# COMPARATIVE EFFECT BETWEEN CHITOSAN AND CHITOSAN-Cu COMPLEX ON CARBON-TETRACHLORIDE (CCL4) INDUCED LIVER DAMAGE IN RATS El-Habibi, E.M.; Sirag, H.M. and Edrees, G.M.

Zoology Dept., Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

## ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUND:** Carbon tetrachloride (CCl<sub>4</sub>) is a toxic material known to induce lipid peroxidation and liver damage.

The possible protective roles that involved by chitosan or chitosan-Cu complex against  $CCl_4$  induced liver intoxication were investigated in male rats.

**RESULTS:** CCl<sub>4</sub> administred at dose 20 mg/kg body weight i.p., exceed malondialdehyde (MDA) and protein carbonyle (PC), depleted superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione (GSH), in concomitant with marked increase in investigated liver function parameters , alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase (ALT, AST), impaired serum and liver total protein , albumin and globulin. An elevation in serum and hepatic total lipids, total cholesterol, triglycerides and serum LDL and VLDL levels as well as a low level of HDL were recorded. In the same time, there was a significant increase in sodium and iron contents in the serum while a significant decrease in potassium and zinc contents were recorded.

Animals pretreated with chitosan (200 mg /kg body weight) orally by stomach tube for 21 consecutive days prior to CCl<sub>4</sub> challenge significantly attenuated most of the tested parameters, strengthen antioxidant defense system, ameliorated liver function effectively. Chitosan-Cu complex has a protective effect by a higher degree than that of chitosan only.

**CONCLUSION:** These findings suggest that pretreatment with chitosan-Cu complex has higher hepato-protective effects than that of only chitosan against  $CCl_4$  induced toxicity in rat. **Key words:** Carbon tetrachloride ( $CCl_4$ ) – Chitosan – lipid peroxidation – liver functions.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Liver disease is considered to be a serious health problem, as the liver is an important organ for the detoxification and deposition of endogenous and exogenous substances (Yang *et al.*, 2008).

Single administration of carbon tetrachloride (CCl<sub>4</sub>) can rapidly lead to both oxidative stress via the excessive production of free radicals and acute liver injuries such as centrilobular necrosis and steatosis in rats (Weber *et al.*, 2003).

Chitosan, is a polysaccharide of marine origin which is prepared from the shells of crustaceans (Sini *et al.*, 2005). Chitosan has attracted much attention as a biomedical material, owing to its antitumer, antiulcer, immunostimulatory, antibacterial and other

unique biological activities (Xue *et al.*, 2001). Chiang *et al.*, (2000), showed that the scavenging effect of chitosan on hydroxyl radicals inhibits lipid peroxidation (LPO) of phosphatidyl-choline and linoleate lyposomes *in vitro*.

Le Houx and Grondin (1993) showed that chitosan maintained adequate cholesterol homeostasis in rats, despite a greatly intake of cholesterol. Chitosan can form complexes with many metal ions because it contains multiple amino, hydroxyl and acetamide groups (Varma *et al.*, 2004). Various copper complexes have been tested for antibacterial and antitumer properties (Hirano, 1995). The copper complexes interact with DNA, leading to chemically induced cleavage of DNA and thus have antitumer activity (Liang *et al.*, 2003). In the present study, we investigated which of either chitosan or chitosan – Cu complex

has more protective effect against CCl<sub>4</sub>-induced oxidative stress and hepatotoxicity in rats.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Adult male Albino rats (Rattus rattus) weighing 120±8 g purchased from Eye Bank, Giza, Egypt, were kept in stainless steel bottom cages within an airconditioned animal house at 23±2 °C. Rats were fed commercial diet and allowed water *ad libitum* for a week, then randomly classified into six groups, each with five rats. Group I was kept normal and served as a control, each of the rats of group II was administered a single oral dose of CCL<sub>4</sub> (1.5 ml / kg body weight) .Group III received chitosan daily 200 mg/kg for 21 days (water soluble chitosan with M.W.6x10<sup>5</sup> and degree of deacetylation more than 85%, purchased from Alderich). Group IV received orally chitosan-Cu complex (prepared locally by Reicha and his Co-workers, Physics Departement, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt) at the same dose and period of chitosan. Group V received chitosan for 21 days followed by CCL<sub>4</sub> and group VI received chitosan-Cu complex for 21 days followed by administration of CCL<sub>4</sub>. Twenty four hours after receiving CCL<sub>4</sub> rats were sacrificed by decapitation, blood was collected in nonheparinized tubes, allowed to centrifuge after 15 mints and sera were separated.

Animals were dissected, livers were removed, weighed, and part of each liver was excised and homogenized in cold distilled water 10% (w/v). Assay for lipid peroxidation product malondialdehyde (MDA) in liver tissue was performed spectrophotometerically at 512 nm (Ohkawa *et al.*, 1982). The assessment of protein oxidation was performed by a spectrophotometric method (Smith *et al.*, 1991). The level of reduced glutathione (GSH) and the activity of liver superoxide dismutase (SOD) were determined as described by Nishikimi et al. (1972) and Prins and Loose (1969) respectively.Serum and liver ALT & AST activities were estimated by the methods of Reitman and Frankle(1957). Total protein content and albumin level were determined by using Diamond diagnostic kit according to the technique reported by Henry (1964) and Doumas et al. (1971) respectively. Serum and liver total lipids and total cholesterol were estimated according to the technique described by Zollner and Kirsch (1962) and Ratliff and Hall (1973) respectively, high density lipoprotein (HDLc) was determination according to (Gordon et al., 1977). Serum mineral contents (sodium, potassium, iron and zinc) were assessed spectropatomic absorption using hotometery (Zettner and Seligson 1964).

All data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E. The data analysis was performed by oneway ANOVA test. P value of  $\leq 0.05$  was considered significant.

# RESULTS

CCL<sub>4</sub> administration to the rats significantly elevated hepatic MDA and PC levels while GSH and SOD activities were decreased significantly (table 1), it was noticed that chitosan and chitisan-Cu complex administration in concomitant with CCL<sub>4</sub> significantly affect these estimated parameters positively.

Table (2) shows that serum and liver ALT & AST, were significantly increased, but the total protein content, albumin and globulin were decreased in CCL<sub>4</sub> administered group .Pretreatment with chitosan or chitosan-cu complex markedly attenuated most of these measured liver functions parameters compared to that treated only with CCL<sub>4</sub>

Serum and liver total lipids, triglyceride, total cholesterol and serum LDL were elevated significantly while serum HDL and VLDL were decreased in CCL4 treated rats, pretreatment with chitosan or chitosan-cu complex improved most of these parameters (table 3). A decline in the level of serum Na and Zn but K and Fe levels were increased in CCL4 treated group, amelioration noticed when the rats treated with chitosan or chitosan-cu complex prior to CCL4 (table 4).

Animal	(I) Control	(II) CCl <sub>4</sub>	(III)	(IV)	(V) Chitosan	(VI)
Estimated			Chitosan	Chitosan-	$+ CCl_4$	Chitosan- Cu
parameters				Cu		$+ CCl_4$
MDA (n	159.76±14.	253.38±18.7	136.0±15.0	151.3±13.8 <sup>b</sup>	191.4±14.5	203.2±11.2
mol/g)	2	0 <sup>a</sup>	0 <sup>b</sup>	-5.29	+19.80	-27.19
% of change		+58.60	-14.87			
PC(µ	$0.162 \pm 0.01$	0.276±0.023 <sup>a</sup>	$0.166 \pm 0.00$	0.162±0.01	0.22±0.007 abc	$0.198 \pm 0.004^{b}$
molNPH/g)	9	<sup>b</sup> +70.37	9 <sup>b</sup>	2 <sup>b</sup>	+35.80	+22.22
% of change			+2.47	000		
GSH (mg/g)	0.25±0.02	0.104±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.256±0.01	0.238±0.03b	0.148±0.013 ac	0.158±0.014 <sup>a</sup>
%of change		+58.4	5 <sup>b</sup>	-4.8	-40.8	d
_			+2.4			-36.8
SOD ( $\mu/g$ )	34.40±1.57	$18.60 \pm 1.36^{a}$	36.40±1.03 <sup>b</sup>	36.20±1.66 <sup>b</sup>	25.60±1.63 abc	23.80±1.50 <sup>ad</sup>
%of change		-45.93	+5.81	+5.23	-25.58	-30.81

## Table (1): Liver MDA, PC, GSH and SOD.

a = Significant compared to control (I).

 $b = Significant compared to CCl_4$  (II).

c = Significant compared to Chitosan(III).

d = Significant compared to Chitosan-Cu (IV).

## Table (2): Serum and liver ALT, AST, total protein and serum albumin.

Animal	(I) Control	(II) CCl <sub>4</sub>	(III)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)
Estimated			Chitosan	Chitosan-Cu	Chitosan +	Chitosan- Cu
parameters					CCl <sub>4</sub>	$+ CCl_4$
S. ALT (U/L)	$39.48 \pm 1.00$	71.86±3.13 <sup>a</sup>	$40.98 \pm 2.50^{b}$	$41.64 \pm 2.30^{b}$	$63.84 \pm 1.46^{ac}$	$60.76 \pm 1.36^{abd}$
% of change		+82.02	+3.80	+5.47	+61.70	+53.90
S. AST (U/L)	$33.16 \pm 1.2$	42.30±0.59 <sup>a</sup>	36.48±1.05 <sup>b</sup>	34.08±0.63 <sup>b</sup>	40.28±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	39.04±0.56 <sup>ad</sup>
% of change		+27.56	+10.01	+2.77	+21.47	+17.73
S. total protein	7.74±0.52	5.02±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	$7.60\pm0.68^{b}$	7.32±0.66 <sup>b</sup>	6.34±0.10	6.62±0.24
(g/dl)		-35.14	-1.81	-5.43	-18.09	-14.47
%of change						
S. Albumin	3.78±0.09	$2.66 \pm 0.07^{a}$	$3.56 \pm 0.4^{b}$	3.30±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	3.22±0.08	2.98±0.08
(g/dl)		-29.63	-5.82	-12.70	-14.81	-21.16
%of change						
Liver ALT (u/g)	33.76±1.12	39.12±0.34 <sup>a</sup>	34.90±0.24 <sup>b</sup>	33.32±1.00 <sup>b</sup>	37.36±0.37 <sup>a</sup>	$36.82 \pm 0.82^{d}$
% of change		+15.88	+3.37	+1.3	+10.66	+9.89
Liver AST	$34.78 \pm 0.26$	41.26±0.89 <sup>a</sup>	35.22±0.94 <sup>b</sup>	35.60±0.97 <sup>b</sup>	37.78±0.25 <sup>b</sup>	38.06±0.75 <sup>a</sup>
(U/G)		+18.63	+1.26	+2.36	+8.62	+9.43
% of change						
Liver total	29.52±1.7	22.36±1.5	26.92±1.2	30.52±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	22.44±1.7	24.94±2.14
protein		-24.25	-8.81	+3.39	-23.98	-15.51
(mg/g wet tis.)						
% of change						

a = Significant compared to control (I).

 $b = Significant compared to CCl_4(II).$ 

c = Significant compared to Chitosan(III).

d = Significant compared to Chitosan-Cu(IV).

#### COMPARATIVE EFFECT BETWEEN CHITOSAN AND

Animal	(I) Control	(II) CCl <sub>4</sub>	(III) Chitosan	(IV)	(V) Chitosan	(VI)
group Estimated	(i) Control	(11) 0014	(III) Chitosan	Chitosan-Cu	$+ CCl_4$	Chitosan-
parameters				enitosun eu	1 0014	$Cu + CCl_4$
S. total lipids	966.08±22.5	1125.02±9.90ª	918.04±18.36 <sup>b</sup>	877.22±36.4 <sup>b</sup>	1043.4±20.6°	980.6±35.5 <sup>b</sup>
-	900.08±22.3					
(mg/dl)		+16.45	-4.97	-9.19	+8.00	+1.50
% of change						
S. triglycerides	90.66±2.30	125.20±5.2ª	68.56±4.9 <sup>b</sup>	$80.48 \pm 10.8^{b}$	105.54±8.8°	$94.58 \pm 5.4^{b}$
(mg/dl)		+38.10	-24.37	-11.23	+16.41	+4.32
% of change						
S. total cholest.	108.54±5.7	183.58±9.5 <sup>a</sup>	106.3±5.5 <sup>b</sup>	118.52±7.2 <sup>b</sup>	137.88±4.8	145.6±6.9 <sup>ab</sup>
(mg/dl)		+69.13	-2.06	+9.19	<sup>abc</sup> +27.03	+34.14
% of change						
S. HDL (mg/dl)	$36.22 \pm 1.6$	19.64±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	30.16±1.7 <sup>b</sup>	34.34±1.9 <sup>b</sup>	22.54±1.5 ac	26.88±1.7 <sup>abd</sup>
%of change		-45.77	-16.73	-5.19	-37.77	-25.77
L. total Lipids	53.44±2.3	69.82±3.2 <sup>a</sup>	47.87±1.0 <sup>b</sup>	54.70±1.8 <sup>b</sup>	62.76±2.2 <sup>c</sup>	$63.30{\pm}1.6^{a}$
(mg/g)		+30.65	-10.42	+2.36	+17.44	+18.45
% of change						
L. triglycerides	59.72±7.2	114.0±5.7 <sup>a</sup>	59.90±3.6 <sup>b</sup>	66.70±2.8 <sup>b</sup>	74.30±4.6 <sup>b</sup>	94.68±0.6 <sup>ad</sup>
(mg/g)		+90.89	+0.30	+11.69	+24.41	+58.54
% of change						
L. total	23.78±0.9	40.72±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	24.24±2.9 <sup>b</sup>	28.34±0.9 <sup>b</sup>	34.04±1.4 abc	32.58±1.0 <sup>ab</sup>
cholesterol(mg/g)		+71.24	+1.93	+19.17	+43.14	+37.0
% of change						

Table (3): Serum total lipids, triglycerides, total cholesterol and HDL. Liver total lipids, triglycerides, total cholesterol and HDL.

a = Significant compared to control (I).

 $b = Significant compared to CCl_4(II).$ 

c = Significant compared to Chitosan(III).

d = Significant compared to Chitosan-Cu(IV).

Table (4). Setuli 1(a, K, I'c and Zil.						
Animal	(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)	(V) Chitosan +	(VI) Chitosan-
Estimated	Control	CCl <sub>4</sub>	Chitosan	Chitosan-Cu	CCl <sub>4</sub>	$Cu + CCl_4$
parameters						
Serum Na	157.8±1.3	88.2±1.7 <sup>a</sup>	156.5±2.6 <sup>b</sup>	162.3±3.8 <sup>b</sup>	128.6±2.7 <sup>abc</sup>	125.1±5.8 <sup>abd</sup> -
(mg/dl)		-44.11	-0.82	+2.85	-18.50	20.72
% of change						
Serum K	$6.02 \pm 0.08$	$8.82 \pm 0.40^{a}$	5.84±0.26 <sup>b</sup>	5.46±0.35 <sup>b</sup>	6.98±0.23 <sup>b</sup>	7.72±0.31 <sup>ad</sup>
(mg/dl)		+46.51	-2.99	-9.30	+15.94	+28.24
% of change						
Serum Fe	33.33±0.33	$52.00 \pm 2.8^{a}$	33.23±0.8 <sup>b</sup>	31.17±0.32 <sup>b</sup>	47.5±0.79 <sup> ac</sup>	46.23±1.8 <sup>ad</sup>
(µg/ml)		+56.01	-0.29	-6.49	+42.51	+38.71
%of change						
Serum Zn	15.5±0.16	9.90±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	13.6±0.28 <sup>ab</sup>	13.37±0.45 <sup>ab</sup>	10.97±0.21 ac	10.35±0.31 <sup>ad</sup>
(µg/100 ml)		-36.13	-12.26	-13.71	-29.19	-33.22
% of change						

## Table (4): Serum Na, K, Fe and Zn.

a = Significant compared to control (I).

 $b = Significant compared to CCl_4(II).$ 

c = Significant compared to Chitosan(III).

d = Significant compared to Chitosan-Cu(IV).

## Discussion

Carbon tetrachloride (CCl<sub>4</sub>) is a potent hepatotoxic agent; metabolism of CCL<sub>4</sub> is initiated by cytochrome P450 mediated transfer of an electron to the C-Cl bond to form an anion radical that eliminates chloride resulting in the trichloromethyl radical. Co-treatment with chitosan and CCl<sub>4</sub> significantly reduce these harmful effects on MDA and PC formation. probably by its antioxidant nature preventing the damage caused by free radical attack, and / or the inhibition of the deleterious actions of reactive oxygen species that damage lipids, DNA and proteins (Eidelman et al., 2002), inhibitory malondialdehyde formation triggered by CCL<sub>4</sub> (Yan et. al., 2006) and / or antioxidant effect (Teselkin et al., 2000). And / or the scavenging effect of chitosan on hydroxyl radicals as reported by (Chiang et al., 2000). Increasing antioxidant enzyme activities may also lead to these improvements.

The reduction in liver SOD and GSH levels in CCl<sub>4</sub> treated animals reveal the deleterious effect on the antoxidative status, a result which concurs with the finding of Lee et al. (2007), and may be attributed to the inhibition of GSH reductase activity as reported by Ohta et al. (1997). The resulted attenuation in lipid peroxidation products and antioxidant enzymes activities obtained in rat groups treated with CCl<sub>4</sub> with chitosan or chitosan-Cu complex are in agreement with Yan et al. (2006). The rapid chitosan absorption by intestinal cells and the rapid distribution to other tissues in the body, can protect the hepatic tissue from CCL<sub>4</sub> toxicity (Zeng et al., 2007).

In the present study, the induction of CCl<sub>4</sub> caused increases in estimated liver enzymatic activities, in concomitant with decline in serum and liver protein reflected liver dysfunction, indicating their undesirable effects, these results agree with El-habibi and Amer (2000) and may be attributed to oxidative and reductive biotransformation and initiate biochemical

events leading to liver cell necrosis (Weber *et al.*, 2003 and Bhadaauria *et al.*, 2007).

Obtained decline in serum and liver total protein in CCl<sub>4</sub> treated group agree with previous report of El-Habibi and Amer (2000),may be attributed to the inactivation and degradation of CYP2E1, by CCL<sub>4</sub> where protein synthesis was blocked (Dai and Cederbaum, 1995). Obtained amelioration in serum and liver enzymes activities especially in group treated with CCl<sub>4</sub> and chitosan-Cu complex may be due to the suppressing ALT and AST activities by their antioxidant activity as reported by Lin and huang (2000) who showed that ALT and AST activities suppressed by antioxidant.

Obtained elevation in serum and liver ALT and AST are presumptive markers of  $CCl_4$ induced hepatic injury that are in agreement with Popovic *et al.* (2007).These results may be due to loss in phospholipids membrane stability leading to the release of the enzymes as reported by Ahmed *et al.* (2000).

Significant hyperlipidemia, triglyceridemia and cholesterolemia also recorded in CCL<sub>4</sub> treated rats, and the restore in lipid content after chitosan and CCL<sub>4</sub> administration may be attributed to its antilipidemic property as mentioned by Xing *et al.* (2005), and / or to inhibitory action of chitosan on fat absorbtion. In addition to its ability to inhibit the increased accumulation of lipids in the systemic circulation (Choi *et al.*, 2002) . These attenuation may be also as a result of excess food intake, where Le Houx and Grondin(1993) showed that rat fed chitosan ingested more food.

Co-administration of CCl<sub>4</sub> and chitosan or chitosan-Cu complex reduced the elevation of total cholesterol, and the maintained HDLc near the normal level, liver cholesterol content was decreased significantly, but did not reach control values. This indicates that under such conditions, the chitosan group had nearly reached the quilibrium, but not completely. The elevated cholesterol in CCl<sub>4</sub> treated group may be attributd to impaired utilization in sterodgenesis (Lin *et al.*, 1995).

Obtained amelioration in blood levels of total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDLc and VLDLc in chitosan treated group may be attributed to ability of chitosan in depressing of these parameters, as reported by Geremias et al. (2006), and may be attributed to catabolic derangement in lipoprotein metabolism Santhosh et al. (2006) and/or through the capability of chitosan for increasing the fecal excretion of cholesterol, a view which in line with Yao and Chiang (2002). These results may be also due to increased uptake of LDL from the blood by tissue as mentioned by Kissler et al. (2005). Also, this ameliorative effect of chitosan may be due to stop the passive exchange between plasma lipoprotein and the cell membrane (Brown and Goldstain, 1986). Obtained increase in serum and liver triglycerides in CCl<sub>4</sub> treated group may be attributed to increased lypolysis of adipose tissue stores as reported by Kruger et al. (1967), and /or the uptake of free fatty acids (FFA) from adipose tissue by the liver, leading to the hypertiglyceridemia, a view which in accordance with Stenberg (1976).

The resulted attenuation in serum and liver triglycerides levels in chitosan and chitosan-Cu complex treated animals may be as result of reduction in cholesterol and FFA levels by the hypolipidemic effect of chitosan as mentioned by Xing *et al.* (2005) and / or stimulating metabolic process by antioxidants that prevent formation of free radicals as mentioned by Eidelman *et al.* (2002).

Yonekura *et al.* (2004) reported that 1% dietary chitosan could increase zinc absorption in rats by formation of stable complexes with phytic acid. Zinc is very important in insulin synthesis activating anabolic process decreasing lipid deposition and increase protein synthesis. In addition chitosan residues have amino groups indeed, nitrogen atoms hold free electrons doubles that can react with metal cations (Varma *et al.*, 2004). However, the amino groups are easily protonated in acidic solutions, facilitating protein synthesis.

The resulted disturbances in Na and K levels in  $CCl_4$  treated animals may be attributed to the degradation of membrane phospholipids in liver and to the loss of membrane fluidity, as lipoprotein levels of long-chain PUFA, were significantly decreased by  $CCl_4$  (Moody *et al.*, 1981).

The water solubility of chitosan was dependent on the pH of solution. The free – NH  $_2$  translated into –NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> which had weak chelating ability with metal cations, stimulating Na-K stability, hence nearly restored Na and K levels (Zeng *et al.*, 2007).

### Conclusion

It seems that chitosan maintained normal liver functions in rats despite acute CCL<sub>4</sub> intoxication, attention should be paid to the possible effect of chitosan-Cu complex on the bioavailability and its pertinent clinical benefits, due to its highly hypolipidimic and strengthen antioxidant system.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Prof. Dr. F. Risha, Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University for preparation and supplying the research group with chitosan and chitosan-Cu complex.

## REFERENCES

- 1- Ahmed S, Rahman A, Alam A, Saleem M, Athar M, Sultana S (2000): Evaluation of the efficacy of Lawsonia alba in the alleviation of carbon–tetrachloride–induced-oxidative stress.JEthnophrmacol.,69(2):157-164
- 2- Bhadauria M, Nirala S, and Shukla S, (2007): Propolis protects CYP<sub>2</sub>E<sub>1</sub> enzymatic activity and oxidative stress induced by carbon tetrachloride. Mol. Cell Biochem., 302:215-224.
- **3- Brown M, and Goldstain J,(1986**): A receptor-mediated pathway for cholesterol homeostasis. Science, 232:34-47.
- 4- Chiang M, Yao H, and Chen H,(2000): Effect of dietry chitosans with different viscosity on plasma lipids and lipid peroxidation in rats fed on a diet enriched with cholesterol. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 64: 965-971.
- 5- Choi H, Kim J, Kwak H, Cho J, Kim T, Kim B, Jung K, Choi B, Shim M, and Choo Y, (2002): Effect of high molecular weight water soluble chitosan on *In vitro*

fertilization and ovulation in mice fed a high fat diet. Arch. Pharmacol. Res. 25: 178-185.

- 6- Dai Y, and Cederbaum A, (1995): Inactivation and degradation of human cytochrome P450 2E1 by CCl4 in a transfected Hep G2 cell line. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 275: 1614-1622.
- 7- Doumas B, Watson W and Biggs H.(1971): Albumin standards and the measurements of serum albumin with bromocresol green. Clin. Chem. Acta., 31: 87.
- 8- Eidelman, R. A.; Lamas, G. S. And Hennerkens, C. H.(2002): The new national education program guidelines. Arch. Intern. Med., 162: 2033 - 2136.
- 9- El-Habibi E, and Amer M (2000): Prophylactic effect of dimethyl-4,4-dimethoxy-5,6,5,6-dimethylene-dioxybiphenyle-2-2dicarboxylate(DDB) against chemically induced liver disorder. J. Egypt. Ger. Soc. Zool., 32: 71-81.
- 10- Geremias R, Pedrosa R, Locatteli C, Favere V, Coury-Pedrosa R and Laranjeira. M (2006): Lipid lowering activity of hydrosoluble chitosan and association with Aloe veraL. And Brassica aleoracea L.. Phytother. Res., 20: 288 - 293.
- 11- Gorden T, Castelli W, Hjortland M, Kanne W, Dawbwr T and Amer M (1977): High density lipoprotein as a protective factor against coronery heart disease. The Framingham study. Am. J. Med., 62: 707 – 714.
- 12- Henry R (1964): Determination of protein colormetric method. Clinical Chemistry, Harper and Row Publisher, New York, 181.
- **13- Hirano S (1995):** Industerial Biotechnological Polymers, edited by Gebelein, C. G. and Carraher, C. E. Technomic, Lancaster, 189 202.
- 14- Kissler H, Hauffen J, Hennig R, Gepp H and Schwille P (2005): Glouse and lipid metabolism after lipid transplantation in inbred rats: consequences of hepatic deneration. Metabolism 54: 881 - 890.
- 15- Kruger F, Leighty E and Weissler A 1967): Catecholamine stimulation of myocardial lipolysis and fatty acid resterification. J. Clin. Invest., 46: 1080 – 1081.
- 16- Lee C, Shih P, Hsu C and Ten G (2007): Hepatoprotection of tea seed oil

  (Camellia Oleifera Abel.) against CCL4induced oxidative damage in rats . Food Chem. Toxical., 45: 888 – 895.
- **17- LE Houx J and Grondin F (1993):** Some effects of chitosan on liver function in the rat. Endocrinology., 132: 1078-1084.
- 18- Liang F, Wa C, Li T, Gao D, Li Z, Wei J, Zheng C and Sun M (2003):Copper complex of hydroxyl substituted triazamacrocyclic ligand and its antitumer activity. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 13: 2469 2472.

- **19-** Lin C, and Huang P (2000): Antooxidant and hepatoprotective effects of Acathopanax senticosus. Phytother. Res., 14: 489 494.
- 20- Lin D, Connor W, Wolf D and Alexander M (1995): Uneven distribution of monkey sperm. FASEP J., 9( A): 81.
- 21- Moody D, James J, Clawson G and Smuckler E (1981): Correlations among the changes in hepatic microsomal components after intoxication with alkyl halides and other hepatotoxins. Mol Pharmacol., 20: 685-693.
- 22- Nishikimi M, Rao N and Yog K (1972). Colormetric determination of superoxide dismutase activity. Biochem. Biophys. Res.Commun., 46: 849 – 851.
- 23- Ohkawa H, Wakatsuki A and Kaneda C (1982). Assay for lipid peroxide in animal tissues by thiobarbeturic acid reaction. Anal. Biochem., 95: 351 358.
- 24- Ohta Y, Nishida K, Sasaki E, Kongo M and Ishiguro I (1997): Alteration of disrupted hepatic active oxygen metabolism with the recovery of acute liver injury in rats intoxicated with carbon tetrachloride. Res. Commun. Mol. Pathol. Pharmacol., 95(2): 191-207.
- 25- Popovic M, Kauronovic B, Jakovljevic V, Mimica- Dukic N and Bursac M (2007): Effect of parsley (Petroselinum crispum(mill) Nym. Ex. A. W. Hill, Apiaceae) extract on some biochemical parameters of oxidative stress in mice treated with CCL4. Phytother. Res., 21: 717 - 723.
- 26- Prins H and Loose J (1969): Glutathione; chapter 4. In: "Biochemical Methods in Red Cell Genetics". Edited by the Academic Press. N.Y.P. London, pp. 126 129.
- 27- Ratliff C and Hall F (1973): Laboratory Manual of Biochemistry. Scott and White Momerial Hospital Publication Office. Temle, TX, 534 - -540.
- 28- Reitman S, and Frankel S (1957): A colorimetric method for the determination of serum glutamic oxaloacetic and glutamic pyruvic transaminases. Am. J. Clin. Path., 28: 56 -63.
- 29- Santhosh S, Sini T, Anandan R and Mathew P (2006) : Effect of chitosan supplementation on antitubercular drugs induced hepatotpxicity in rats. Toxicology., 219: 53 – 59.
- 30- Sini T, Santhosh S and Mathew P (2005): Study of the influence of processing parameters on the production of carboxymethylchitin. Polymer, 46: 3128 – 3131.
  31- Smith C, Carney J, Stark-reed P, Oliver
- 31- Smith C, Carney J, Stark-reed P, Oliver C, Stadtman E, Floyd R and Markasbey W (1991): Excessive brain protein oxidation and enzyme dysfunction n normal aging and Alzheimer isease.Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci., 88:105540 105543.
- 32- Stenberg D (1976): Interconvertible enzymes in adipose tissue regulated by cyclic AMP –

dependent protein kinase. Adv. Cyclic Nucleotide Res., 7: 157 – 198.

- 33- Teselkin Y, Babenkova I, Kolhir V, Baginskaya A, Tjukavkina N, Kolesnik Yu, Selivanova I and Eichholz A (2000): Dihydroquercetin as a means of antioxidative defence in rats with tetrachloromethane hepatitis. Phytotherapy Research., 14:160-162.
- 34- Varma A, Deshpande S and Kennedy J (2004): Metal complexation by chitosan and its derivatives : areview. Carbohydr. Polym.,55: 77 - 93.
- 35- Weber L, Bull M and Stampfl A (2003): Hepatotoxicity and mechanism of action of haloalkanes: carbon tetrachloride as a toxicological model. Crit. Rev. Toxical., 33: 105 – 136.
- 36- Xue W, Xu P and Liu Q (2001): Antioxidant activity of water -soluble chitosan derivatives. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 11: 1699 – 1701.
- 37- Xing R, Liu S, Guo Z, Yu H, Wang P, Li C, Li Z and Li P (2005): Relevance of molecular weight of chitosan and its derivatives and their antioxidant activities in vitro. Bioorg. Med. Chem., 13: 1573 1577.
- 38- Yan Y, Wanshun L, Baoqin H, Bing L and Chenwei F (2006):Protective effects of chitosan (oligosaccharide) and its derivatives

against carbon tetrachloride-induced liver damage in mice.Hepatol. Res., **35(3)**:178-184.

- **39- Yang Y, Ahn T, Lee J, Moon C, Kim S, Jun W, Park S, Kim H and Kim J (2008):** Protective effect of pycnogenol on carbon tetrachloride-induced hepatoy-oxicity in Sprague-Dawtey rats. Food Chem. Toxicol.,46: 380-387.
- 40- Yao H and Chiang M (2002): Plasma lipoprotein cholestrrol in rats fed a diet enriched in chitosan and cholesterol. J. Nutr. Sci. Viyaminol. 48: 379 – 383.
- **41- Yonekura L, Tamura H and Suzuki H** (2004): Chitosan and resistant starch restore zinc bioavailability, suppressed by dietary phytate, through different mechanisms in marginally zinc-deficient rats. Nutrition Research, 23:933-944.
- 42- Zeng L, Qin C, Wang W, Chi W and Li W (2007): Absorption and distribution of chitosan in mice after oral administration. Carbohyd. Polym., 71: 435 440.
- **43-** Zettner A, and Seligson D (1964): Application of absorption spectrophotomtery in determination of calcium in serum. Clin. Chem., 10: 869-890.
- **44-** Zollner N and Kirsch K (1962): Acolometric method for determination of total lipids. Z. Ges. Exp. Med., 135: 545.

El-Habibi, E.M. et al,....

# التاثير المقارن لمركب الكيتوزان و الكيتوزان مع النحاس ضد سمية الكبد المستحثة برابع كلوريد الكربون السيد الحبيبي- هناء سراج- جمال ادريس قسم علم الحيوان- كليه العلوم- جامعة المنصورة- المنصورة- مصر.

الرابع كلوريد الكربون سميه تحدث اكسده فوقيه وتلف بالكبد وقد تم دراسه الدور الوقائي المحتمل لمركب الكيتوزان والكيتوزان مع النحاس ضد السميه الكبديه في ذكور الجرذان .

تم الحقن بجرعه حاده بين الغشاء البريتوني برابع كلوريد الكربون (20 مجم / كجم من وزن الجسم), وقد احدث ذلك زياده في مالون ثنائي الألدهيد ( MDA) وكربونيل البروتين (PC) وانخفاض في فوق انزيم الديسميوتيز (SOD) والجلوتاثيون ( GSH ) بانسجه الكبد وصحب ذلك زياده في انزيمات وظائف الكبد المقاسه والكوليستيرول والجلوتاثيون أن الذهون البروتين في المصل والكبد. كما لوحظ زياده في معدل الدهون الكليه والكوليستيرول والجليسريدات الثلاثيه والدهون منخفضه الكثافه , صحب ذلك انخفاض في مستوي الدهون عاليه الكثافه. كذلك اظهرت الدراسه ارتفاع محتوي المصل من الصوديوم والحديد مع انخفاض في مستوي البوتاسيوم والزنك.

الجرذان التي تم حقنها بمركب الكيتوزان اوالكيتوزان مع النحاس بجرعه 200 مجم /كجم من وزن الجسم لمده احدي وعشرين يوما قبل الحقن برابع كلوريد الكربون احدث وقايه ملموسه لمعظم المعايير ورفع من قوه جهاز مضاد الاكسده الدفاعي وقام بتحسين وظائف الكبد . وكان لمركب الكيتوزان مع النحاس دورا اقوي من الكيتوزان بمفرده في الوقايه من اثار سميه رابع كلوريد الكربون.