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#### Abstract

Background: Red blood cell distribution width (RDW) and mean platelet volume (MPV) are influenced by autoimmune diseases as RA. Objective: This study aimed to assess RDW, MPV levels and different hematological indices in ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and psoriatic arthritis (PsA) to investigate their relations with disease activity. Methods: A total of 120 patients ( 60 AS and 60 PsA) were enrolled. Demographic data, disease activity scores, complete blood count (CBC), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were recorded. RDW, MPV and various ratios were measured. Results: Patients mean age was $44.750 \pm 12.187 \mathrm{~S}$ years were elevated in PsA and AS patients. RDW and MPV were significantly higher in patients with active PsA patients ( $14.495 \pm 1.9$ and $8.903 \pm 1.166 \mathrm{fl}$ ) than in inactive ones ( $11.975 \pm$ 0.5 fl and $8.220 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{fl}, \mathrm{p}$ value $<0.001,0.014$ respectively). While in AS active patients RDW was elevated in patients with high bath ankylosing Spondylitis disease activity index (BASDAI) score. RDW\% was positively correlated to disease activity among PsA and AS patients ( $\mathrm{r}=0.614 \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and ( $\mathrm{r}=0.567 \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) respectively. In AS patients, RDW was at a criterion of 12.4 , with sensitivity of $83.78 \%$ and specificity of $69.5 \%$ (p value < 0.001 ), while in PsA patients' RDW showed good metrics [ p value $<0.001$; Cutoff:13.2; sensitivity: $72.5 \%$; specificity: $100 \%$ ]. Conclusion: RDW and MPV were potentially elevated in active psoriatic patients and RDW were positively correlated with activity score of AS and PsA, which could be possible important index for disease activity. Key words: Ankylosing spondylitis, Psoriatic arthritis, Mean platelet volume, Red cell distribution width.


## INTRODUCTION

Spondyloarthropathy (SPA) are immune-mediated disease characterized by systemic inflammation with functional affection of various organs including joints and others ${ }^{(1)}$. Chronic inflammatory arthritis is the predominate involvement of ankylosing spondylitis (AS) \& psoriatic arthritis (PsA) ${ }^{(2)}$.

These patients usually alternate activity with remission state along the disease course. Of determinant of disease activity and inflammatory milieu are the Disease Activity Score and usual laboratory markers like erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP) ${ }^{(3)}$.

A mass activation of the immune system leads to the autoantibodies overproduction, immune complexes, and inflammatory cytokines promition, which interplay to produce eventual disease commencement that can affect different peripheral blood cells and their indices as activated platelets can trigger sequences of physiological and pathological reactions, liberating different plateletderived proteins, chemokines and growth factors, which might result into immune- inflammatory disorders ${ }^{(4-6)}$. Through that, they react with neutrophils allowing the release of inflammatory cytokines.

Mounting evidence that mean platelet volume (MPV), red blood cell distribution width (RDW) ${ }^{(7)}$, and other parameters (e.g., neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio
[NLR]) are illustrated as reliable, accurate bio inflammatory markers in various autoimmune diseases ${ }^{(8)}$. Moreover, red blood cell distribution width-to-platelet ratio (RPR) has been presented as a surrogate and rapid index to predict morbidity and mortality in liver cirrhosis and acute pancreatitis.

The relations between blood indices and disease activity in spondyloarthropathy cases have not been widely investigated (9-10) yet the impact of those heamoparameters and ratios not extensively investigated among spondyloarthropathies that may give hand to structured model of different clinical and laboratory categories that may be used to assess disease activity and damage index in such diseases. Accordingly, this study aimed to assess the association between blood cell indices with the disease activity in AS and PsA, and to determine whether those indices can be used as a predictor of disease activity.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design: Observational study (cross- sectional) study. A convenient sample of patients were recruited from Outpatient Clinic of Internal Medicine Department and Rheumatology Department at Ain Shams and KasrAlainy hospitals.

Study setting and sampling: The study was conducted on 60 AS patients, 60 PsA patients. All AS patients were diagnosed according to the Assessment of Spondylarthritis International Society classification criteria for spondylarthritis ${ }^{(12)}$ and PsA in line with Moll and Wright criteria for psoriatic arthritis ${ }^{(11)}$.

## Exclusion criteria:

Anyone who had other inflammatory, autoimmune diseases, infectious diseases, malignancies, diabetes mellitus, or liver/kidney diseases.

## Calculation of sample size:

Based on evidence from prior study done on autoimmune disease. Epi-calc 2000 was used to calculate the sample size of this cross-sectional study. Assuming $80 \%$ power, 0.05 level of significance, $60 \%$ proportion of exposed individuals, to detect odds ratio $\mathrm{OR}=2.5$ Sample size will be $=110$ participants). Considering drop-outs rate of $10 \%$, therefore the final sample size was 120 participants.

## Methodology

All patients were subjected to full medical history and clinical examination. Disease activity was assessed using Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS) ${ }^{(13)}$, where patients with ASDAS scores $<1.3$ were considered as inactive and those $>1.3$ were considered as active patients. Whilst, psoriatic disease activity was evaluated by Disease Activity in Psoriatic Arthritis (DAPSA) Score ${ }^{(14)}$. Patients with score 0-4 were considered inactive while those with score > 4 were considered active patients.

Laboratory investigations: Blood samples were drawn and chemically analyzed within 2 hrs from time of collection. Complete blood cell (CBC) analysis was done by the Coulter Counter mode including total white blood cell (WBC), with differential of neutrophil and lymphocyte count, RDW\%, MCV, MCH and platelet counts, MPV and PDW.

## Statistical analysis

-Data were coded and entered using the statistical package SPSS version 24. For quantitative variables comparisons, nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests were used. While, chi-square ( $\chi^{2}$ ) test was performed for categorical data.

## Ethical consideration:

The institutional ethical committee (Ain Shams University Hospitals) approved the study.An informed consents were taken from the patients with explaining the objectives and the methods of this study. Confidentiality was guaranteed on handling the data conformed to the revised biomedical ethics of Helsinki deceleration.

## RESULTS

In 60 PsA patients, average age in years was 44.750 $\pm 12.187,38$ were females ( $63.33 \%$ ), 22 were males ( $36.67 \%$ ), 20( $33.33 \%$ ) patients had inactive disease while 40 ( $66.67 \%$ ) were active (Table 1). Among 60 AS patients the mean age was $39.600 \pm 12.048$ years, 41 ( $68.33 \%$ ) patients were males while 19 ( $31.67 \%$ ) were females. Patients with active disease were 37 (61.67\%) while 23 patients were inactive (38.33\%) as shown in table (2).
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Table (1): Descriptive data of PSA patients


SD: Standard Deviation, No: number, \%: percentage, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{h}$ : millimeter per hour, $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ : milligram per Liter, IU/ml: International unit per milliliter, U/L: Unit per liter, mg/dL: milligram per Deci Liter WBCs: White blood cells, HCT: Hematocrit, RBCs: Red blood cells, Hb : Hemoglobin, MCV: Mean corpuscular volume, MCH: Mean corpuscular Hemoglobin, RDW: Red cell distribution width, PLT: Platelets, MPV: Mean platelet volume, PDW: Platelet distribution width, Hb/PLT ratio: Hemoglobin/Platelet ratio, RDW/PLT ratio: Red cell distribution width/ Platelet ratio, RBCs/PLT ratio: Red blood cells/Platelet ratio, Hb/RDW ratio: Hemoglobin/ Red cell distribution width ratio, NLR: Neutrophil/Lymphocyte ratio, PLR: Platelet/Lymphocyte ratio, $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ : Per cubic millimeter, \%: percentage, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL}$ : Gram per deci Liter, fl: femtoliter, pg: picogram, t : independent sample t test, P : probability value, *: $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ is statistically significant, ${ }^{* *}$ : $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.001$ is statistically highly significant.
Median (IQR) for non-parametric data, Mean $\pm$ SD for parametric data
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Table (2): Descriptive data of AS patients

| AS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (Years) | Median | 41 |  |  |
|  | IQR | 33 |  | 46.5 |
| DD (Years) | Median | 6.5 |  |  |
|  | IQR | 3 |  | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | \% |
| Sex | Male | 41 |  | 68.33 |
|  | Female | 19 |  | 31.67 |
| Peripheral | Yes | 38 |  | 63.33 |
|  | No | 22 |  | 36.67 |
| Axial | Yes | 60 |  | 100 |
|  | No | 0 |  | 0 |
| Tender j | Median | 5 |  |  |
|  | IQR | 2.5 | - | 7 |
| Swollen j | Median |  |  |  |
|  | IQR | 3 |  |  |
| ASDAS score | Median | 2.2 |  |  |
|  | IQR | 1.2 | - | 3.5 |
| Disease Activity | Remission | 23 |  | 38.33 |
|  | Mild | 0 |  | 0 |
|  | Moderate | 7 |  | 11.67 |
|  | Severe | 13 |  | 21.67 |
|  | Very severe | 17 |  | 28.33 |
| Disease Activity | Inactive | 23 |  | 38.33 |
|  | Active | 37 |  | 61.67 |
| WBCS ( $10{ }^{9} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Mean $\pm$ SD | $6.853 \pm$ |  | 1.737 |
| Neutrophils ( $10^{9} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Mean $\pm$ SD | 3.988 | $\pm$ | 1.311 |
| Lymphocytes ( $10 \% / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Mean $\pm$ SD | 2.443 | $\pm$ | 0.792 |
| HCT (\%) | Mean $\pm$ SD | 38.14 | $\pm$ | 3.213 |
| RBCs ( $10{ }^{12} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Mean $\pm$ SD | 4.915 | $\pm$ | 0.644 |
| Hb (g/L) | Mean $\pm$ SD | 12.978 | $\pm$ | 1.639 |
| MCV (fL) | Mean $\pm$ SD | 78.268 | $\pm$ | 7.214 |
| MCH (pg) | Mean $\pm$ SD | 25.397 | $\pm$ | 3.914 |
| RDW\% | Mean $\pm$ SD | 13.232 | $\pm$ | 1.6 |
| PLT ( $10{ }^{9} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Mean $\pm$ SD | 309.65 | $\pm$ | 21.259 |
| MPV/fl | Mean $\pm$ SD | 9.695 | $\pm$ | 2.322 |
| PDW\% | Mean $\pm$ SD | 11.457 | $\pm$ | 2.739 |
| Hb/PLT ratio | Mean $\pm$ SD | 0.048 | $\pm$ | 0.018 |
| RDW\%/PLT ratio | Mean $\pm$ SD | 0.048 | $\pm$ | 0.016 |
| RBCs/PLT ratio | Mean $\pm$ SD | 0.018 | $\pm$ | 0.007 |
| Hb/RDW\% ratio | Mean $\pm$ SD | 0.997 | $\pm$ | 0.179 |
| NLR ratio | Mean $\pm$ SD | 1.786 | $\pm$ | 0.4 |
| PLR ratio | Mean $\pm$ SD | 139.872 | $\pm$ | 6.929 |
| ESR (mm/h) | Mean $\pm$ SD | 38.383 | $\pm$ | 4.654 |
| CRP (mg/L) | Mean $\pm$ SD | 9.95 | $\pm$ | 2.819 |
| HLA 827 | Positive | 37 |  | 61.67 |
|  | Negative | 23 |  | 38.33 |

SD: Standard Deviation, No: number, \%: percentage, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{h}$ : millimeter per hour, $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ : milligram per Liter, IU/ml: International unit per milliliter, U/L: Unit per liter, mg/dL: milligram per Deci Liter WBCs: White blood cells, HCT: Hematocrit, RBCs: Red blood cells, Hb : Hemoglobin, MCV: Mean corpuscular volume, MCH: Mean corpuscular Hemoglobin, RDW: Red cell distribution width, PLT: Platelets, MPV: Mean platelet volume, PDW: Platelet distribution width, $\mathrm{Hb} /$ PLT ratio: Hemoglobin/Platelet ratio, RDW/PLT ratio: Red cell distribution width/ Platelet ratio, RBCs/PLT ratio: Red blood cells/Platelet ratio, Hb/RDW ratio: Hemoglobin/ Red cell distribution width ratio, NLR: Neutrophil/Lymphocyte ratio, PLR: Platelet/Lymphocyte ratio, $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ : Per cubic millimeter, \%: percentage, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL}$ : Gram per deci Liter, fl: femtoliter, pg: picogram, t : independent sample t test, P : probability value, *: $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ is statistically significant, ${ }^{* *}$ : $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.001$ is statistically highly significant. Median (IQR) for non-parametric data, Mean $\pm$ SD for parametric data

Patients then were divided into active group and inactive group, comparison between both groups was done regarding the different blood cell indices. On comparing the different blood cell indices in active and non-active psoriatic patients, we demonstrated significantly higher RDW\% ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and MPV ( $\mathrm{p}<0.014$ ) and significantly lower HB/RDW\% ratio ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) in patients who are active as opposed to inactive one (Table 3). However, active AS patients had significantly higher RDW\% ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and lower $\mathrm{Hb} / \mathrm{RDW} \%$ ratio ( $\mathrm{p}<0.003$ ) compared to inactive patients (Table 4).
Table (3): Comparison between active \& inactive PsA patients as regard WBCs, RBCs and platelet indices


Table (4): Comparison between active and inactive AS patients as regard WBCs, RBCs and platelet indices

| AS | Disease Activity |  |  |  |  |  | T-Test |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inactive |  |  | Active |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | $\pm$ | SD |  | $\pm$ | SD | t | P-value |  |
| HCT (\%) | 38.896 | $\pm$ | 3.064 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & 37.670 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\pm$ | 3.255 | 1.450 | 0.153 |  |
| RBCs(10 ${ }^{12} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 5.048 | $\pm$ | 0.733 | 4.833 | $\pm$ | 0.578 | 1.262 |  | 12 |
| Hb(g/L) | 13.091 | $\pm$ | 1.759 | 12.908 |  | 1.581 | 0.418 |  | 78 |
| MCV (fL) | 78.235 | $\pm$ | 9.023 | 78.289 |  | 5.961 | -0.028 |  | 78 |
| MCH (pg) | 25.291 | $\pm$ | 3.731 | $25.462 \pm$ |  | 4.074 | -0.163 |  | 有 |
| RDW\% | 12.270 | $\pm$ | 1.365 | 13.830 |  | 1.449 | -4.144 | <0.001* |  |
| MPV/fl | 9.683 | $\pm$ | 2.605 | 9.703 |  | 2.166 | -0.032 |  | 74 |
|  | Inactive |  |  | Active |  |  |  | Mann-Whitney test |  |
|  | Median | IQR |  | Median | IQR |  |  | Z | P-value |
| WBCS | 6.000 | 4.800 | 8.400 | 7.300 | 6.400 | - | 8.300 | 1.217 | 0.223 |
| NEUT | 3.200 | 2.400 | - 4.800 | 3.600 | 3.500 | - | 4.700 | 1.204 | 0.229 |
| Lympho | 2.000 | 1.500 | - 2.950 | 2.700 | 2.000 | - | 3.100 | 1.767 | 0.077 |
| PLT | 288.000 | 201.000 | - 387.500 | 255.000 | 240.000 | - | 365.000 | 0.152 | 0.879 |
| PWD | 10.100 | 9.750 | - 11.650 | 11.000 | 9.900 | - | 11.700 | 0.822 | 0.411 |
| Hb/PLT ratio | 0.050 | 0.030 | - 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.030 | - | 0.060 | 0.139 | 0.889 |
| RDW\%/PLT ratio | 0.040 | 0.030 | 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.040 | - | 0.060 | 1.307 | 0.191 |
| RBCs/PLT ratio | 0.020 | 0.010 | - 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.010 | - | 0.020 | 0.312 | 0.755 |
| Hb/RDW\% ratio | 1.100 | 0.960 | - 1.255 | 0.980 | 0.800 | - | 1.070 | 2.792 | 0.005* |
| NEUT/Lympho ratio | 1.470 | 1.380 | - 2.105 | 1.440 | 1.240 | - | 1.970 | 0.548 | 0.584 |
| PLT/Lympho ratio | 166.000 | 84.780 | - 210.670 | 124.140 | 70.000 | - | 185.240 | 1.886 | 0.059 |

No: number, \%: percentage, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{h}$ : millimeter per hour, mg/L: milligram per Liter, IU/ml: International unit per milliliter, U/L: Unit per liter, mg/dL: milligram per Deci Liter WBCs: White blood cells, HCT: Hematocrit, RBCs: Red blood cells, Hb: Hemoglobin, MCV: Mean corpuscular volume, MCH: Mean corpuscular Hemoglobin, RDW: Red cell distribution width, PLT: Platelets, MPV:

Mean platelet volume, PDW: Platelet distribution width, Hb/PLT ratio: Hemoglobin/Platelet ratio, RDW/PLT ratio: Red cell distribution width/Platelet ratio, RBCs/PLT ratio: Red blood cells/Platelet ratio, Hb/RDW ratio: Hemoglobin/Red cell distribution width ratio, NLR: Neutrophil/Lymphocyte ratio, PLR: Platelet/Lymphocyte ratio, $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ : Per cubic millimeter, \%: percentage, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL}$ : Gram per deci Liter, fl: femtoliter, pg: picogram, t: independent sample test, P : probability value, *: $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ is statistically significant, **: $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.001$ is statistically highly significant

Correlation of different blood cell indices with disease activity clinical and laboratory parameters showed that among psoriatic patients, RDW\% was positively correlated with the number of tender and swollen joint, disease activity score, ESR and the C-RP titer. $\mathrm{Hb} / \mathrm{RDW} \%$ ratio was negatively correlated with all same parameters. While, the MCV was negatively correlated with the number of tender joints, swollen joint and ESR (Table 5).
Regarding patients, results yielded that RDW\% was positively correlated to disease activity score and tender joints. While, the $\mathrm{Hb} / \mathrm{RDW} \%$ ratio was inversely correlated to same parameters (Table 6).

Table (5): Correlation between the WBCs, RBCs, Platelets indices and parameters of disease activity in PsA

| PSA | Tender $\mathbf{j}$ |  | Swollen j |  | Activity score |  | ESR |  | CRP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | r | P-value | r | P-value | r | P-value | r | P-value | r | P-value |
| WBCS (109/L) | -0.161 | 0.219 | -0.153 | 0.266 | -0.042 | 0.750 | -0.125 | 0.342 | 0.110 | 0.402 |
| NEUT ( $10^{9} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | -0.186 | 0.154 | -0.197 | 0.150 | -0.102 | 0.436 | -0.191 | 0.144 | 0.052 | 0.694 |
| Lympho( $\mathbf{1 0}^{9} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | -0.247 | 0.057 | -0.239 | 0.078 | -0.119 | 0.366 | -0.196 | 0.134 | 0.083 | 0.526 |
| HCT (\%) | -0.025 | 0.847 | -0.022 | 0.873 | 0.021 | 0.873 | 0.057 | 0.667 | -0.093 | 0.480 |
| $\mathrm{RBCs}\left(10^{12} / \mathrm{L}\right)$ | 0.054 | 0.680 | 0.067 | 0.627 | 0.108 | 0.413 | 0.154 | 0.241 | -0.024 | 0.858 |
| Hb(g/L) | 0.084 | 0.523 | 0.050 | 0.716 | 0.058 | 0.662 | -0.041 | 0.755 | -0.043 | 0.742 |
| MCV (fL) | -0.250 | 0.054* | -0.347 | 0.009* | -0.213 | 0.102 | -0.266 | 0.040* | -0.186 | 0.155 |
| MCH (pg) | -0.205 | 0.117 | -0.205 | 0.133 | -0.130 | 0.321 | -0.165 | 0.209 | -0.001 | 0.995 |
| RDW \% | 0.529 | <0.001* | 0.380 | 0.004* | 0.614 | <0.001* | 0.433 | 0.001* | 0.388 | 0.002* |
| PLT (109/L) | 0.039 | 0.770 | 0.004 | 0.978 | 0.050 | 0.707 | -0.040 | 0.764 | 0.039 | 0.768 |
| MPV/fl | 0.263 | 0.042 | 0.172 | 0.210 | 0.196 | 0.134 | 0.187 | 0.153 | 0.172 | 0.188 |
| PDW \% | 0.099 | 0.452 | -0.054 | 0.694 | 0.037 | 0.779 | -0.070 | 0.596 | -0.040 | 0.760 |
| Hb/PLT ratio | 0.044 | 0.738 | 0.100 | 0.466 | -0.007 | 0.959 | 0.095 | 0.472 | -0.053 | 0.685 |
| RDW \%/PLT ratio | 0.233 | 0.074 | 0.204 | 0.135 | 0.241 | 0.063 | 0.219 | 0.092 | 0.107 | 0.415 |
| RBCs/PLT ratio | -0.024 | 0.855 | 0.061 | 0.660 | -0.057 | 0.668 | 0.093 | 0.480 | -0.050 | 0.705 |
| Hb/RDW \% ratio | -0.426 | 0.001* | -0.316 | 0.019* | -0.525 | <0.001* | -0.410 | 0.001* | -0.366 | 0.004* |
| NLR ratio | -0.002 | 0.987 | -0.061 | 0.661 | -0.063 | 0.633 | -0.107 | 0.415 | -0.067 | 0.612 |
| PLR ratio | 0.148 | 0.258 | 0.127 | 0.355 | 0.053 | 0.685 | 0.043 | 0.743 | -0.097 | 0.460 |

Table (6): Correlation between the WBCs, RBCs, Platelets indices and parameters of disease activity in AS

| AS | Tender $\mathbf{j}$ |  | Swollen $\mathbf{j}$ |  | Activity score |  | ESR |  | CRP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | r | P-value | r | P-value | r | P-value | r | P-value | r | P-value |
| WBCS (109/L) | 0.246 | 0.071 | 0.005 | 0.978 | 0.204 | 0.118 | 0.100 | 0.447 | 0.368 | 0.004* |
| NEUT(109/L) | 0.114 | 0.408 | -0.019 | 0.906 | 0.148 | 0.260 | 0.364 | 0.004* | 0.355 | 0.005* |
| Lympho(109/L) | 0.285 | 0.035* | -0.004 | 0.978 | 0.150 | 0.253 | -0.085 | 0.521 | 0.193 | 0.139 |
| HCT (\%) | -0.180 | 0.188 | -0.164 | 0.313 | -0.099 | 0.451 | -0.017 | 0.899 | 0.084 | 0.522 |
| $\operatorname{RBCs}\left(10^{12} / \mathrm{L}\right)$ | -0.110 | 0.425 | -0.331 | 0.037* | -0.060 | 0.647 | 0.092 | 0.483 | 0.235 | 0.070 |
| Hb(g/L) | -0.237 | 0.082 | -0.150 | 0.354 | -0.056 | 0.671 | -0.224 | 0.085 | -0.073 | 0.578 |
| MCV( fL) | -0.178 | 0.193 | 0.492 | 0.001* | 0.069 | 0.603 | 0.015 | 0.907 | -0.157 | 0.232 |
| MCH (pg) | -0.188 | 0.170 | 0.205 | 0.203 | -0.019 | 0.884 | -0.182 | 0.164 | -0.357 | 0.005* |
| RDW\% | 0.551 | <0.001* | 0.101 | 0.533 | 0.460 | <0.001* | 0.090 | 0.493 | 0.140 | 0.285 |
| PLT(10 ${ }^{9} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | -0.060 | 0.666 | 0.182 | 0.261 | -0.056 | 0.673 | 0.266 | 0.040* | 0.223 | 0.087 |
| MPV/fl | -0.020 | 0.886 | -0.188 | 0.244 | -0.128 | 0.330 | -0.313 | 0.015* | -0.244 | 0.061 |
| PDW\% | -0.004 | 0.979 | -0.278 | 0.082 | -0.036 | 0.782 | -0.278 | 0.031* | 0.055 | 0.675 |
| Hb/PLT ratio | -0.027 | 0.844 | -0.314 | 0.048* | -0.066 | 0.614 | -0.319 | 0.013* | -0.161 | 0.220 |
| RDW\%/PLT ratio | 0.219 | 0.108 | -0.243 | 0.131 | 0.103 | 0.434 | -0.272 | 0.036* | -0.210 | 0.107 |
| RBCs/PLT ratio | 0.020 | 0.885 | -0.553 | <0.001* | -0.101 | 0.443 | -0.269 | 0.038* | -0.037 | 0.777 |
| Hb/RDW\% ratio | -0.543 | <0.001* | -0.166 | 0.306 | -0.364 | 0.004* | -0.189 | 0.148 | -0.137 | 0.297 |
| NLR ratio | -0.172 | 0.208 | 0.081 | 0.619 | 0.033 | 0.803 | 0.300 | 0.020* | 0.087 | 0.509 |
| PLR ratio | -0.305 | 0.023* | 0.175 | 0.279 | -0.127 | 0.332 | 0.208 | 0.111 | -0.031 | 0.812 |

SD: Standard Deviation, No: number, \%: percentage, $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{h}$ : millimeter per hour, $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ : milligram per Liter, IU/ml: International unit per milliliter, U/L: Unit per liter, mg/dL: milligram per Deci Liter WBCs: White blood cells, HCT: Hematocrit, RBCs: Red blood cells, Hb: Hemoglobin, MCV: Mean corpuscular volume, MCH: Mean corpuscular Hemoglobin, RDW: Red cell distribution width, PLT:

Platelets, MPV: Mean platelet volume, PDW: Platelet distribution width, Hb/PLT ratio: Hemoglobin/Platelet ratio, RDW/PLT ratio: Red cell distribution width/ Platelet ratio, RBCs/PLT ratio: Red blood cells/Platelet ratio, Hb/RDW ratio: Hemoglobin/ Red cell distribution width ratio, NLR: Neutrophil/Lymphocyte ratio, PLR: Platelet/Lymphocyte ratio, $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ : Per cubic millimeter, \%: percentage, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dL}$ : Gram per deci Liter, fl: femtoliter, pg: picogram, t : independent sample t test, P : probability value, *: $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ is statistically significant, ${ }^{* *}: \mathrm{p} \leq 0.001$ is statistically highly significant.

The following ratios, regarding PSA patients, were statistically significant with + ve HLA B27 test such as Hb/PLT ratio, $\mathrm{Hb} / \mathrm{RDW} \%$ ratio and PLT/Lympho ratio with $\mathrm{p}<0.025,<0.002$ and $<0.012$ respectively as shown in table (7). While the AS patient all ratios were statistically insignificant as shown in table (8).

Table (7): Relation of HLA B27 test in PSA patients

| PSA | HLA B27 |  |  |  |  |  | T-Test |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Positive |  |  | Negative |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | $\pm$ | SD | Mean | $\pm$ | SD | t | P-value |
| WBCS | 6.207 | $\pm$ | 1.513 | 7.447 | $\pm$ | 1.094 | -2.088 | 0.041* |
| HCT | 37.072 | $\pm$ | 1.659 | 37.129 | $\pm$ | 1.351 | -0.127 | 0.900 |
| RBCs | 4.713 | $\pm$ | 0.533 | 4.994 | $\pm$ | 0.487 | -1.882 | 0.065 |
| Hb | 12.398 | $\pm$ | 0.939 | 13.112 | $\pm$ | 1.333 | -2.346 | 0.022* |
| MCV | 77.970 | $0 \pm$ | 5.643 | 85.965 | $\pm$ | 7.522 | -4.488 | <0.001* |
| MCH | 24.019 | $9 \pm$ | 4.069 | 27.247 | $\pm$ | 2.610 | -3.026 | 0.004* |
| RDW\% | 14.002 | $2 \pm$ | 2.111 | 12.776 | $\pm$ | 1.463 | 2.190 | 0.033* |
| MPV/fl | 8.607 | $\pm$ | 0.921 | 8.847 | $\pm$ | 1.271 | -0.814 | 0.419 |
| PWD | 11.858 | $8 \pm$ | 2.153 | 12.165 | $\pm$ | 2.324 | -0.423 | 0.674 |
| NEUT/Lympho ratio | 2.073 | $\pm$ | 0.12 | 2.135 | $\pm$ | 0.445 | -0.436 | 0.665 |
|  | Positive |  |  | Negative |  |  | Mann-Whitney Test |  |
|  | Median $\quad$ IQR |  |  | Median |  | IQR | Z | P-value |
| WBCS | 6.100 | 5.200 | - 7.050 | 7.300 | 4.300 | - 9.200 | 1.232 | 0.218 |
| NEUT | 4.000 | 2.850 | - 4.800 | 5.100 | 3.200 | - 6.100 | 1.380 | 0.168 |
| Lympho | 1.800 | 1.500 | - 2.100 | 2.100 | 1.600 | - 2.700 | 1.855 | 0.064 |
| PWD | 11.900 | 10.100 | - 13.300 | 10.800 | 10.000 | - 13.100 | 0.296 | 0.767 |
| Hb/PLT ratio | 0.050 | 0.040 | - 0.060 | 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.070 | 2.626 | 0.009* |
| RDW\%/PLT ratio | 0.060 | 0.050 | - 0.060 | 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.070 | 1.286 | 0.198 |
| RBCs/PLT ratio | 0.020 | 0.020 | - 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | - 0.030 | 1.700 | 0.089 |
| Hb/RDW \% ratio | 0.920 | 0.760 | - 1.020 | 1.050 | 0.960 | - 1.100 | 3.022 | 0.003* |
| PLT/Lympho ratio | 145.330 | 118.155 | - 155.770 | 80.000 | 77.620 | - 134.380 | 2.840 | 0.005* |

Parametric data represented by mean SD -Non parametric data represented by median (IQR)

Table (8): Relation of HLA B27 test in AS patients.

| AS | HLA B27 |  |  |  |  |  | T-Test |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Positive |  |  | Negative |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | $\pm$ | SD | Mean | $\pm$ | SD | t | P-value |
| HCT | 38.146 | $\pm$ | 3.146 | 38.130 | $\pm$ | 3.391 | 0.018 | 0.986 |
| RBCs | 4.959 | $\pm$ | 0.749 | 4.846 | $\pm$ | 0.434 | 0.657 | 0.514 |
| Hb | 12.973 |  | 1.582 | 12.987 | $\pm$ | 1.763 | -0.032 | 0.975 |
| MCV | 78.327 | $\pm$ | 7.160 | 78.174 | $\pm$ | 7.460 | 0.079 | 0.937 |
| MCH | 25.376 | 士 | 4.430 | 25.430 | $\pm$ | 2.995 | -0.052 | 0.959 |
| RDW\% | 13.241 | $1 \pm$ | 1.713 | 13.217 | $\pm$ | 1.437 | 0.054 | 0.957 |
| PLT | 322.568 | 8 $\pm$ | 16.804 | 288.870 | $\pm$ | 41.543 | 1.047 | 0.299 |
| Hb/RDW\% ratio | 0.997 | $\pm$ | 0.178 | 0.996 | $\pm$ | 0.186 | 0.029 | 0.977 |
|  |  | Positiv |  |  | Negativ |  | Mann- | tney Test |
|  | Median |  | QR | Median |  | IQR | Z | P-value |
| WBCS | 6.900 | 5.000 | 8.300 | 7.500 | 5.450 | 8.600 | 0.723 | 0.470 |
| NEUT | 3.600 | 2.800 | 4.700 | 4.400 | 3.200 | 4.850 | 0.541 | 0.588 |
| Lympho | 2.500 | 1.800 | 2.900 | 2.400 | 1.600 | 3.300 | 0.099 | 0.921 |
| PLT | 312.000 | 245.000 | - 373.000 | 253.000 | 201.000 | 300.000 | 1.666 | 0.096 |
| MPV/fl | 9.200 | 8.600 | - 10.200 | 9.300 | 8.700 | - 10.900 | 0.891 | 0.373 |
| PWD | 10.100 | 9.800 | - 11.400 | 11.000 | 9.900 | - 12.600 | 1.485 | 0.138 |
| Hb/PLT ratio | 0.050 | 0.030 | - 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.060 | 1.821 | 0.069 |
| RDW\%/PLT ratio | 0.040 | 0.030 | - 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.040 | 0.065 | 1.384 | 0.166 |
| RBCs/PLT ratio | 0.020 | 0.010 | - 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 1.602 | 0.109 |
| NEUT/Lympho ratio | 1.440 | 1.290 | - 1.750 | 1.440 | 1.250 | 2.105 | 0.221 | 0.825 |
| PLT/Lympho ratio | 133.330 | 92.590 | - 201.720 | 141.480 | 76.065 | 190.955 | 0.844 | 0.399 |

WBCs: White blood cells, HCT: Hematocrit, RBCs: Red blood cells, Hb: Hemoglobin, MCV: Mean corpuscular volume, MCH: Mean corpuscular Hemoglobin, RDW: Red cell distribution width, PLT: Platelets, MPV: Mean platelet volume, PDW: Platelet distribution width, Hb/PLT ratio: Hemoglobin/Platelet ratio, RDW/PLT ratio: Red cell distribution width/ Platelet ratio, $\mathrm{RBCs} / \mathrm{PLT}$ ratio: Red blood cells/Platelet ratio, $\mathrm{Hb} / \mathrm{RDW}$ ratio: Hemoglobin/ Red cell distribution width ratio, NLR: Neutrophil/Lymphocyte ratio, PLR: Platelet/Lymphocyte ratio, $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ : Per cubic millimeter, \%: percentage, g/dL: Gram per deci Liter, fl: femtoliter, pg: picogram, t : independent sample t test, P : probability value, *: $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ is statistically significant, ${ }^{* *}$ : $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.001$ is statistically highly significant.

## Diagnostic role of RDW to predict disease activity

The ROC curve revealed that the best RDW\% cut-off value for disease activity prediction in AS was $>12.4$. The sensitivity was $83.78 \%$ and the specificity was $69.57 \%$ with positive predictive value of $81.6 \%$.
In PsA patients, the best RDW\% cut-off value for disease activity prediction was $>13.2$ with specificity of $100.0 \%$ and sensitivity of $72.50 \%$ with $100.0 \%$ positive predictive value. While, the best MPV cut-off value for predicting disease activity was $>8.4$ with specificity of $70.0 \%$ and sensitivity of $67.50 \%$ with positive predictive value is 81.8\% (Figures 1 \& 2).


Fig (1) ROC curve showed the validity of RDW for disease activity prediction in PsA patients


Fig (2) ROC curve showed the validity of RDW for disease activity prediction in AS patients

## DISCUSSION

The etiopathogenesis of spondyloarthropathy is the result of interplay between genetic, immunoregulatory, ethnic, factors, and several key points of these multifactorial connections are still unclear ${ }^{(15)}$, with summit of inflammatory status, which influence the disease severity.

MPV and RDW could reflect the extent of heterogeneity of circulating erythrocytes volume and platelets, which are influenced in inflammatory conditions ${ }^{(16)}$. Despite a great amount of evidence about the relations between MPV, RDW, platelet count and other hematological parameters in rheumatic diseases has been elucidated, but controversial results have been found ${ }^{(17)}$. However, disease activity relation in either PsA or AS and various blood cell indices has not been fully demonstrated yet ${ }^{(18)}$.

In current study, trying to assess the relation between the various blood cell indices and the clinical, laboratory parameters of disease activity. In psoriatic patients we demonstrated significantly lower $\mathrm{HB} / \mathrm{RDW} \%$ ratio ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and significantly higher RDW\% ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) among patients who are active vs those who are not. Also, the number of tender joints, swollen joints, disease activity score, ESR and the CRP titer were positively correlated with RDW\%, while they were negatively correlated with $\mathrm{Hb} / \mathrm{RDW}$ \% ratio. The MCV was negatively correlated with the number of tender joints, swollen joints and ESR. That may reflect the significance of these indices as indicators of disease activity and inflammation. This is similar to the results of Gisondi ${ }^{(19)}$ who revealed that RDW is elevated in psoriatic patients, but unsimilarly, RDW did not seem to be associated with disease severity. Moreover, Ozisler and Sandikci ${ }^{(20)}$ concluded that increased RDW found in active disease and it may be indicator of the inflammation similar to the CRP and ESR. And that RDW could be a biomarker of disease activity in PsA.

Although MPV ( $\mathrm{p}<0.014$ ) was significantly higher in active patients but MPV was not correlated to activity score. This is matching with a previous study where the correlation was not significant between PASI values and MPV level ${ }^{(21,22,23)}$. This contradiction might be attributed to different ethnic population and sample size.

Regarding AS, active patients had significantly higher RDW\% ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and lower $\mathrm{Hb} / \mathrm{RDW}$ \% ratio ( $\mathrm{p}<0.003$ ) compared to inactive patients. Also, RDW\% was positively correlated to the number of tender joints and disease activity score. While, the $\mathrm{Hb} / \mathrm{RDW} \%$ ratio was inversely correlated to same parameters. This agrees with Melek and Coworkers ${ }^{(24)}$ who found RDW showed higher significancy in AS patients than in the controls. They had detected that RDW differed significantly in active compared to non-active patients. In line with
current study, activity score of AS was positively related to RDW and not related to MPV, other studies found MPV was not correlated to BSDAI ${ }^{(24,25)}$. In contrast to the above-mentioned results, according to certain research, AS with active AS had lower MPV compared to inactive patients ${ }^{(26,27)}$. this may be attributed to super production of acute reactants and pro-inflammatory cytokines that reduce the size of platelets ${ }^{(28)}$.

RDW and MPV has been shown to be strongly associated with CRP and ESR in a various inflammatory disease as RA, IBD. Moreover, CRP has been observed to be linearly related to elevated RDW and MPV in prior studies ${ }^{(29-31)}$ and these results partially disagree with ours as we didn't find correlation between MPV, CRP and RDW values although there was negative correlation of MPV to the ESR.

On evaluation of the performance validity of heamoparameters, the current study concluded that RDW\% higher specificity with good sensitivity for prediction of psoriatic activity, while among AS, RDW showed good sensitivity with fair specificity inconsistent with another study that showed RDW and MPV were more specific than sensitive for disease activity ${ }^{(24)}$.

Chronic inflammation can adversely influence, in view of these results, thrombopoiesis erythropoiesis through variable routs such as cell apoptosis and increased oxidative stress. Thus, inflammation may lead to anisocytosis and change in platelet maturation and size in spondyloarthropathy patients. Once CBC is cheap and easy to be done, blood cell indices could be used as tool for detection and following the activity of the disease.

Regarding the HLA-B 27, PSA patients with + ve HLA B27 test had lower Hb/PLT ratio, with higher $\mathrm{Hb} /$ RDW\% ratio and PLR, while there was no significant difference between the AS patients in both HLA B27 groups.

The current study has some shortcomings: It is crosssectional in design, small sized sample and no accessible information about the nutritional status or nutritional elements as levels of vitamin B12, folic acid or iron, which may result in change in CBC parameters.

## CONCLUSION

The current study has suggested that blood cell indices were related to both clinical and laboratory parameter of the disease activity in both AS and PsA. Also, the RDW\% was the most related index to the disease activity score and inflammatory markers and it may be used to distinguish between active from inactive disease with best cut-off value >13.2 and >12.4 in PsA and AS respectively.
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