoon J W and Jun H S (2007): Mechanisms involved in Korean mistletoe lectin-induced apoptosis of cancer cells. World J. Gastroenterol., 13: 2811–2818.